Antibiotic Susceptibility of Bacterial Isolates from Pus Specimens Collected from a General Hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh
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Abstract: Antibiotic resistance and its rapid spread among pathogenic bacterial isolates are regarded as major public health issues around the world. The study aims to detect pyogenic bacteria in pus samples and assess their antibiotic susceptibilities to various antibiotics commonly employed in chemotherapeutic interventions. This is a retrospective study in which 297 pus samples were obtained from patients in the year 2018 to 2020 for aerobic culture and sensitivity testing. In total, 32% (95) of the samples yielded positive results, whereas, 68% (202) yielded negative results. The most commonly occurring pathogen was E. coli (65) followed by Pseudomonas spp. (14), Staphylococcus aureus (9), Klebsiella spp. (4) and Acinetobacter spp. (3). Patients aged 51 and up were the ones who were largely afflicted by the pus infection. Males were shown to be more susceptible to infection than females. Amikacin, Ceftazidine, Meropenem, Cefotaxime, Netilmicin, Meropenem, and Fosfomycin were the most susceptible drugs for Klebsiella spp., followed by Meropenem for E. coli, Cloxacin, Doxacin, Cefoxidine, and Amoxiclav for S. aureus. However, Pefloxacin and Amoxil were both effective against Pseudomonas spp., while Levofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Doxacin, Tazobactam+Piperacillin, Imipemem, Netilmicin, and Trimethoprim were effective against Acinetobacter spp. The antibiotic, Ampicillin was found to be resistant to all of the microorganisms tested. In general, most of the isolates were found to be resistant to the majority of the antibiotics. As a result of our research, clinicians will be able to make better decisions when selecting appropriate antibiotics, which aid in patient care and judicial usage, preventing the development of drug resistance in those who are already susceptible.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Pus is usually caused by a bacterial infection that is contained in an abscess that can be developed anywhere in the body. Furthermore, virus, protozoa, fungus can also cause wound infection, and sometimes, they simultaneously causes infection with one or more bacteria in a distinct wound [1]. Typically, it looks like white to yellow fluid made up of dead neutrophils, cellular waste, and necrotic tissues. Pus is formed when microbial pathogens cause human skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs) during or after trauma, burn injuries, and surgical procedures [2-4]. Hospital-acquired wound infections may cause severe morbidity, and prolonged hospitalization that may pose a considerable monetary burden [5]. Both aerobic and anaerobic, gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria are implicated in pus infection. A number of commonly involved bacterial species in pus formation includes Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Streptococcus pyogenes, Escherichia coli, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Salmonella typhi, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorrhoea, Actinomyces, Burkholderia mallei, Mycobacterium tuberculosis [6]. However, the rapid development of antibiotic resistance among pathogenic bacteria regarded as a major public health issue around the world. Multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria as Acinetobacter baumannii, E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and gram-positive methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) progressively associated with nosocomial pus infections over the last few decades due to extensive antibiotic use through self-medication, mis-prescription and inadequate dosage regimens [7-9]. Owing to restricted treatment options and hesitant development of new antibiotic groups, the rapid emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria poses a significant challenge to global public health. The aims of this research are to characterize pyogenic bacteria found in pus samples, and evaluate their antibiotic susceptibilities to different generations of antibiotics widely used in chemotherapeutic interventions.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective study in which 297 pus samples were collected for aerobic culture and sensitivity testing from the patients of Insaf Barakah Kidney and General Hospital, Dhaka from May 2018 to November 2020. Pus samples were obtained using sterile disposable cotton swabs and aspirates were collected in syringe. Following collection, the specimens were immediately transported and processed in the microbiology laboratory. Blood agar, MacConkey agar and Chocolate agar media were used to inoculate the specimens. The cells were incubated in an aerobic state at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours.

After incubation, the bacteria from positive cultures were detected using a standard microbiological technique that
included hanging drop motility monitoring preparation, gram staining, biochemical reactions such as catalase, oxidase, coagulase, indole, methyl red, voges-proskauer, citrate, urease, and phenyl pyruvic acid tests. All the isolates were tested for antibiotic sensitivity using Kirby Bauer’s disc diffusion method on Muller Hinton agar medium and the results were interpreted according to CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) guidelines. The results were presented in sensitivity [10]. Both the biochemical tests and disc diffusion tests were performed with the standard organisms that were taken as positive controls for each of the individual experiments.

III. RESULTS

Distribution of positive and negative samples

During the study period, 297 samples were processed at the clinical microbiology laboratory in Insaf Barakah Kidney and General Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The significant bacterial growth confirming the infection is presented at every month in figure 1(A, B, C). The bar diagrams presented the frequency distribution of positive and negative samples in every month of 2018 (A), 2019 (B), and 2020 (C). Our data showed that in almost all of the cases, the number of negative samples was higher than the positive ones.
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Figure 1: The bar diagram presented the frequency distribution of positive and negative samples in every month of the year 2018 (A), 2019 (B), and 2020 (C). The pie diagram presented the percentage of overall positive and negative samples in the year 2018 (A), 2019 (B), and 2020 (C).

Among the total 297 samples, 32% (95) showed positive results and 68% (202) showed no growth (Figure 2).

Figure 2: The distribution of the total positive and negative samples obtained from pus samples were presented by pie diagram.

Age- and gender-wise distributions of positive samples

In this study, males were 58.9% (62 out of 95) and females were 31.35% (33 out of 95) belonging to 0-80 years of age. This was statistically significant (P<0.05). Overall, our study showed that males were more prone to pyogenic infection than females. The patients with the ages 21-51+ were highly affected with pyogenic wound infections and 51+ was the most affected age group. Moreover, the number of infected patients was mostly increased with the increasing ages (Figure 3).

Figure 3: The bar graph showing the age-wise distribution of male and female patients in the study period.
Frequency distribution of bacterial isolates among infected patients

A total of 95 bacterial pathogens were recovered with the predominance of gram-negative bacteria (86, 90.5%) where, *Escherichia coli* (65, 68.42%) was the leading bacterial pathogen followed by *Pseudomonas* spp. (14, 14.74%), *Klebsiella* spp. (04, 4.21%) and *Acinetobacter* spp. (03, 3.16%). The only gram-positive bacterial species was *Staphylococcus* spp. (09, 9.47%) (Table 1). Single culture infection was observed among all the positive patients.

Table 1: Occurrence of bacterial isolates among infected patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial isolates</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em> spp.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em> spp.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em> spp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acinetobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity pattern of bacterial isolates

The antibiogram revealed that Amikacin, Ceftazidime, Meropenem, Cefotaxime, Netilmicin, Mecillinam and Fosfomycin were the most susceptible drugs (100%) for *Klebsiella* spp. *E. coli* showed the highest sensitivity (69.35%) towards Meropenem, *Pseudomonas* spp. showed 100% sensitivity against Pefloxacin, and Amoxiclav; and *Acinetobacter* spp. showed the same against Levofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Doxacillin, Tazobactam-Piperacillin, Imipenem, Netilmicin, and Trimethoprim. The only gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus* spp. showed the most sensitivity (100%) towards Cloxacillin, Doxacillin, Cefotaxime, and Amoxiclav. All the bacteria present in the study showed resistance against the antibiotic, Ampicillin. Moreover, most of the bacteria showed a degree of resistance against most of the antibiotics.

Table 2: Antibiotic sensitivity of bacterial isolates against a pool of antibiotics.

Klebsiella spp. (04). Pseudomonas spp. was found to be the second commonly occurring pathogen in wound infections. One study by Basu et al. reported that Pseudomonas spp. and E. coli to be the most common pathogens in pus infection which is consistent with our study [15]. However, in this study, positive samples were lower in number than negative samples which were contrary to the results of Trojan, Razdan et al. 2016 [11].

The month-wise distribution of samples showed that the maximum infection was observed in the months June, July, and August in 2018 and 2019. High humidity in the air during monsoon season from June to October might be the reason for the high infection rate by bacteria or viruses. But, in 2020, the number of positive samples were gradually decreased in accordance with the total samples from Jan to Apr. With a very low number of samples, no growth was observed in the specimens of May, Jun, Jul, and Nov. Besides, total samples were also very low in 2020 in comparison to the other two years. The reason behind is the COVID 19 pandemic situation as the government imposed a total lockdown from March 26, 2020 and it continued for long time and also, at the beginning, people were very afraid of going outside unless its an awfully urgent situation [16, 17].

Among 95 infected patients, 62 (58.9%) were males and 33 (31.3%) were females. The higher number of male patients than female patients correlates our result with earlier studies [18-23]. Age is a significant risk factor in the occurrence of any infection. Our results showed patients with the age of 51+ were mostly infected with pathogens and got pus formation which coincides with several previous findings [24, 25].

Most of the bacteria were found to have a high degree of resistance towards 3rd and 4th generation penicillin and cephalosporin. The antibiotics that are used for repeated empirical treatment might be the reason for the development of high antibiotic resistance. Klebsiella spp. showed 50%, E. coli showed 37.93%, Pseudomonas spp. showed 50%, Acinetobacter spp. showed 66.67% and Staphylococcus spp. showed 33.33% sensitivity towards 4th generation Cefepime. Whereas, a better sensitivity was observed towards Tazobactam/Piperacillin which is an alternative to 3rd generation Cephalosporins and Carbapenems that showed 66.67% sensitivity by Klebsiella spp., 61.82% by E. coli, 90% by Pseudomonas spp., 100% by Acinetobacter spp. and 42.86% by Staphylococcus spp. A number of antibiotics were found effective against specific microorganisms. Overall, Carbapenems (Meropenem) are still sensitive to growing resistance in both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria tested which is nearly related to earlier studies [13, 26]. However, all the bacteria present in the study showed complete resistance against the 2nd generation penicillin, Ampicillin.

V. CONCLUSION

The pyogenic infection has long been a leading cause of morbidity and mortality. Emerging multidrug-resistant strains are a significant source of concern in the treatment of these diseases. Appropriate and judicious antibiotic selection based on antibiotic sensitivity data will restrict the emergence of drug-resistant strains in the future, allowing for effective treatment of these clinical conditions. As a result of our research, the clinician will be able to make better decisions in choosing suitable antibiotics that will not only help in treatment but will also help with judicious use in avoiding the development of drug resistance in those that are already susceptible.
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