

Servant Leadership and Strategic Employee Engagement: Incarnational Leadership for Enhanced Workforce Commitment

Emmanuel Siaw Okai, Peter Agyekum Boateng, Esther F. Akonor, Jeanette Owusu, Adelaide Gyabea

School of Graduate Studies, Valley View University, Ghana

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.90400161>

Received: 21 March 2025; Accepted: 29 March 2025; Published: 03 May 2025

ABSTRACT

The contemporary organizational landscape faces persistent challenges in fostering employee engagement, requiring innovative leadership approaches. This study explores the Incarnation model of servant leadership, emphasizing empathy, humility, and service as key principles to address these issues. The objective is to investigate how this model enhances strategic employee engagement by integrating ethical leadership practices with trust-building, psychological safety, and proactive organizational strategies. Using a qualitative, literature review-based methodology, the research synthesizes insights from recent peer-reviewed studies to evaluate the effectiveness of servant leadership principles in diverse contexts. Key findings highlight that the Incarnation model fosters employee well-being, engagement, and trust while addressing gaps in traditional leadership approaches. Practical implications include recommendations for open communication, mentorship, and ethics training, aligned with organizational and spiritual values. This research contributes to leadership theory by offering actionable insights for policymakers and practitioners to cultivate resilient and engaged teams in modern workplaces.

Keywords: servant leadership, Incarnation model, employee engagement, trust, ethical leadership, qualitative methodology.

INTRODUCTION

This study investigates the application of the Incarnation model of servant leadership in enhancing strategic employee engagement. It develops key propositions to explore how the Incarnation model of servant leadership enhances strategic employee engagement. These propositions provide a structured framework for analyzing the intersection of faith-based leadership and workforce commitment, guiding the discussion on its practical and theoretical implications.

Despite the growing recognition of servant leadership's potential to transform organizational dynamics, there remain significant gaps in research, particularly regarding the Incarnation model. Existing studies often generalize the servant leadership framework without adequately addressing how theological and ethical dimensions influence leadership outcomes in diverse organizational settings. While research highlights the overall benefits of servant leadership on employee engagement and organizational performance, limited attention has been given to the cultural and contextual variables that shape its effectiveness (Kaya & Karatepe, 2020; Wulandari & Firdaus, 2024). Further, there is a paucity of empirical studies exploring how servant leadership operates as a dynamic model under different sectoral challenges, such as healthcare, education, and public service. For instance, studies focusing on employee engagement emphasize trust and psychological safety but fail to integrate the role of spiritual and ethical dimensions unique to the Incarnation model (Iqbal et al., 2020; Cai et al., 2024).

Moreover, methodological constraints have constrained the advancement of this field. Most research relies heavily on quantitative data and cross-sectional designs, limiting the exploration of nuanced, longitudinal insights. Psychological factors such as resilience and personal well-being have also been underexplored as mediating variables in servant leadership's impact on employee engagement and organizational innovation (Dalain, 2023; Peng & Chen, 2020). Additionally, the existing literature does not adequately explore how

servant leadership influences employee engagement in crisis scenarios. Given the rapid evolution of workplace dynamics, there is a pressing need for integrative frameworks that address these challenges while incorporating the Incarnation model's spiritual and service-driven principles (Uluturk, 2023). Addressing these gaps through more comprehensive, interdisciplinary, and context-sensitive research can provide actionable insights for leadership development and employee engagement strategies.

Many organizations face persistent challenges in fostering sustainable employee engagement. Traditional leadership styles frequently fail to inspire trust, collaboration, and intrinsic motivation, leading to disengagement and reduced productivity (Ejibe et al., 2024). The shift to more dynamic and adaptive workplace practices highlights the limitations of conventional methods in addressing rapidly changing business environments (Nguyen et al., 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic has further underscored the inadequacies of traditional leadership in maintaining engagement and morale amidst uncertainty. Organizations must now adopt leadership styles that emphasize empathy and trust to enhance resilience and adaptability (Davis et al., 2024).

This study investigates the application of the Incarnation model of servant leadership in enhancing strategic employee engagement. By integrating ethical leadership practices with a focus on trust, organizational support, and employee resilience, this research seeks to provide actionable insights for modern workplaces. The findings of this study contribute to the growing body of literature on servant leadership and employee engagement by addressing the gaps in understanding its effectiveness across diverse organizational contexts. By exploring the Incarnation model, this research bridges theological concepts with contemporary leadership practices, offering a unique perspective on fostering sustainable organizational growth.

This research adopts a qualitative, literature review-based methodology, synthesizing insights from peer-reviewed academic articles published since 2020. Thematic analysis is employed to identify recurring themes and patterns, providing a comprehensive understanding of the relationships between servant leadership and employee engagement.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The foundational principles of servant leadership emphasize serving others as the cornerstone of effective leadership. The Incarnation model, deeply rooted in Christian theology, epitomizes humility, empathy, and selfless service, as demonstrated by Jesus Christ in biblical teachings such as Mark 10:45: "For even the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give his life as a ransom for many." This model aligns with Greenleaf's philosophy, which underscores the importance of prioritizing the needs of followers, enabling their growth, and fostering a community-focused vision (McMahon, 2023). Servant leadership encompasses attributes such as altruism, humility, and a commitment to organizational well-being. The model's effectiveness in fostering trust, engagement, and ethical behavior has been widely recognized in both secular and spiritual domains (Zada et al., 2023). Moreover, it provides a framework that transcends traditional hierarchical structures by focusing on empowering employees and fostering a collaborative culture (Demeke et al., 2024).

Employee engagement theories often draw from psychological frameworks, such as Social Exchange Theory (SET) and Self-Determination Theory (SDT). SET posits that relationships are built on reciprocal trust and mutual benefits. In servant leadership, the altruistic actions of leaders create an environment of trust, leading to increased employee commitment and performance (Liao & Zhu, 2021). Self-Determination Theory further explains how servant leadership supports engagement by satisfying employees' intrinsic psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Leaders who practice servant leadership empower their teams, fostering environments where employees feel valued, connected, and capable of achieving their goals (Iqbal et al., 2020).

The relationship between servant leadership and employee engagement is underpinned by theories emphasizing trust, empowerment, and organizational support. Studies suggest that servant leaders enhance engagement by building trust and fostering psychological safety, enabling employees to thrive and innovate (Zeng & Xu, 2020). Biblical teachings such as John 13, where Jesus washed his disciples' feet, illustrate the

transformative power of servant leadership in cultivating trust and humility. This approach aligns with contemporary findings, demonstrating that servant leadership not only enhances individual engagement but also fosters a culture of collective growth and innovation (Lauren & Henson, 2021).

The Incarnation model integrates theological principles, such as humility and service, into these dimensions, offering a spiritual framework for leadership practices. The theoretical discussion on servant leadership, trust, and engagement forms the basis for the propositions developed in this study. These propositions, grounded in ethical and spiritual leadership principles, will be elaborated upon in the next section to offer a conceptual foundation for analyzing their impact on employee engagement and organizational effectiveness. The following literature review will provide a synthesis of existing research on servant leadership and strategic employee engagement, highlighting key areas where the Incarnation model contributes to leadership development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Servant Leadership and Its Dimensions

Servant leadership is characterized by multiple dimensions that collectively emphasize service, ethical conduct, and empowerment. These dimensions include empathy, stewardship, altruism, and empowerment, which create a collaborative and inclusive workplace culture. Empathy allows leaders to understand and connect with employees, promoting trust and organizational cohesion. Stewardship reflects the responsibility leaders have toward their teams and organizational resources, emphasizing sustainability and collective success. Altruism, central to servant leadership, underscores a commitment to prioritizing others' needs over self-interest, fostering a supportive environment for innovation and engagement. Empowerment involves granting employees the autonomy and resources necessary to thrive, which is critical for performance and job satisfaction (Franco & Antunes, 2020; Gašková, 2020).

The Incarnation model integrates theological principles, such as humility and service, into these dimensions, offering a spiritual framework for leadership practices. For example, biblical teachings like Mark 10:45—"For even the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve"—highlight the selflessness inherent in this leadership style. Studies reveal that servant leadership, when implemented effectively, fosters employee engagement, innovation, and trust (Ekinci & Sakız, 2020; Canavesi & Minelli, 2021). This model emphasizes long-term organizational growth by aligning ethical leadership with team development and well-being.

Employee Engagement Factors

Employee engagement is shaped by a variety of intrinsic and extrinsic factors, including trust, recognition, leadership styles, and work-life balance. Servant leadership has emerged as a pivotal driver of engagement due to its emphasis on trust-building and fostering emotional well-being. Leaders who prioritize the needs of their employees cultivate a culture of trust, which enhances motivation and commitment. For example, studies indicate that servant leadership positively influences work engagement through mediating factors such as job satisfaction and perceived organizational support (Rahal & Farmanesh, 2022; Al-Azab & Al-Romeedy, 2023).

Work-life balance is another critical determinant of employee engagement. Employees with greater role satisfaction and fewer work-family conflicts are more likely to contribute meaningfully to organizational goals. Servant leadership further strengthens this dynamic by promoting a supportive work environment that prioritizes employee well-being (Arnawa et al., 2024). Additionally, a positive relationship between leadership styles and employee resilience demonstrates that servant leadership not only directly impacts engagement but also supports employees' ability to overcome workplace challenges (Setyaningrum & Pawar, 2020). Research highlights the need to align leadership practices with work-life balance initiatives to optimize engagement. By integrating these strategies, organizations can enhance employee satisfaction, loyalty, and productivity while addressing complex workplace demands (Lamprinou et al., 2021).

Role of Trust and Commitment

Trust and commitment play a fundamental role in the success of servant leadership, particularly in fostering employee engagement and organizational productivity. Trust serves as the foundation for the leader-employee relationship, enabling a culture of openness, support, and mutual respect. Leaders who prioritize trust create environments where employees feel valued and are motivated to contribute effectively. This dynamic is well explained by Social Exchange Theory, which suggests that reciprocal trust positively influences morale, productivity, and engagement (Qudratzai & Gul, 2022; Zhou et al., 2022).

Commitment, closely tied to trust, enhances employee loyalty and willingness to invest effort in organizational goals. Affective commitment, often mediated by trust, has been shown to amplify the impact of servant leadership on work engagement and organizational outcomes. For instance, leaders who demonstrate ethical and selfless practices inspire stronger emotional and professional bonds with their teams (Rahal & Farmanesh, 2022; Obi et al., 2022). The collaborative ethos promoted by servant leadership aligns with the biblical principle in Proverbs 27:17, "As iron sharpens iron, so one person sharpens another." This highlights the transformative power of trust and commitment in building cohesive and high-performing teams. Servant leaders who foster trust and commitment create not only more engaged employees but also a more resilient and innovative organization.

Existing Gaps

Despite a growing interest in servant leadership, significant gaps persist in understanding its specific applications, particularly concerning the Incarnation model. Research often focuses on generalized frameworks without adequately addressing contextual variables such as cultural dynamics, organizational structures, or theological underpinnings. For instance, studies have largely neglected to explore how the Incarnation model's spiritual and ethical dimensions influence employee engagement and organizational outcomes across diverse environments (Zarei et al., 2023; Demeke et al., 2024). Additionally, methodological limitations hinder progress in servant leadership research. Most studies rely on quantitative approaches, leaving qualitative insights underexplored. Empirical frameworks that integrate servant leadership with sector-specific challenges remain underdeveloped, especially in fields like healthcare, education, and public service (McQuade et al., 2020; Idris & Zairoh, 2022).

Moreover, while servant leadership's broad impact on trust and collaboration is documented, there is a scarcity of research investigating its micro-level mechanisms, such as the role of individual psychological safety and team dynamics (Iqbal et al., 2020). Additionally, research is limited in evaluating the adaptability of the Incarnation model across different cultural and religious environments. Evidence suggests that cultural dimensions—such as collectivism, power distance, and communication style—moderate the servant leadership–engagement relationship. A Malaysian study demonstrated that cultural values such as *pekerti* (ethical conduct) and *bicara* (interpersonal communication) significantly influenced team-level outcomes under servant leadership, emphasizing the importance of cultural alignment (Bakar et al., 2013). Cross-cultural validation using Spanish-speaking samples across Spain, Argentina, and Mexico confirmed the structural validity of servant leadership, but revealed variability in dimensions such as humility and forgiveness—traits central to the Incarnation model (Rodríguez-Carvajal et al., 2014). Within religious organizations, servant leadership effectiveness hinges on its alignment with workers' spiritual identity.

A study among Catholic workers in Spain showed that engagement was mediated by perceptions of authenticity and spirituality at work—an insight particularly relevant for incarnational leadership paradigms (Ortiz-Gómez et al., 2020). These findings underscore the need for further exploration of how servant leadership, rooted in theological values, translates across secular and spiritual domains. Addressing these gaps through more rigorous, context-specific, and interdisciplinary research would enhance our understanding of the Incarnation model's unique contributions to leadership theory and practice. The next section will present a structured Proposition Development framework, synthesizing key insights derived from the literature. These propositions offer a conceptual foundation for integrating ethical considerations into leadership and engagement strategies, addressing both individual and organizational dimensions. The Discussion and Analysis

of Findings will examine how these leadership principles translate into practical applications within organizational contexts.

Proposition Development

The study of the Incarnation model of servant leadership highlights its critical role in fostering employee engagement through trust, humility, and service. Based on the literature review, the following propositions emerge to guide further research and practical application. First, leaders who embrace the Incarnation model of servant leadership, prioritizing humility and selfless service, will cultivate higher levels of employee engagement and intrinsic motivation. Second, the integration of faith-based servant leadership principles with contemporary organizational practices will enhance employee trust, leading to stronger psychological safety and commitment within teams. Third, servant leadership positively influences organizational performance by fostering a culture of ethical responsibility, collaboration, and empowerment, which, in turn, enhances workforce productivity and resilience. Fourth, organizations that implement structured mentorship and professional development programs rooted in servant leadership will experience improved job satisfaction, reduced turnover, and sustained employee loyalty. Finally, the successful application of the Incarnation model in leadership development programs will bridge the gap between spiritual values and corporate success, reinforcing a leadership paradigm that prioritizes people-centered growth and ethical governance.

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINDINGS

This section presents an analysis of findings related to servant leadership, employee engagement, and the ethical implications of the Incarnation model. Each of the key propositions developed in this study is reinforced by the findings discussed in this section. The analysis confirms Proposition 1, which highlights how leaders who embrace humility and selfless service cultivate higher levels of employee engagement and intrinsic motivation. Likewise, the findings support Proposition 2, demonstrating that integrating faith-based servant leadership principles with contemporary organizational practices enhances employee trust and commitment. These insights underscore the relevance of servant leadership in shaping workplace cultures and fostering long-term employee engagement.

The review of servant leadership and employee engagement highlights how the Incarnation model uniquely addresses organizational challenges. The emphasis on ethical leadership, trust-building, and engagement aligns with organizational theories such as Social Exchange Theory. Findings from prior sections underscore that servant leadership fosters environments where employees thrive emotionally and professionally, bridging gaps in traditional leadership methods. Studies like those by Canavesi and Minelli (2021) demonstrate that servant leadership's collaborative ethos builds the foundation for innovative and resilient organizations. This analysis extends these insights to explore the Incarnation model's principles and their operationalization.

Empathy, humility, and service are central to the Incarnation model of servant leadership. Empathy fosters trust by allowing leaders to understand and address employee needs, creating a culture of mutual respect (Canavesi & Minelli, 2021). Humility, aligned with Philippians 2:3-4, emphasizes the selflessness required of leaders, inspiring employees to prioritize collective success over personal ambition. Service reflects the theological essence of the Incarnation model, positioning leaders as enablers of employee development. These principles collectively enhance engagement, as demonstrated by Rahal and Farmanesh (2022), who found that trust in leadership mediates positive employee outcomes.

Recent empirical research across varied industry contexts affirms the positive influence of servant leadership on employee outcomes, reinforcing the applicability of the Incarnation model in practice. In the hospitality sector, studies showed that servant leadership significantly enhanced employee engagement via mechanisms such as trust and emotional safety (Rahal & Farmanesh, 2022). Likewise, in Kuwait's service industry, servant leadership attributes directly improved both intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction, revealing its practical relevance in high-pressure, customer-facing roles (Alasadi et al., 2019). In aviation, a sector marked by high turnover and operational complexity, servant leadership indirectly boosted performance and retention by enhancing employee engagement (Alafeshat & Aboud, 2019). These industry-specific findings corroborate the

Incarnation model's real-world effectiveness, particularly in promoting resilience, loyalty, and workplace innovation.

The Incarnation model demonstrates a clear correlation with heightened employee engagement. By prioritizing ethical leadership and service, organizations see increased motivation, loyalty, and performance. Studies such as those by Dalain (2023) and Harunavamwe (2022) affirm that servant leadership boosts engagement by fostering psychological safety and recognition. The biblical principle from Philippians 2:3-4—"Do nothing out of selfish ambition or vain conceit. Rather, in humility value others above yourselves"—reflects the essence of servant leadership, reinforcing that humility and service drive engagement.

Trust and commitment remain vital to operationalizing servant leadership principles. Trust is cultivated through transparent and ethical practices, creating reciprocal loyalty between leaders and employees (Qudratzai & Gul, 2022). This fosters affective commitment, where employees invest in organizational goals with passion and dedication. Proverbs 27:17—"As iron sharpens iron, so one person sharpens another"—underscores the transformative nature of servant leadership in building cohesive and high-performing teams.

To implement servant leadership effectively, organizations must adopt actionable strategies. These include open communication, professional development, and recognition programs. As noted by Rahal and Farmanesh (2022), open communication fosters trust and collaboration. Professional development aligns with employee aspirations, enhancing competence and relatedness (Nguyen et al., 2024). Recognition systems, supported by biblical teachings such as Colossians 3:23-24—"Whatever you do, work at it with all your heart, as working for the Lord, not for human masters"—highlight the importance of intrinsic motivation in driving engagement.

Implications For Theory, Policy, And Practice

The implications of ethical leadership extend across theoretical, policy, and practical dimensions, influencing corporate governance frameworks and workplace engagement strategies. The findings of this study align with the key propositions developed in the research, emphasizing that servant leadership, when integrated with faith-based ethical principles, strengthens corporate governance and workforce engagement strategies. Proposition 5, which underscores the importance of ethical governance rooted in servant leadership, is particularly relevant for policy development, advocating for mentorship-based leadership programs that prioritize people-centered growth and accountability. This section explores these implications in greater detail, offering insights into how organizations can implement structured servant leadership frameworks in diverse settings.

Theoretical Implications: The Incarnation model of servant leadership expands existing theories by integrating spiritual, ethical, and relational dimensions into leadership practices. While established frameworks like Social Exchange Theory and Self-Determination Theory explain the dynamics of trust and psychological fulfillment, the Incarnation model introduces humility and service as critical components. This model broadens the understanding of how servant leadership fosters engagement through empathy, altruism, and accountability, aligning these principles with biblical teachings such as Philippians 2:3-4: "Do nothing out of selfish ambition or vain conceit. Rather, in humility value others above yourselves." Beyond individual-level variables, emerging scholarship explores the theological and relational structure of servant leadership through the lens of Trinitarian theology. The Trinitarian Resources Model emphasizes how relational dynamics—mirroring the Trinity—can foster deep work engagement, spiritual well-being, and reduced turnover by aligning leader behavior with divine relationality (Miner & Bickerton, 2020). Furthermore, Jesus' cross-cultural model of "leader as servant" in Luke 22:24-30 has been shown to be applicable even in high power-distance cultures, such as in Asia and Latin America, reinforcing that incarnational leadership is globally viable when grounded in relational ethics rather than hierarchy (Thomas, 2018). These contributions deepen the theoretical reach of the Incarnation model and support its application across diverse organizational and spiritual landscapes.

Additionally, this framework highlights the role of spiritual leadership in addressing modern workplace challenges, offering a robust alternative to transactional and autocratic styles. Future theoretical exploration should focus on the intersection of spiritual leadership and organizational resilience to deepen insights into cross-cultural and industry-specific applications.

Policy Implications: Organizations should adopt policies that institutionalize servant leadership to drive ethical behavior and employee engagement. Key recommendations include implementing governance frameworks that prioritize integrity, transparency, and accountability. For example, leadership evaluation metrics should include trust-building and employee well-being indicators, ensuring alignment with servant leadership principles. Moreover, diversity and inclusion policies can integrate the collaborative ethos of the Incarnation model to foster team cohesion. To institutionalize these practices, organizations must mandate ethics training, emphasizing the biblical values of service and humility as captured in 1 Peter 5:2-3: "Be shepherds of God's flock... not pursuing dishonest gain, but eager to serve." Policies that incentivize mentorship programs and collaborative decision-making can further embed servant leadership in organizational culture.

Practical Implications

Implementing servant leadership in practice demands a deliberate focus on actionable strategies that integrate its core principles into the organizational fabric. Establishing trust-building activities is fundamental, as trust is the cornerstone of servant leadership. Organizations can create transparent communication forums where employees are encouraged to voice concerns, share ideas, and receive updates on organizational decisions. Regular feedback sessions should be structured to promote accountability and provide employees with opportunities to discuss their growth and challenges in a supportive environment. These sessions not only foster psychological safety but also demonstrate the leadership's commitment to their workforce.

Professional development programs are another critical avenue for embedding servant leadership principles. These programs should be tailored to empower employees by offering mentorship opportunities that reflect the ethos of humility and service. Mentors, particularly those in leadership positions, should prioritize their mentees' growth, providing guidance and support that builds both confidence and competence. Additionally, skill-building workshops that align with employees' career aspirations should be implemented to ensure that personal development is embedded in organizational priorities. This emphasis on empowerment reinforces the servant leader's role as an enabler of employee success.

Recognition systems should also be designed to highlight and reward behaviors that exemplify the principles of humility, service, and collaboration. Leaders must develop recognition frameworks that not only reward outcomes but also celebrate the values-driven processes behind those outcomes. For instance, employees who demonstrate collaborative problem-solving or go above and beyond to assist their peers should be publicly acknowledged. Such practices align with Colossians 3:23-24, which encourages work done with sincerity and dedication as a service to a higher purpose. Leaders must model this sincerity and dedication through their actions, setting a standard for ethical behavior and inspiring employees to follow suit.

Leadership workshops can further reinforce servant leadership values by cultivating empathy and service-oriented mindsets among managers and executives. These workshops should include practical exercises, such as role-playing scenarios that require empathetic decision-making and collaborative conflict resolution. By practicing these skills in a controlled environment, leaders can better integrate them into their daily interactions with employees. Over time, this consistent emphasis on servant leadership principles will strengthen employee trust, enhance engagement, and create a workplace culture rooted in integrity and mutual respect. Through these practical measures, organizations can transform servant leadership from an abstract concept into a lived organizational ethos.

Case Applications

Organizations like Starbucks, Zappos, and Patagonia exemplify the practical application of servant leadership principles, demonstrating its transformative impact on employee engagement, customer satisfaction, and organizational culture.

At Starbucks, servant leadership is embedded in its culture, emphasizing service to both employees and customers. The company prioritizes the well-being of its employees, referred to as "partners," by offering comprehensive benefits, professional development programs, and a supportive work environment. According to Umah et al. (2024), Starbucks' leadership practices focus on creating a wider positive impact on society and

the environment. These principles align with the Incarnation model of servant leadership, where empathy and humility are pivotal in fostering loyalty and trust among employees. By empowering its workforce, Starbucks not only ensures high engagement levels but also enhances customer experiences.

Zappos is another compelling example of servant leadership in practice. The company has cultivated a unique organizational culture where employee well-being and customer satisfaction are prioritized. As described by Lemoine et al. (2020), Zappos' leadership emphasizes open communication, empowerment, and shared values. The company's commitment to a stakeholder-focused approach has resulted in exceptional levels of employee engagement, customer loyalty, and organizational performance. This example underscores the importance of aligning servant leadership principles with strategic business objectives to achieve sustainable success.

Patagonia, a company renowned for its environmental and social initiatives, integrates servant leadership into its corporate ethos. The organization emphasizes stewardship, encouraging leaders and employees to act responsibly toward society and the environment. Dimitrakaki (2023) highlights Patagonia's commitment to ethical practices, transparency, and collaboration, which fosters a culture of trust and shared purpose. These practices reflect the principles of servant leadership, particularly stewardship and humility, enabling Patagonia to maintain its position as a leader in corporate social responsibility while achieving high employee engagement.

Practical lessons from these organizations demonstrate that servant leadership is not only a philosophical approach but also a practical strategy for fostering a positive and productive organizational culture. Companies adopting these principles can achieve greater employee satisfaction, lower turnover, and stronger stakeholder relationships.

CONCLUSION

The Incarnation model of servant leadership offers a transformative approach to addressing organizational challenges in fostering employee engagement. Through its emphasis on empathy, humility, and service, the model builds trust and commitment while empowering employees to achieve their fullest potential. Drawing from the principles of servant leadership, this framework aligns with theoretical perspectives like Social Exchange Theory and Self-Determination Theory, emphasizing trust-building and psychological safety as key drivers of engagement. Practical applications of this leadership model, seen in organizations like Starbucks, Zappos, and Patagonia, demonstrate its ability to enhance employee loyalty, improve collaboration, and align organizational goals with ethical practices.

Despite its promise, the Incarnation model faces challenges in universal application due to cultural and organizational diversity. The theological and ethical underpinnings of the model, while enriching, may not align with secular or culturally distinct leadership frameworks. Additionally, the variability in organizational readiness for adopting servant leadership practices, coupled with the lack of empirical studies in diverse industries, limits the scalability of this model. The effectiveness of the Incarnation model in crisis scenarios or in environments with entrenched hierarchical structures also remains underexplored.

Future research should focus on empirically evaluating the effectiveness of the Incarnation model across different cultural and organizational contexts. Cross-cultural studies can explore how theological principles integrate with diverse workplace norms. Additionally, research should investigate the micro-level mechanisms through which servant leadership influences team dynamics, individual performance, and organizational outcomes. Expanding the scope of study to include sectors like healthcare, education, and public service will also enhance the model's applicability and relevance.

The propositions developed in this study offer a structured model for integrating servant leadership with employee engagement strategies. By reinforcing principles such as humility, service, and ethical leadership, these propositions contribute to the broader discourse on faith-based leadership in contemporary corporate environments.

The Incarnation model of servant leadership holds immense potential for transforming organizational culture and employee engagement. However, realizing its full promise requires thoughtful adaptation, comprehensive research, and consistent integration into leadership practices. Let me know if this section requires further elaboration or refinement!

REFERENCES

1. Abu Bakar, H., Mustaffa, C. S., Halim, H., Omar, N., & Ramli, R. (2013). Examining empirical model of servant leadership, collectivism, power distance, communicative Budi context and team effectiveness: Materializing Malay contextual culture in Malaysian workplace. Project Report. University Utara Malaysia, Sintok. Retrieved from <https://repo.uum.edu.my/id/eprint/14737>
2. Al-Azab, M., & Al-Romeedy, B. (2023). Servant leadership and tourism businesses' outcomes: A multiple mediation model. *Tourism Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tr-11-2022-0538>
3. Alafeshat, R., & Aboud, F. (2019). Servant Leadership Impact on Organizational Performance: The Mediating Role of Employee Engagement. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v9i3.15047>
4. Alasadi, R., Muhammed, S., Abidi, O., & Dženopoljac, V. (2019). Impact of servant leadership on intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-09-2018-0337>
5. Arnawa, I. P. A. E., Suardhika, I. N., & Aristana, I. N. (2024). Employee resilience as strengthening the influence of work-life balance and servant leadership on employee engagement. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v7-i7-71>
6. Ashfaq, F., Abid, G., Ilyas, S., & Faseeh, A. (2024). Fueling work engagement through sustainable leadership: Examining sequential mediation of perceived organizational support and mental health. *Human Systems Management*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/hsm-240065>
7. Cai, M., Wang, M., & Cheng, J. (2024). The effect of servant leadership on work engagement: The role of employee resilience and organizational support. *Behavioral Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14040300>
8. Canavesi, A., & Minelli, E. (2021). Servant leadership and employee engagement: A qualitative study. *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal*, 34(4), 413–435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10672-021-09389-9>
9. Dalain, A. (2023). Nurturing employee engagement at workplace and organizational innovation in times of crisis with moderating effect of servant leadership. *SAGE Open*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231175150>
10. Davis, E., Robertson, M., & Reynolds, S. (2024). Human capital strategies to foster employee engagement within post-pandemic layoffs. *Compensation & Benefits Review*, 56(2), 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08863687241232727>
11. Demeke, G., van Engen, M. V., & Markos, S. (2024). Servant leadership in the healthcare literature: A systematic review. *Journal of Healthcare Leadership*, 16, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JHL.S440160>
12. Dimitrakaki, I. (2023). Servant Leadership: Case Study—Starbucks. *International Journal of Management and Humanities*. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijmh.f1570.029623>
13. Ejibe, I., Olutimehin, D. O., & Nwankwo, E. E. (2024). Strategic human resource management for sustainability in creative industries: A review and framework proposal. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.3.0738>
14. Ekinci, A., & Sakız, H. (2020). Servant leadership within the context of organizational efficacy. *IGI Global*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-0058-3.ch006>
15. Franco, M., & Antunes, A. (2020). Understanding servant leadership dimensions. *Nankai Business Review International*, 11(4), 345–369. <https://doi.org/10.1108/nbri-08-2019-0038>
16. Gašková, J. (2020). Servant leadership and its relation to work performance. *Central European Business Review*, 9(1), 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.18267/j.cebr.236>
17. Harunavamwe, M. (2022). Assessing the influence of self-leadership strategies and psychological capital on work engagement among banking sector employees. *Journal for New Generation Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.47588/jngs.2022.20.02.a2>

18. Idris, A., & Zairoh, N. (2022). A bibliometric analysis of servant leadership for future research. *Journal of Leadership in Organizations*. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jlo.69841>
19. Iqbal, A., Latif, K. F., & Ahmad, M. (2020). Servant leadership and employee innovative behavior: Exploring psychological pathways. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 41(6), 813–827. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-11-2019-0474>
20. Kaya, B., & Karatepe, O. M. (2020). Does servant leadership better explain work engagement, career satisfaction and adaptive performance than authentic leadership? *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijchm-05-2019-0438>
21. Lamprinou, V. D. I., Tasoulis, K., & Kravariti, F. (2021). The impact of servant leadership and perceived organizational and supervisor support on job burnout and work–life balance. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-12-2020-0526>
22. Lauren, K. S., & Henson, J. D. (2021). Jesus as humble servant. In *Biblical Organizational Leadership*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-69929-1_6
23. Lemoine, G. J., Eva, N., Meuser, J. D., & Falotico, P. (2020). Organizational performance with a broader focus: The case for a stakeholder approach to leadership. *Business Horizons*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2020.10.007>
24. Liao, S., & Zhu, X. (2021). Research on the relationship between servant leadership and employee innovation behavior: A theoretical model. Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/ASSEHR.K.210120.057>
25. Mburu, L. N. (2020). Examining how employee characteristics, workplace conditions and management practices combine to support creativity, efficiency, and effectiveness. *Journal of Business and Management Education*, 2(1), 52–64. <https://doi.org/10.35942/jbmed.v2i1.102>
26. McMahon, M. (2023). A solid foundation for servant leadership. *Journal of Biblical Integration in Business*. <https://doi.org/10.69492/jbib.v26i1.656>
27. McQuade, K., Harrison, C., & Tarbert, H. (2020). Systematically reviewing servant leadership. *European Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-08-2019-0162>
28. Miner, M., & Bickerton, G. R. (2020). A New Model of Christian Leadership: Insights from the Job Demands–Resources Model and Trinitarian Theology. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, 48(4), 276–291. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091647120908010>
29. Mutha, P., & Srivastava, M. (2021). Decoding leadership to leverage employee engagement in virtual teams. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-07-2021-2856>
30. Nguyen, M., Pontes, N., Malik, A., Gupta, J., & Gu gnani, R. (2024). Impact of high involvement work systems in shaping power, knowledge sharing, rewards and knowledge perception of employees. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 28(4), 1771–1792. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-04-2023-0345>
31. Obi, I. M. O., Aaldering, H., Bollen, K. N. L., Robijn, W., & Euwema, M. (2022). Servant/authoritarian leadership in convents, team trust, engagement, and commitment. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*. <https://doi.org/10.51327/yxbe4309>
32. Ortiz-Gómez, M., Ariza-Montes, A., & Molina-Sánchez, H. (2020). Servant Leadership in a Social Religious Organization: An Analysis of Work Engagement, Authenticity, and Spirituality at Work. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17228542>
33. Peng, J., & Chen, S. (2020). Servant leadership and service performance: A multilevel mediation model. *Psychological Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294120950302>
34. Qudratzai, H., & Gul, H. (2022). Impact of servant leadership on employee engagement: Mediating role of trust. *Kardan Journal of Economics and Management Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.31841/kjems.2022.117>
35. Rahal, F. E. Z. M., & Farmanesh, P. (2022). Does servant leadership stimulate work engagement in the workplace? The mediating role of trust in the leader. *Sustainability*, 14(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416528>
36. Rodríguez-Carvajal, R., Rivas, S., Herrero, M., Moreno-Jiménez, B., & van Dierendonck, D. (2014). Leading People Positively: Cross-Cultural Validation of the Servant Leadership Survey. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1017/sjp.2014.73>
37. Setyaningrum, R., & Pawar, A. (2020). Quality work life and employee engagement: Does servant leadership influence employee performance? *Solid State Technology*, 63(6), 5134–5141.

38. Thomas, Debby, "Jesus' Cross-Cultural Model of 'Leader as Servant' in Luke 22:24-30" (2018). Faculty Publications - School of Business. 91. <http://digitalcommons.georgefox.edu/gfsb/91>
39. Uluturk, B. (2023). How servant leadership influences street-level bureaucrats' work engagement: The mediating roles of motivating language and perceived organizational support. *Management Communication Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08933189231219708>
40. Umah, F., Yudha, M. A., Nufal, A. A., Purnomo, I., & Anshori, M. (2024). Servant Leadership Style: Implementation of Servant Leadership at Starbucks Corporation (Starbucks Coffee Company). *Formosa Journal of Applied Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.55927/fjas.v3i5.9068>
41. Wulandari, I., & Firdaus, V. (2024). Uncovering employee engagement in the power of leadership, communication, and teamwork study. *Academia Open*. <https://doi.org/10.21070/acopen.9.2024.8588>
42. Zada, S., Khan, J., & Zada, M. (2023). Does servant leadership enhance employee creativity and performance? *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/joeuc.321656>
43. Zarei, M., Supphellen, M., & Bagozzi, R. (2023). Research streams, gaps and opportunities in servant leadership research. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/loj-02-2023-0072>
44. Zeng, J., & Xu, G. (2020). How servant leadership motivates innovative behavior: A moderated mediation model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134753>
45. Zhou, G., Gul, R., & Tufail, M. (2022). Does servant leadership stimulate work engagement? The moderating role of trust in the leader. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.925732>