Enhancing Early Childhood Education through Technology Integration in U.S. Classrooms

Hakeem A. Nafiu[1], Adeyemi O. Olaitan[2]

[1]Educational Administration, Carolina University, North Carolina, U.S

[2]Arts Education, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.903SEDU0253

Received: 20 April 2025; Accepted: 04 May 2025; Published: 04 June 2025

ABSTRACT

Technology integration has constantly been adopted and helped in the enhancement of early childhood education (ECE) in many U.S. classrooms, but effective implementation remains limited in under-resourced schools. Therefore, this study investigated the role of interactive technologies, such as digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and educational applications, in enriching ECE in U.S. classrooms. Grounded in Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, five research questions and two hypotheses were formulated for the study. The study employed a mixed-methods design, integrating qualitative interviews with ECE educators and quantitative analysis of developmental outcomes among young learners. The total sample was 200 prekindergarten children and 50 educators across 10 U.S. schools. Through interviews and standardized assessments, the data for the study were collected, and the analysis was done using thematic coding, mean scores, standard deviation, and t-tests. The emerging findings highlighted how thoughtfully implemented instructional technology can support differentiated learning and cognitive engagement, particularly in under-resourced schools. Technology enhances literacy, social skills, and creativity, but access gaps persist. No significant gender differences exist in outcomes. The study concluded that technology enriches learning but requires equity. The study recommended that educators, schools, and policymakers improve infrastructure, train teachers, and ensure device access to achieve young learners' deeper and more enriched educational experience in ECE.

Keywords: Technology integration, ECE, digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, educational applications, digital equity, differentiated learning, cognitive engagement, sociocultural theory, U.S. classrooms.

INTRODUCTION

ECE in the United States lays the foundation for cognitive, social, and emotional development, which shape young learners for future success. Interactive technologies, such as digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and educational applications, transform how prekindergarten children engage with learning. Digital storytelling uses animated narratives to teach language and comprehension, captivating young minds through interactive visuals. Virtual manipulatives, like digital blocks, help children explore math concepts through touch-screen play. Educational applications offer personalized games that build literacy and problem-solving skills [42], [16], [21], [29], [30]. These tools align with developmental stages, fostering creativity and critical thinking in engaging ways. Many U.S. classrooms adopt tablets and applications to create child-centered environments, particularly in urban and suburban schools. Interactive technologies support collaborative learning, encouraging children to share ideas and solve problems together [42]. They also provide immediate feedback, enhancing motivation and self-directed learning in young children [30]. Recent studies emphasized that technology integration promotes equity by addressing diverse learning needs when implemented thoughtfully [19]. Research showed technology enhances early learning when designed thoughtfully [42], [16], [21], [30]. However, effective integration requires understanding how these technologies support diverse learners.

Digital storytelling stands out as a powerful tool in ECE, engaging children through interactive narratives that promote literacy and social-emotional skills. Stories presented on tablets or interactive whiteboards allow

children to follow characters, answer questions, and create their own endings, fostering language development and empathy. Research highlighted that digital stories improve vocabulary and comprehension in prekindergarten settings [21], [29]. These tools encourage active participation, as children tap screens to advance plots or explore themes. Teachers guide interactions to ensure learning aligns with developmental goals, such as understanding story structure or emotions [42], [23], [36]. Digital storytelling fosters collaboration, as children discuss story outcomes with peers, enhancing social skills [29]. It also supports cultural responsiveness by incorporating diverse narratives that resonate with varied backgrounds [36]. Recent research confirmed its role in building emotional intelligence through interactive character exploration [42]. Studies confirmed digital storytelling supports diverse learners, including those with language delays, by offering visual and auditory cues. However, the effectiveness depends on access to devices and quality content.

Virtual manipulatives offer hands-on learning experiences, making abstract concepts accessible to young children in ECE. These digital tools, such as virtual counting beads or shape puzzles, allow children to manipulate objects on screens, building mathematical reasoning and fine motor skills. Research showed that virtual manipulatives improve number sense and spatial awareness in prekindergarten classrooms [25], [38]. Children drag and drop digital shapes, learning through trial and error in a playful setting. These tools adapt to individual pace, supporting differentiated learning for diverse needs [6], [31], [1]. They promote problem-solving by allowing children to experiment with multiple solutions in a risk-free environment [31]. Virtual manipulatives also enhance motivation through game-like interfaces that reward progress [38]. Studies highlighted their ability to support inclusive education by offering multilingual instructions for diverse learners [1]. Teachers scaffold activities, guiding children to connect digital tasks to real-world concepts, like counting objects. Studies emphasized that virtual manipulatives engage children longer than traditional tools, enhancing focus and problem-solving.

Educational applications provide personalized learning experiences, tailoring content to young children's abilities in ECE. Applications like interactive phonics games or science exploration tools teach literacy, numeracy, and inquiry through engaging formats. Children tap to match letters or solve puzzles, receiving instant feedback that builds confidence. Research indicated that well-designed applications improve academic readiness when used with teacher guidance [16], [30]. These tools support diverse learners, offering multilingual options or adaptive challenges for special needs [19], [36], [22]. Applications foster self-regulation, as children learn to navigate tasks independently with guided feedback [22]. They also encourage inquiry by prompting children to explore scientific concepts through interactive simulations [30]. Recent studies emphasized their role in scaffolding early literacy for English learners through adaptive content [19]. Teachers integrate applications into lessons, ensuring alignment with curriculum goals, such as letter recognition or basic addition. However, application quality varies, and ineffective designs can distract children.

Accessibility remains a critical variable in technology integration, as not all U.S. ECE classrooms have equal resources. Under-resourced schools, often in low-income or rural areas, lack sufficient tablets, reliable internet, or trained educators, creating digital divides [15]. Research showed that children in these schools have less exposure to interactive technologies, limiting their learning opportunities [20], [13]. Equitable access ensures all children, regardless of background, benefit from tools like digital storytelling or applications [3], [15], [27]. Access disparities hinder the development of digital literacy skills essential for future academic success [20]. Recent studies highlighted that limited resources reduce opportunities for collaborative learning with technology in low-income settings [3]. Investments in infrastructure, such as high-speed internet, are critical to closing these gaps [15]. Teachers in well-resourced schools integrate technology seamlessly, while others struggle with outdated equipment. Studies highlighted that access gaps widen achievement disparities in early literacy and mathematics.

Cognitive engagement is a key outcome of technology integration, as interactive tools captivate young children's attention and curiosity. Digital storytelling and virtual manipulatives encourage active participation, prompting children to explore, question, and create. Research showed that engaging technologies increase attention spans and motivation in prekindergarten settings [42], [36]. Children interact with applications, solving problems or narrating stories, which fosters critical thinking and creativity [16], [23], [31]. Engaging tools promote sustained focus, enabling children to persist in challenging tasks [23]. They also support collaborative problem-solving, as children share devices and discuss solutions [36]. Recent studies emphasized

that interactive technologies enhance curiosity through open-ended exploration [42]. Teachers play a vital role, guiding interactions to maintain focus and connect digital tasks to learning goals. Studies indicated that engagement is highest when tools are intuitive and age-appropriate, avoiding overstimulation [27]. However, engagement varies by school resources, with under-resourced classrooms facing barriers to consistent use.

The study, therefore, guides equitable technology integration in U.S. ECE, ensuring all children benefit from digital learning. Interactive technologies, grounded in Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, support social interaction and cultural tools, fostering holistic development [19], [42]. Findings will inform teacher training, helping educators use tools like digital storytelling effectively [10]. The research also guides policymakers to fund infrastructure to reduce digital divides [27].

Statement of the Problem

The integration of interactive technologies in ECE in U.S. is essential to equip young learners for a technology-driven future, yet equitable implementation remains challenging. Digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and educational applications foster literacy, math skills, and engagement, supporting developmental milestones [43]. However, access disparities in under-resourced schools, particularly in low-income and rural areas, restrict these benefits, widening achievement gaps [12]. This study is necessary to explore how these tools can be effectively and equitably integrated to enhance learning for diverse prekindergarten children [27]. By addressing barriers such as limited devices and inadequate training, the research aims to promote equitable education, ensuring all children gain essential skills through technology, making it critical to investigate its role in U.S. early childhood settings [5], [15].

Prior studies provide valuable insights into technology's role in ECE, yet gaps persist in addressing equitable integration. Hirsh-Pasek et al. [17] identified principles for designing educational apps that enhance learning. Their findings indicated that interactive, scaffolded apps improve literacy and math, but many lack educational value. The study's focus on app design overlooked classroom access and implementation challenges. Plowman and Stephen [3] explored teacher-guided technology use in prekindergarten settings. They found that guided interactions boost engagement and learning, but insufficient teacher training hinders effectiveness. The study's limited sample size reduced its applicability to diverse U.S. contexts. Zosh et al. [43] investigated digital storytelling's impact on literacy and social-emotional development. Their findings showed that interactive narratives enhance vocabulary and empathy, though success relies on quality content and teacher support. The study did not address access disparities across socioeconomic groups, limiting its scope. Clements and Sarama [5] examined virtual manipulatives' role in early math education. They found that digital tools improve number sense and engagement when guided by teachers, but curriculum alignment is critical. The study's focus on math tools and urban settings neglected broader applications and rural contexts.

Existing research on technology in ECE reveals significant gaps in achieving equitable integration across U.S. classrooms. Studies often focus on specific tools, such as apps or virtual manipulatives, or outcomes like literacy, but rarely address holistic implementation, particularly in under-resourced schools [17], [5]. Access disparities, including limited devices, unreliable internet, and inadequate teacher training, disproportionately affect low-income and rural children, yet solutions remain underexplored [12]. This study aims to fill this gap by examining how digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and educational applications can be equitably integrated to enhance engagement and learning for all prekindergarten children. Filling this gap is significant, as it will inform strategies to reduce digital divides, enhance teacher preparedness, and ensure technology supports diverse learners, ultimately narrowing achievement gaps and fostering inclusive ECE [27], [43].

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to investigate the role of interactive technologies in enriching ECE in U.S. classrooms. The specific objectives include to:

1. evaluate the effectiveness of digital storytelling in enhancing literacy and social-emotional skills among prekindergarten children in U.S. classrooms;

2. assess the impact of virtual manipulatives on mathematical reasoning and engagement in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms;

3. investigate the role of educational applications in delivering personalized learning experiences in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms;

4. identify barriers to equitable technology integration in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms; and

5. explore strategies for improving technology integration in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms.

Hypotheses

Ho1: There is no significant difference in literacy, numeracy, and engagement outcomes between students using technology-integrated instruction and those using traditional methods in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms.

Ho2: There is no significant difference in developmental outcomes by socioeconomic status among prekindergarten students in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Interactive Technologies in Early Childhood Classrooms

Interactive technologies revolutionize ECE by fostering cognitive, social, and emotional growth in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms. Tools such as digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and educational applications engage young learners through play-based, interactive formats tailored to developmental needs. These technologies support literacy, numeracy, and problem-solving, aligning with curriculum goals when guided by teachers [17]. Research indicated that well-designed tools enhance engagement and skill development, particularly for diverse learners [43]. Teachers scaffold interactions to ensure meaningful learning, connecting digital tasks to educational objectives [33]. However, effectiveness depends on access, quality design, and teacher preparedness, with under-resourced schools facing significant barriers [12].

The integration of interactive technologies requires careful alignment with developmental appropriateness and classroom contexts. Digital storytelling captivates children with narratives, fostering language and empathy, while virtual manipulatives build math skills through hands-on exploration. Educational applications offer personalized learning, adapting to individual needs [41]. Research emphasized that technology enhances outcomes when balanced with traditional methods, avoiding overuse that may diminish benefits [35]. Teachers select tools that align with learning objectives, such as comprehension or number sense, to maximize impact [5]. Technology supports diverse learners, including those with special needs, through accessible formats like multilingual prompts [15]. Disparities in device access and teacher training, however, limit equitable integration in many schools [26].

Systemic support, including infrastructure and professional development, is critical for interactive technologies' success. Schools with robust resources provide devices and training, enabling seamless integration of digital tools [7]. Research indicated that technology-rich environments improve academic readiness, but under-resourced schools struggle with outdated equipment [12]. Teachers require training to use tools effectively, ensuring alignment with developmental goals like literacy or problem-solving [33]. Culturally relevant content in technologies enhances engagement for diverse learners, supporting inclusivity [15]. NAEYC [27] advocates for policies that ensure equitable access and quality design, addressing barriers such as digital divides.

Digital Storytelling. Digital storytelling engages prekindergarten children through interactive narratives, promoting literacy and social-emotional skills. Animated stories on tablets or whiteboards allow children to follow characters, make choices, and create endings, fostering vocabulary and comprehension. Research indicated that digital stories improve language skills, particularly for children with delays, by offering visual and auditory cues [43]. Teachers guide interactions, asking questions to deepen understanding of story themes

or emotions, ensuring educational value [35]. Quality design, with clear narratives and simple prompts, sustains engagement and supports learning [12]. NAEYC [27] stresses selecting stories with clear educational objectives to align with developmental goals. Digital storytelling creates inclusive environments, engaging diverse learners through multilingual options and culturally relevant content [15]. These features make digital storytelling a powerful tool for enhancing literacy in ECE [5], [24].

Digital storytelling's emotional benefits enhance its role in ECE. Interactive narratives enable children to relate to characters' feelings, fostering empathy and social awareness. Zosh et al. [43] found that digital stories improve emotional understanding, as children discuss characters' motivations with peers and teachers. Facilitated discussions help children process emotions and connect stories to real-life experiences, deepening engagement [33]. Culturally relevant stories, reflecting diverse backgrounds, strengthen emotional connections and inclusivity [15]. Poorly designed stories with complex interfaces may confuse young learners, reducing emotional benefits [11]. NAEYC [26] recommends teacher-guided storytelling to maintain focus and meaning, preventing passive consumption. Digital storytelling supports diverse emotional needs, creating empathetic learning environments that resonate with varied learners in prekindergarten settings [41], [24].

Teacher expertise and equitable access are essential for effective digital storytelling integration. Educators select age-appropriate narratives and facilitate discussions to align with goals like narrative structure or empathy [35]. Research indicated that guided storytelling enhances literacy and social outcomes, but under-resourced schools lack devices or reliable internet [12]. Children in well-resourced schools benefit from consistent exposure, improving comprehension and engagement [5]. Equitable access to tablets and quality content ensures all learners benefit from storytelling's advantages [27]. Untrained teachers may struggle to integrate storytelling effectively, underscoring the need for professional development [33]. Device grants and training programs support inclusive implementation, addressing access and skill gaps [15]. Digital storytelling's potential to foster literacy and empathy depends on systemic support for equitable integration [43], [24].

Virtual Manipulatives. Virtual manipulatives provide hands-on math learning, making abstract concepts accessible to young children. Digital tools, such as virtual blocks or counting beads, allow children to manipulate objects on screens, building number sense and spatial skills. Clements and Sarama [5] found that virtual manipulatives enhance mathematical reasoning in prekindergarten settings. Children engage in playful exploration, dragging shapes to learn counting or patterns, with instant feedback sustaining interest [24]. Teachers scaffold activities, guiding children to connect digital tasks to real-world math concepts like sorting [33]. Virtual manipulatives support diverse learners by adapting to individual paces, ensuring accessibility [41]. NAEYC [26] emphasizes selecting tools with intuitive designs to facilitate learning. Virtual manipulatives create engaging, inclusive math experiences that strengthen foundational skills in ECE [11], [15].

The cognitive benefits of virtual manipulatives arise from their interactive, adaptive nature. Children experiment with digital tools, learning through trial and error, which fosters problem-solving and critical thinking. Research demonstrated that virtual manipulatives sustain engagement longer than traditional tools, as interactive features encourage persistence [5]. Dragging digital counters helps children grasp addition, with feedback reinforcing learning and confidence [24]. Teachers guide tasks to ensure alignment with math objectives, enhancing cognitive growth [35]. Virtual manipulatives support special needs learners by offering adjustable challenges, promoting inclusivity [43]. Complex interfaces may frustrate young users, reducing cognitive gains if not addressed [12]. NAEYC [27] recommends simple designs to maximize learning benefits. Virtual manipulatives strengthen math fluency and engagement, supporting diverse learners in prekindergarten settings [15], [37].

Reliable infrastructure and teacher training are critical for implementing virtual manipulatives effectively. Schools with ample devices and internet enable consistent use, enhancing math outcomes for young learners [7]. Research indicated that under-resourced schools struggle with limited or outdated equipment, restricting access to manipulatives [12]. Teachers require training to integrate tools into lessons, ensuring tasks build skills like spatial reasoning or counting [33]. Trained teachers create engaging math activities that improve student focus and understanding [5]. Equitable access is essential, as resource disparities widen achievement

gaps in ECE [26]. Funding for devices and professional development supports implementation, ensuring all children benefit from virtual manipulatives' cognitive advantages [15]. Systemic support enhances the tools' impact on math learning in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [41], [24].

Educational Applications. Educational applications deliver personalized learning, tailoring content to young children's abilities. Apps like phonics games or science puzzles teach literacy, numeracy, and inquiry through interactive formats. Research noted that well-designed apps improve academic readiness when guided by teachers, enhancing skill development [17]. Children tap to solve tasks, receiving feedback that builds confidence and motivation in learning [43]. Teachers integrate apps into lessons, aligning with goals like letter recognition or problem-solving to ensure educational value [33]. Apps support diverse learners, offering multilingual options or adaptive challenges for special needs, fostering inclusivity [15]. NAEYC [27] stresses selecting apps with clear educational objectives to avoid distractions. Poorly designed apps may confuse children, reducing learning effectiveness [11]. Educational applications enhance personalized learning in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [5], [37].

The adaptability of educational applications makes them powerful for inclusive education. Apps adjust difficulty based on a child's progress, supporting differentiated learning for diverse abilities. Research suggested that adaptive apps improve literacy and math skills, particularly for English learners or children with disabilities [41]. Phonics apps with multilingual prompts aid non-English speakers, enhancing accessibility [15]. Teachers ensure apps align with curriculum goals, guiding children through tasks to maximize learning outcomes [35]. Apps with culturally relevant content increase engagement, resonating with diverse backgrounds and fostering inclusion [26]. Low-quality apps with excessive features may distract young learners, undermining effectiveness [12]. NAEYC [27] recommends teacher vetting to ensure quality and relevance. Adaptive apps create equitable, engaging learning experiences for all prekindergarten children [5], [24].

Access and teacher expertise are vital for effective educational application use. Schools with sufficient devices enable regular app engagement, enhancing skill development across subjects [7]. Research indicated that under-resourced schools face device shortages, limiting app exposure and learning opportunities [12]. Teachers require training to select high-quality apps and integrate them into lessons, ensuring alignment with educational objectives [33]. Trained teachers create personalized lessons that improve outcomes, particularly for diverse learners [17]. Equitable access to devices and internet ensures all children benefit from apps' advantages [27]. Device grants and online training programs support implementation, addressing access and skill gaps [15]. Systemic support enhances educational applications' impact on personalized learning in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [43], [37].

Digital Equity vs. Access Barriers in Technology Integration

Digital equity ensures all prekindergarten children benefit from technology, but access barriers persist in U.S. classrooms. Low-income and rural schools often lack devices, reliable internet, or updated software, creating significant inequities [40]. These barriers limit exposure to digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and apps, hindering skill development [12]. Well-funded schools provide ample tablets and connectivity, enhancing outcomes for their students [7]. Research stated that access gaps widen educational disparities, as underserved children miss critical digital experiences [15]. NAEYC [26] emphasizes equitable policies to address these barriers, promoting inclusive learning environments. Systemic solutions, such as funding and infrastructure improvements, are essential to ensure all children access interactive technologies, narrowing achievement gaps [41], [33].

Socioeconomic disparities significantly shape technology access in ECE. Low-income schools rely on outdated or limited devices, restricting use of modern tools like educational apps. Children in these schools have less exposure to interactive technologies, impacting literacy and math development [40]. Affluent schools, with robust budgets, deploy advanced devices, enabling consistent integration and better outcomes. Home access exacerbates inequities, as low-income families struggle to afford tablets or internet [27]. Children with home access reinforce classroom learning, improving skills [43]. Socioeconomic barriers create uneven

opportunities, disadvantaging underserved learners before kindergarten [15]. Device subsidies and broadband programs can mitigate gaps, promoting equitable access for all children [35], [37].

Rural schools face unique access barriers, complicating digital equity efforts. Limited infrastructure, such as unreliable internet or frequent outages, restricts technology use in rural classrooms [32]. Research indicated that rural prekindergarten programs often lack funding for devices, relying on shared or obsolete equipment [12]. This limits engagement with tools like virtual manipulatives, hindering math development and digital literacy [5]. Urban and suburban schools, with better connectivity, integrate technology more effectively, creating outcome disparities [26]. Rural children miss opportunities to develop skills critical for future success [15]. Mobile tech labs or satellite internet could address these barriers, but adoption remains slow [41]. Infrastructure improvements are essential to ensure equitable technology integration in rural U.S. classrooms [40], [24].

Policy interventions play a crucial role in overcoming access barriers to promote digital equity. Programs like E-Rate fund school internet, but device shortages persist in under-resourced areas [27]. Policies providing tablets or public-private partnerships increase access, improving engagement and learning. Policies often neglect teacher training, however, limiting effective integration [33]. Sustained funding is needed to maintain infrastructure, as one-time grants are insufficient. Community solutions, such as tech lending libraries, support home access, enhancing equity [43]. NAEYC [26] advocates for comprehensive policies addressing devices, connectivity, and training. These interventions ensure all children access interactive technologies, fostering inclusive education [11], [37].

Home access barriers exacerbate digital inequities, limiting technology's benefits outside school. Low-income families often lack devices or reliable internet, restricting children's exposure to educational apps [40]. Research indicated that children with home access engage in supplemental learning, reinforcing skills like literacy and numeracy [43]. Without home access, children rely on limited school resources, creating disparities in skill development [12]. Consistent exposure enhances outcomes, but low-income children are disadvantaged. Device lending programs or subsidized internet can bridge home access gaps, supporting equity [27]. Parental guidance improves outcomes, but low-income parents may need additional support [35]. Equitable home access ensures all children benefit from technology both in and out of U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [5], [24].

Systemic efforts are needed to achieve digital equity by addressing access barriers. Research indicated that equitable access to technology enhances developmental outcomes, particularly for underserved learners [7]. Without intervention, barriers like device shortages and unreliable internet perpetuate achievement gaps. Collaborative initiatives, including government funding and community programs, provide resources to under-resourced schools [26]. Culturally relevant tools, such as multilingual apps, enhance equity by engaging diverse learners [15]. Teachers advocate for resources but require support to address inequities effectively [33]. Overcoming access barriers ensures all children develop essential skills through technology, preparing them for future success [41], [37].

Interactive Technology and Cognitive and Emotional Engagement in Young Learners

Interactive technology fosters cognitive and emotional engagement, captivating young learners in prekindergarten classrooms. Digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and educational applications create child-centered activities that spark curiosity and motivation. Narratives engage children emotionally, while manipulatives promote cognitive problem-solving through interactive play [5]. Studies emphasized that engaging tools increase attention spans and emotional investment, enhancing learning outcomes [41]. Well-designed apps with intuitive interfaces sustain focus, supporting cognitive growth and skill development [17]. Teachers guide interactions, aligning tasks with developmental goals like empathy or reasoning [33]. Poorly designed tools may frustrate children, reducing engagement and effectiveness [11]. Interactive technology creates dynamic, inclusive learning environments that strengthen cognitive and emotional development in ECE [27], [15].

Digital storytelling drives emotional engagement by connecting children to interactive narratives. Stories on tablets allow children to make choices, fostering empathy and comprehension through character-driven plots. Zosh et al. [43] found that digital narratives enhance emotional understanding, as children relate to characters' experiences. Teachers facilitate discussions, helping children process emotions and themes, ensuring meaningful engagement [35]. Quality design, with clear visuals and prompts, sustains emotional connection and learning. Multilingual storytelling apps engage diverse learners, promoting inclusion and emotional resonance [15]. Overstimulating designs may disrupt emotional benefits, causing frustration or disengagement. Guided use prevents passive consumption, maintaining focus and deepening emotional learning in prekindergarten settings [5], [24].

Virtual manipulatives enhance cognitive engagement through interactive math exploration. Digital tools, like virtual counters, allow children to experiment, building reasoning and problem-solving skills. Manipulatives sustain focus longer than traditional tools, as feedback encourages persistence in learning. Children drag shapes to learn concepts like addition, with interactive features fostering cognitive growth [24]. Teachers scaffold tasks, ensuring alignment with math objectives to maximize learning [33]. Manipulatives support diverse learners, adapting to individual needs and promoting inclusivity [41]. Complex interfaces may confuse young users, reducing engagement if not addressed [12]. NAEYC [26] recommends intuitive designs to enhance cognitive benefits. Virtual manipulatives create focused, interactive math learning environments for prekindergarten children [15], [37].

Educational applications boost engagement through personalized, interactive formats. Games like letter-matching or science puzzles motivate children to explore, fostering inquiry and creativity. Research indicated that well-designed apps increase cognitive engagement with adaptive challenges and instant feedback [17]. Children remain invested when apps are intuitive, enhancing motivation and skill development [43]. Teachers select apps aligned with goals, like phonics, guiding children to stay focused [33]. Poorly designed apps with distracting features reduce engagement and effectiveness [11]. Culturally relevant apps resonate with diverse learners, increasing emotional connection and inclusion [15]. NAEYC [27] recommends balancing app use with teacher interaction to sustain attention. Educational apps create dynamic, inclusive learning experiences for young learners [5], [37].

Teacher facilitation sustains engagement with interactive technology. Educators guide children through digital tasks, ensuring activities remain meaningful and aligned with goals like empathy or logic. Research indicated that teacher-led interactions enhance engagement, connecting technology to learning objectives [35]. Teachers manage screen time, preventing overstimulation that disrupts focus and engagement. Engagement varies by resources, with under-resourced schools struggling to provide consistent access to technology [40]. Training enables teachers to select engaging tools, improving outcomes for diverse learners. NAEYC [26] emphasizes culturally responsive facilitation to engage varied learners effectively. Without guidance, children may disengage or misuse technology, limiting its benefits [41]. Skilled facilitation ensures interactive technology maximizes engagement in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [15], [24].

Tool quality and access disparities pose challenges to engagement. Poorly designed apps or manipulatives with complex interfaces frustrate children, reducing cognitive and emotional investment [11]. Research indicated that children in under-resourced schools have limited access to engaging technologies, restricting interactive experiences [40]. This creates disparities, as children with consistent access show greater engagement and skill development [7]. Quality tools enhance motivation and focus, improving learning outcomes [43]. Teacher training affects engagement, as unprepared educators struggle to facilitate tasks effectively [33]. Providing high-quality tools and training ensures equitable engagement for all learners [27]. Addressing these challenges creates engaging, inclusive learning environments in ECE [15], [37].

Professional Teacher and Technology Integration in the Classroom

Professional teachers are central to effective technology integration in ECE. Educators must master developmental appropriateness and technical skills to use digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and apps effectively. Many lack confidence in selecting or integrating technology, reducing its classroom impact [2]. Professional development enhances skills, enabling teachers to choose quality tools and align them with

curriculum goals [32]. Training fosters culturally responsive pedagogy, ensuring technology meets diverse needs [11]. Research reinforced that trained teachers create engaging, inclusive lessons, improving outcomes for young learners [5]. Without support, teachers struggle to leverage technology's potential, limiting learning benefits [33]. Professional development is essential for effective technology integration in prekindergarten classrooms [27], [15].

Professional development programs bolster teachers' ability to integrate technology effectively. Training focuses on selecting age-appropriate tools, like apps or digital stories, that align with learning objectives. Research indicated that workshops increase teacher confidence, improving lesson planning and implementation [32]. Training on virtual manipulatives enables teachers to guide math activities, enhancing reasoning and engagement [5]. Programs address cultural responsiveness, ensuring technology supports diverse learners [2]. NAEYC [27] recommends ongoing training to adapt to evolving tools and technologies Trained teachers integrate technology seamlessly, boosting student engagement and outcomes [12]. Access to training varies, with under-resourced schools lacking resources, limiting effectiveness [33]. Professional development creates inclusive, effective technology use in ECE [41], [15].

Culturally responsive pedagogy is a key component of teacher training for technology integration. Educators learn to select tools with diverse content, such as multilingual apps or culturally relevant stories, to engage all learners. Research indicated that culturally responsive technology increases participation, particularly for minority children, fostering inclusion [15]. Training helps teachers adapt digital tasks to individual needs, promoting equity in the classroom [11]. Digital storytelling with diverse narratives fosters empathy and cultural awareness [43]. NAEYC [26] emphasizes that culturally responsive training improves outcomes by ensuring inclusivity. Many programs lack focus on cultural competence, limiting their effectiveness [2]. Mentorship enhances teachers' ability to implement responsive practices, supporting diverse learners [32]. Culturally responsive training prepares teachers for equitable technology integration [5], [24].

Barriers to teacher integration include limited training access and time constraints. Under-resourced schools often lack funding for professional development, leaving teachers unsupported [12]. Research indicated that teachers in low-income districts receive less training, hindering effective technology use [33]. Time pressures limit participation, as educators balance teaching and administrative duties [2]. Without training, teachers rely on trial-and-error, leading to inconsistent outcomes [5]. Online modules or peer mentoring offer flexible, cost-effective solutions [32]. NAEYC [27] advocates for systemic support, like funding and dedicated training time. Addressing these barriers ensures teachers are equipped to use technology inclusively, benefiting all learners [41], [15].

Ongoing support sustains teacher integration of technology. Mentorship, peer collaboration, and continuous training help educators adapt to new tools and classroom needs. Research indicated that ongoing support improves confidence in using tools like virtual manipulatives, enhancing student outcomes [5]. Mentors guide teachers in selecting quality apps or integrating digital storytelling effectively [32]. Collaborative communities foster innovation, as teachers share strategies and best practices [11]. NAEYC [26] recommends regular workshops and feedback to maintain skills. Ongoing support is limited in under-resourced schools, where access to mentors is scarce [33]. Equitable training distribution ensures all teachers benefit, promoting effective integration [43], [15].

Systemic changes support teacher integration of technology. Schools must prioritize funding for training, ensuring access for educators in under-resourced areas. Research indicated that well-trained teachers create equitable lessons, narrowing achievement gaps [12]. Policies should mandate professional development in teacher certification, embedding technology skills [27]. Partnerships with universities or tech companies provide resources and expertise [32]. Teachers need time for training without compromising classroom duties [2]. Addressing systemic barriers ensures educators are prepared to use technology effectively, benefiting diverse learners [41], [15].

School Infrastructure and Resource Allocation Influence on Technology Integration

School infrastructure and resource allocation profoundly impact technology integration in ECE. Well-resourced schools provide modern devices, reliable internet, and trained staff, enhancing learning outcomes.

Under-resourced schools face barriers like outdated equipment and connectivity issues, limiting technology's effectiveness [7]. Research indicated that resource disparities affect kindergarten readiness, with well-funded schools reporting stronger skill gains [18]. Equitable funding ensures all children access tools like digital storytelling or apps. Infrastructure improvements, such as broadband expansion, enable consistent technology use [12]. Equitable allocation supports inclusive learning in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [15], [41].

Funding disparities create uneven technology infrastructure across U.S. schools. Affluent districts invest in tablets, interactive whiteboards, and high-speed internet, facilitating seamless integration [7]. Research indicated that children in these schools benefit from consistent digital tool use, improving readiness [5]. Low-income schools rely on limited or obsolete devices, restricting interactive learning opportunities. Funding gaps widen achievement disparities, as underserved children miss digital experiences [40]. State or federal grants can provide devices and connectivity, promoting equity. Public-private partnerships supply equipment to needy districts, enhancing access [15]. Equitable funding ensures all children benefit from technology in ECE [43], [37].

Internet connectivity is a cornerstone of school infrastructure for technology integration. Reliable Wi-Fi enables access to cloud-based apps, digital storytelling, and virtual manipulatives. Schools with strong connectivity integrate technology effectively, enhancing engagement [5]. Under-resourced schools, especially in rural areas, face unreliable internet, limiting digital learning [32]. Connectivity gaps reduce opportunities for digital literacy, critical for future success. E-Rate funding or satellite internet can bridge gaps, but implementation varies [27]. Schools with consistent connectivity report improved literacy and math outcomes [41]. Equitable internet access supports technology integration in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [12], [24].

Device availability influences technology integration's success. Schools with sufficient tablets or computers enable regular use of apps and manipulatives, fostering skill development. Research noted that device-rich environments improve engagement and outcomes [7]. Under-resourced schools face device shortages, forcing children to share or miss digital activities [11]. Limited access restricts exposure to interactive tools, widening achievement gaps [40]. Device grants or leasing programs increase availability in low-income districts [26]. Schools with equitable device distribution report stronger literacy and numeracy gains [5]. Equitable access ensures all children benefit from technology in ECE [15], [41].

Staff support, including tech specialists and aides, enhances technology integration. Well-resourced schools employ staff to maintain devices and assist teachers, improving efficiency. Research indicated that dedicated support enables seamless technology use, boosting outcomes [5]. Under-resourced schools lack such personnel, burdening teachers and disrupting learning [12]. Staff shortages in low-income districts limit technology's impact due to maintenance issues [32]. Hiring tech support or training existing staff addresses gaps, ensuring reliable infrastructure [27]. Schools with robust staff support report higher engagement and skill gains [43]. Equitable resource allocation provides personnel for technology integration in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms [15], [37].

Systemic changes address resource disparities and strengthen school infrastructure. Equitable funding models, prioritizing high-need schools, provide devices and connectivity. Research indicated that targeted investments reduce achievement gaps, ensuring access to digital tools [18]. Policies should mandate infrastructure upgrades, like broadband expansion, to support modern technologies [26]. Partnerships with tech companies supply equipment and expertise [11]. Community advocacy drives policy changes, securing resources for equitable integration [15]. Inclusive environments where technology enhances learning benefit all children [41], [40].

Theoretical Framework: Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory

Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory underpins this study, framing technology integration in ECE as a social and cultural process. The theory posits that learning occurs through interactions with cultural tools and social agents, shaping cognitive development. Interactive technologies, like digital storytelling and virtual manipulatives, serve as cultural tools, mediating learning in prekindergarten classrooms [39]. Teachers and peers facilitate the Zone of Proximal Development, where children perform tasks with guidance they cannot

yet do alone. Digital tools extend this zone, offering scaffolded activities, such as adaptive apps tailored to a child's level [35]. Research showed that technology-enhanced interactions foster language, problem-solving, and social skills when guided [43]. This framework supports the study's focus on teacher-guided technology, emphasizing social contexts in U.S. classrooms [27], [15].

The Sociocultural Theory highlights collaborative learning in technology integration. Digital storytelling encourages children to discuss narratives with peers and teachers, building language and empathy within social contexts. Virtual manipulatives promote collaborative problem-solving, as children share strategies during digital tasks [5]. Vygotsky [39] emphasized that cultural tools, like technology, mediate learning through shared meaning-making. Research showed that teacher facilitation within the Zone of Proximal Development enhances technology's impact, guiding children through interactive tasks [33]. Studies highlight that collaborative technology use supports diverse learners, fostering inclusion with culturally relevant content [15]. NAEYC [26] advocates for social, interactive technology practices to align with sociocultural principles.

Vygotsky's theory informs the study's emphasis on equity and teacher preparedness. The theory suggests that all children need access to cultural tools for social learning, yet digital divides limit opportunities for underserved learners [12]. Teachers, as mediators, require training to facilitate technology within social contexts, ensuring tasks align with developmental zones [35]. Research showed that equitable access to tools like apps or manipulatives enables inclusive interactions, supporting cognitive and social growth [43]. The study's goals of reducing access barriers and enhancing training align with Vygotsky's focus on universal access to learning tools [39]. NAEYC [27] emphasizes sociocultural approaches to create equitable classrooms.

Empirical Review

Empirical studies offer insights into technology integration in ECE, highlighting benefits and limitations. Moomaw [24] explored STEM-focused apps and manipulatives in prekindergarten settings. The study found that interactive tools enhance engagement and problem-solving, but limited teacher training hinders implementation; its STEM focus overlooked literacy outcomes. Couse and Chen [7] investigated tablet use in early childhood classrooms. Their findings showed that tablets improve engagement and skills when guided, but access disparities in low-income schools limit impact; the small sample size reduced generalizability. These studies underscore technology's potential to foster developmental outcomes when supported by trained educators [27]. They align with the current study's focus on equitable integration, highlighting training and access as critical factors [15], [41].

Additional studies highlight access and contextual factors in technology integration. Wartella et al. [40] examined digital media exposure among young children, focusing on access and parental roles. The study found that low-income children have less access to educational technology, exacerbating inequities, but parental guidance enhances benefits; its survey-based approach lacked classroom context. Parette et al. [32] investigated technology integration in early childhood special education. Their findings showed that digital tools support diverse learners when teachers are trained, but resource constraints in special education settings pose challenges; the focus on special education limited broader insights. These studies reinforce the need for equitable access and teacher preparedness, central to the current study's objectives [12]. They emphasize the role of home and classroom environments in effective technology use [26], [37].

Further research addresses systemic and attitudinal factors. Blackwell et al. [2] studied teacher attitudes toward digital media in early childhood settings. The study found that positive attitudes correlate with effective integration, but lack of training reduces confidence; reliance on self-reports may skew results. Judge et al. [18] examined technology's impact on kindergarten readiness in high-poverty schools. Their findings showed that access to quality technology improves readiness, but funding disparities limit opportunities; the dated context may not reflect current technologies. These studies highlight the need for systemic support, like funding and training, aligning with the current study's focus on infrastructure and teacher preparedness [5]. They support the study's equity goals, emphasizing solutions to ensure all children benefit from technology [27], [15].

METHODS

Research Design. This study utilized a mixed-methods design to evaluate interactive technology integration in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches. A quasi-experimental design with non-equivalent groups assessed the impact of technology-enhanced instruction, including digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and educational applications, on developmental outcomes. Pre-tests and post-tests measured literacy, numeracy, and engagement. The experimental group received technology-integrated lessons, while the control group used traditional methods. Semi-structured teacher interviews and classroom observations provided qualitative data on implementation challenges, teacher preparedness, and access barriers. This design addressed effectiveness, accessibility, engagement, and equity, capturing measurable outcomes and contextual insights. The mixed-methods approach ensured a comprehensive evaluation of technology's role in ECE, balancing statistical analysis with classroom perspectives. The study aligned with national standards for developmentally appropriate technology use [9], [27], [11].

Population and Sample. The study targeted prekindergarten students, aged 4 to 5 years, and teachers in U.S. public schools across urban, suburban, and rural districts. The population comprised approximately 1.2 million students and 60,000 teachers, based on national data [28]. A purposive sample included 200 students, with 100 in the experimental group and 100 in the control group, and 20 teachers from 10 schools. Five urban, three suburban, and two rural schools ensured diverse socioeconomic and infrastructural representation. Schools were selected based on participation willingness and technology resources. Students were assigned by classroom to maintain routine, and teachers were randomly assigned to experimental or control conditions. This sampling strategy facilitated generalizability and addressed equity and access barriers. The sample supported the study's focus on inclusive technology integration in varied educational contexts [15], [41].

Instrumentation. Three instruments measured study variables: the Early Learning Technology Assessment, teacher interviews, and classroom observation protocols. The Early Learning Technology Assessment, a researcher-developed test, included 30 items on literacy, such as vocabulary and comprehension, numeracy, such as counting and patterns, and engagement, such as task persistence. Expert review and a table of specifications ensured content validity. Semi-structured interviews, lasting 20 to 30 minutes, used open-ended questions to explore technology integration, training needs, and access barriers. The observation protocol recorded technology use, such as frequency and tool type, and student engagement, such as interactions and focus, during 60-minute sessions. A pilot study with 20 students and two teachers confirmed instrument reliability, targeting a Cronbach's alpha of 0.8 for the assessment [8], [26], [33].

Data Collection Procedures. Data collection occurred over 12 weeks in 10 participating schools. The Early Learning Technology Assessment was administered as a pre-test to 200 students. An 8-week intervention followed, with the experimental group receiving technology-integrated lessons, including digital storytelling, virtual manipulatives, and apps, three times weekly. The control group used traditional methods, such as books and physical manipulatives. Post-tests measured developmental gains. Concurrently, 20 semi-structured teacher interviews, 10 from each group, were conducted in weeks 10 to 11, recorded, and transcribed. Twenty classroom observations, two per school, occurred biweekly, documenting technology use and engagement. Teachers received training on intervention protocols to ensure consistency, and data were stored securely.

Data Analysis. Quantitative data from the Early Learning Technology Assessment were analyzed using descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations, to summarize pre-test and post-test scores. Independent t-tests compared experimental and control group outcomes, testing hypotheses on literacy, numeracy, and engagement. Effect sizes, using Cohen's d, assessed practical significance. Qualitative data from interviews and observations were analyzed thematically, following six steps: transcription, coding, theme identification, review, definition, and reporting [4]. Codes addressed access barriers, teacher preparedness, and engagement patterns. Triangulation with quantitative findings enhanced validity. Mixed-methods integration occurred during interpretation, contextualizing test score gains with qualitative themes, such as infrastructure challenges. Statistical software, SPSS, and qualitative tools, NVivo, facilitated analysis.

Ethical Considerations. Ethical considerations ensured participant well-being and data integrity. Informed consent was obtained from parents for student participation and from teachers, explaining study purpose,

procedures, and voluntary withdrawal. Age-appropriate explanations secured child assent. Anonymized data, using unique identifiers, and secure storage protected confidentiality. The intervention followed guidelines for developmentally appropriate technology use, posing minimal risk. Schools received no incentives to avoid coercion, but training materials were shared post-study. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was obtained to comply with ethical standards. Qualitative data were reported without identifying details, safeguarding teacher and student privacy. These measures respected participants and maintained academic integrity. The study adhered to ethical research practices in ECE, ensuring trust and transparency [8], [27], [12].

RESULTS

The study employs a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative data from the Early Learning Technology Assessment (ELTA) and qualitative data from semi-structured teacher interviews and classroom observations. The quantitative analysis uses descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations) and inferential statistics (t-tests) to evaluate developmental outcomes in literacy, numeracy, and engagement. The qualitative analysis uses thematic coding to explore implementation challenges, teacher preparedness, and access barriers. Mixed-methods integration triangulates findings to provide a holistic understanding of technology integration's impact.

Table 1: Demographic Data Prekindergarten Students and Teachers

Demographic Data		Frequency	Percentage
Student Age	4 years	120	60.0%
	5 years	80	40.0%
	Total	200	100%
Gender	Male	95	47.5%
	Female	105	52.5%
	Total	200	100%
Socioeconomic Status	Low-Income	70	35.0%
	Middle-Income	90	45.0%
	High-Income	40	20.0%
	Total	200	100%
School Location	Urban	100	50.0%
	Suburban	60	30.0%
	Rural	40	20.0%
	Total	200	100%
Teacher Experience	1 – 5years	8	40.0%
	6 – 10years	7	35.0%
	11years & Above	5	25.0%
	Total	20	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study's participants. The sample includes 200 prekindergarten students and 20 teachers from 10 U.S. schools. Among students, 120 are 4 years old (60.0%), and 80 are 5 years old (40.0%). The gender distribution is balanced, with 95 males (47.5%) and 105 females (52.5%). For socioeconomic status, 70 students come from low-income families (35.0%), 90 from middle-income families (45.0%), and 40 from high-income families (20.0%). Half of the students attend urban schools (100 students, 50.0%), 60 attend suburban schools (30.0%), and 40 attend rural schools (20.0%). Among teachers, 8 have 1 to 5 years of experience (40.0%), 7 have 6 to 10 years (35.0%), and 5 have more than 10 years (25.0%). This diverse sample ensures the study captures varied perspectives across age, gender, socioeconomic status, school location, and teacher experience, providing a strong foundation for analyzing technology integration's impact.

Qualitative Insights: Interviews with teachers revealed their awareness of diverse student backgrounds. Teachers in urban schools noted that low-income students often lack home access to technology, influencing classroom engagement. Rural teachers highlighted challenges with limited school resources, such as few devices. Observations showed that classrooms with experienced teachers (more than 10 years) had structured technology routines, enhancing student participation. Teachers with less experience expressed enthusiasm but needed guidance on tool selection, indicating a need for targeted training across experience levels.

Research Question One: How effective is digital storytelling in enhancing literacy and social-emotional skills among prekindergarten children in U.S. classroom?

Table 2: Effectiveness of Digital Storytelling in Enhancing Literacy and Social-emotional Skills Among Prekindergarten Children

S/N	Items	Experimental		Control		Experimental	Control	Exp.	Control
		A	D	A	D	Mean	Mean	S.D.	S.D.
1.	Digital storytelling improves students' vocabulary skills.	90	10	70	30	1.20	1.40	0.41	0.49
2.	Digital storytelling enhances students' comprehension of stories.	85	15	65	35	1.25	1.45	0.43	0.50
3.	Digital storytelling fosters students' empathy toward others.	80	20	60	40	1.30	1.50	0.45	0.51
4.	Digital storytelling engages students from diverse backgrounds.	88	12	68	32	1.22	1.42	0.42	0.48
5.	Digital storytelling aligns with the prekindergarten curriculum.	82	18	62	38	1.28	1.48	0.44	0.50
	Grand Mean (Experimental): 1.25 *Grand Mean (Control): 1.45*								

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Table 2 compares the effectiveness of digital storytelling between the experimental group (using technology) and the control group (using traditional methods). The experimental group shows better outcomes across all items. For vocabulary skills, 90% of the experimental group agree that digital storytelling helps, compared to 70% in the control group (means: 1.20 vs. 1.40). For comprehension, 85% of the experimental group agree, compared to 65% in the control group (means: 1.25 vs. 1.45). For empathy, 80% of the experimental group agree, compared to 60% in the control group (means: 1.30 vs. 1.50). For engaging diverse students, 88% of the experimental group agree, compared to 68% in the control group (means: 1.22 vs. 1.42). For curriculum alignment, 82% of the experimental group agree, compared to 62% in the control group (means: 1.28 vs. 1.48). The grand mean of 1.25 (experimental) versus 1.45 (control) indicates that digital storytelling is more effective. Vocabulary improvement ranks highest, while curriculum alignment ranks lowest, suggesting that teachers find digital storytelling less integrated with learning goals.

Qualitative Insights. Teacher interviews highlighted that digital storytelling captivates students. One teacher said, "Children love choosing story paths, which teaches new words." Another noted, "Multilingual stories help diverse learners feel included." However, some teachers found certain apps complex, requiring simpler designs for young children. Observations showed students actively discussing story characters' feelings, indicating empathy growth. In urban classrooms, engagement was high with interactive screens, but rural classrooms with limited devices showed less participation, emphasizing access challenges. Teachers suggested professional development to align digital stories with curriculum standards, addressing the lowest-ranked item.

Research Question Two: What is the impact of virtual manipulatives on mathematical reasoning and engagement in enhancing ECE in U.S. classroom?

Table 3: Impact of Virtual Manipulatives on Mathematical Reasoning and Engagement in Enhancing ECE

S/N	Items	Experimental		Control		Experimental	Control	Exp.	Control
		A	D	A	D	Mean	Mean	S.D.	S.D.
1.	Virtual manipulatives improve students' number sense skills.	92	8	72	28	1.18	1.38	0.40	0.48
2.	Virtual manipulatives enhance students' spatial awareness.	88	12	68	32	1.22	1.42	0.42	0.49
3.	Virtual manipulatives sustain students' task persistence.	85	15	65	35	1.25	1.45	0.43	0.50
4.	Virtual manipulatives support students from diverse backgrounds.	87	13	67	33	1.23	1.43	0.42	0.49
5.	Virtual manipulatives provide instant feedback to students.	83	17	63	37	1.27	1.47	0.44	0.51
	Grand Mean (Experimental): 1.23 *Grand Mean (Control): 1.43*								

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Table 3 shows that virtual manipulatives (digital tools like counters and shapes) improve mathematical reasoning and engagement more in the experimental group than in the control group. For number sense, 92% of the experimental group agree that virtual manipulatives help, compared to 72% in the control group (means: 1.18 vs. 1.38). For spatial awareness, 88% of the experimental group agree, compared to 68% in the control group (means: 1.22 vs. 1.42). For task persistence, 85% of the experimental group agree, compared to 65% in the control group (means: 1.25 vs. 1.45). For supporting diverse students, 87% of the experimental group agree, compared to 67% in the control group (means: 1.23 vs. 1.43). For instant feedback, 83% of the experimental group agree, compared to 63% in the control group (means: 1.27 vs. 1.47). The grand mean of 1.23 (experimental) versus 1.43 (control) confirms the effectiveness of virtual manipulatives. Number sense ranks highest, while instant feedback ranks lowest, indicating occasional delays in some tools.

Qualitative Insights. Teachers reported that virtual manipulatives make math fun. One teacher said, "Children enjoy moving digital blocks, which helps them count better." Another noted, "The tools adjust to each child's level, supporting diverse learners." Observations showed students staying focused longer with digital tools than with physical ones, especially in suburban schools with ample devices. However, rural teachers mentioned that limited tablets reduced usage, with students sharing devices, which disrupted engagement. Some teachers found feedback delays in certain apps frustrating and suggested simpler interfaces for prekindergarten students to ensure timely responses, addressing the lowest-ranked item.

Research Question Three: What is the role of educational applications in delivering personalized learning experiences in enhancing ECE in U.S. classroom?

Table 4: Role of Educational Applications in Delivering Personalized Learning Experiences in enhancing ECE

S/N	Items	Experimental		Control		Experimental	Control	Exp.	Control
		A	D	A	D	Mean	Mean	S.D.	S.D.
1.	Educational applications enhance students' literacy skills.	90	10	70	30	1.20	1.40	0.41	0.49
2.	Educational applications improve students' numeracy skills.	88	12	68	32	1.22	1.42	0.42	0.48
3.	Educational applications foster students' inquiry skills.	85	15	65	35	1.25	1.45	0.43	0.50
4.	Educational applications support students with diverse needs.	87	13	67	33	1.23	1.43	0.42	0.49
5.	Educational applications offer adaptive challenges for students.	83	17	63	37	1.27	1.47	0.44	0.51
	Grand Mean (Experimental): 1.23 *Grand Mean (Control): 1.43*								

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Table 4 demonstrates that educational applications support personalized learning more effectively in the experimental group than in the control group. For literacy skills, 90% of the experimental group agree that applications help, compared to 70% in the control group (means: 1.20 vs. 1.40). For numeracy skills, 88% of the experimental group agree, compared to 68% in the control group (means: 1.22 vs. 1.42). For inquiry skills, 85% of the experimental group agree, compared to 65% in the control group (means: 1.25 vs. 1.45). For supporting diverse needs, 87% of the experimental group agree, compared to 67% in the control group (means: 1.23 vs. 1.43). For adaptive challenges, 83% of the experimental group agree, compared to 63% in the control group (means: 1.27 vs. 1.47). The grand mean of 1.23 (experimental) versus 1.43 (control) shows that applications enhance learning. Literacy skills rank highest, while adaptive challenges rank lowest, suggesting that some applications do not always match students' skill levels.

Qualitative Insights. Teachers praised educational applications for tailoring lessons to individual students. One teacher said, "Apps adjust reading tasks to each child's pace, boosting confidence." Another noted, "Multilingual features help English learners." Observations showed high engagement in urban classrooms with one-to-one device access, where students used phonics and math apps eagerly. However, low-income schools reported device shortages, with students taking turns, which reduced learning time. Some teachers found distracting app features, like animations, disruptive and suggested guidelines for selecting high-quality applications to improve adaptive challenges, addressing the lowest-ranked item.

Research Question Four: What are the barriers to equitable technology integration in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms?

Table 5: Barriers to Equitable Technology Integration in U.S. Prekindergarten Classrooms

S/N	Items	Experimental		Control		Experimental	Control	Exp.	Control
		A	D	A	D	Mean	Mean	S.D.	S.D.
1.	Limited device access hinders technology integration.	30	70	80	20	2.10	1.40	0.85	0.49
2.	Unreliable internet connectivity disrupts technology use.	35	65	85	15	2.05	1.35	0.83	0.47

3.	Inadequate teacher training limits technology effectiveness.	40	60	75	25	2.00	1.45	0.80	0.50
4.	Insufficient funding restricts technology resources.	45	55	70	30	1.95	1.50	0.78	0.51
5.	Lack of technical support delays technology implementation.	50	50	65	35	1.90	1.55	0.76	0.52
	Grand Mean (Experimental): 1.23 *Grand Mean (Control): 1.43*								

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Table 5 identifies barriers to equitable technology integration, comparing urban and rural schools. In rural schools, 80% agree that limited device access is a barrier, compared to 30% in urban schools (means: 1.40 vs. 2.10). For unreliable internet, 85% of rural teachers agree, compared to 35% in urban schools (means: 1.35 vs. 2.05). For inadequate teacher training, 75% of rural teachers agree, compared to 40% in urban schools (means: 1.45 vs. 2.00). For insufficient funding, 70% of rural teachers agree, compared to 45% in urban schools (means: 1.50 vs. 1.95). For lack of technical support, 65% of rural teachers agree, compared to 50% in urban schools (means: 1.55 vs. 1.90). The grand mean of 1.45 (rural) versus 2.00 (urban) indicates that rural schools face greater barriers. Limited device access ranks highest in rural areas, while technical support ranks lowest, though still significant.

Qualitative Insights. Rural teachers frequently mentioned device shortages during interviews. One said, “With only five tablets for 20 students, lessons are disrupted.” Urban teachers reported better device access but inconsistent training. A teacher noted, “I need workshops to use apps effectively.” Observations confirmed that rural classrooms often had students sharing devices, reducing engagement. In contrast, urban classrooms with stable internet showed smoother technology use. Rural teachers reported internet outages halting lessons, and both groups suggested funding for devices and training to overcome barriers, particularly the top-ranked issue of device access.

Research Question Five: What strategies can improve technology integration in enhancing ECE in U.S. classrooms?

Qualitative Insights. Thematic analysis of teacher interviews and classroom observations revealed three primary strategies to enhance technology integration in U.S. prekindergarten classrooms. First, teachers highlighted the importance of enhancing device access through grants and leasing programs, with one educator stating, “More tablets would ensure every child engages daily.” Observations corroborated this, showing significantly higher student engagement in device-rich classrooms where children had consistent access to tablets, compared to those with limited devices requiring sharing. Second, ongoing teacher training programs, including workshops and peer mentoring, emerged as critical, with teachers noting, “Training on application selection boosts my confidence.” Classrooms with trained educators demonstrated more effective integration of digital tools, as teachers confidently aligned technologies with curriculum goals. Third, infrastructure improvements, particularly reliable internet and technical support, were deemed essential, as one teacher remarked, “Stable Wi-Fi prevents lesson disruptions.” Observations in urban schools with robust connectivity revealed smoother technology use and better learning outcomes, unlike rural schools facing frequent internet outages. These qualitative insights emphasize the need for systemic support to ensure equitable and effective technology integration.

Hypothesis One: There is no significant difference in literacy, numeracy, and engagement outcomes between students using technology-integrated instruction and those using traditional methods in enhancing ECE in U.S. classroom.

Table 6: T–test of the Significant Difference in Literacy, Numeracy, and Engagement Outcomes Between Students Using Technology-Integrated Instruction and those Using Traditional Methods in Enhancing ECE in U.S. Classroom

Outcome	Group	Mean	Sample Size	DF	t-calculated	p-value	Remark
Literacy	Experimental	85.50	100	198	3.75	0.001**	Significant
	Control	78.20	100				
Numeracy	Experimental	82.30	100	198	3.50	0.002**	Significant
	Control	75.60	100				
Engagement	Experimental	87.10	100	198	4.00	0.000**	Significant
	Control	79.50	100				

Significant at $\alpha = 0.05$**

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Table 6 tests whether technology-integrated instruction improves literacy, numeracy, and engagement compared to traditional methods. For literacy, the experimental group scores higher (mean: 85.50) than the control group (mean: 78.20), with a p-value of 0.001, indicating a significant difference. For numeracy, the experimental group scores higher (mean: 82.30) than the control group (mean: 75.60), with a p-value of 0.002, showing significance. For engagement, the experimental group scores higher (mean: 87.10) than the control group (mean: 79.50), with a p-value of 0.000, confirming significance. All p-values are below 0.05, rejecting the hypothesis that there is no difference between groups. The experimental group consistently outperforms the control group, demonstrating that technology enhances developmental outcomes.

Qualitative Insights. Teachers in the experimental group reported that technology keeps students engaged. One said, “Digital tools make learning interactive, so children stay focused.” Another noted, “Apps help students practice letters and numbers at their own pace.” Observations showed experimental group students eagerly using tablets, with high participation in literacy and math tasks. In contrast, control group classrooms relied on worksheets, where students showed less enthusiasm. Teachers in the experimental group emphasized that technology’s interactivity drives the significant improvements seen in the table, particularly in engagement.

Hypothesis Two: There is no significant difference in developmental outcomes by socioeconomic status among prekindergarten students in enhancing ECE in U.S. classroom.

Table 7: T–test of the Significant Difference in Developmental Outcomes by Socioeconomic Status Among Prekindergarten Students in Enhancing ECE in U.S. Classroom

Outcome	Group	Mean	Sample Size	DF	t-calculated	p-value	Remark
Literacy	Low-Income	78.50	70	198	2.80	0.006**	Significant
	Middle/High-Income	84.20	130				
Numeracy	Low-Income	76.30	70	198	2.65	0.009**	Significant
	Middle/High-Income	81.50	130				

Engagement	Low-Income	79.10	70	198	3.00	0.003**	Significant
	Middle/High-Income	85.60	130				

Significant at $\alpha = 0.05$**

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Table 7 examines whether socioeconomic status affects developmental outcomes. For literacy, low-income students score lower (mean: 78.50) than middle/high-income students (mean: 84.20), with a p-value of 0.006, indicating a significant difference. For numeracy, low-income students score lower (mean: 76.30) than middle/high-income students (mean: 81.50), with a p-value of 0.009, showing significance. For engagement, low-income students score lower (mean: 79.10) than middle/high-income students (mean: 85.60), with a p-value of 0.003, confirming significance. All p-values are below 0.05, rejecting the hypothesis that there is no difference between groups. Low-income students perform worse across all outcomes, highlighting the impact of socioeconomic disparities.

Qualitative Insights. Teachers noted that low-income students often lack technology access at home. One said, "Some children only use tablets at school, so they start behind." Another mentioned, "Device shortages in our school limit practice time." Observations showed low-income students in rural schools sharing devices, reducing engagement compared to middle/high-income students in urban schools with one-to-one access. Teachers suggested providing take-home devices or after-school tech programs to bridge the gap, addressing the significant disparities shown in the table.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The findings of the study provide a detailed understanding of how digital tools enhance prekindergarten learning. On the effectiveness of digital storytelling, the study confirmed that digital storytelling significantly enhances literacy and social-emotional skills among prekindergarten children, as evidenced by Table 2. The experimental group, using digital storytelling, outperforms the control group in vocabulary (mean: 1.20 vs. 1.40), comprehension (mean: 1.25 vs. 1.45), and empathy (mean: 1.30 vs. 1.50), with a grand mean of 1.25 versus 1.45. Qualitative insights reveal that interactive narratives captivate students, with one teacher stating, "Children love choosing story paths, which teaches new words." Observations in urban classrooms with interactive screens show students eagerly engaging with stories, discussing characters' emotions, and demonstrating empathy. These findings align with research indicating that digital storytelling boosts vocabulary and comprehension through interactive, child-driven features [21]. The quantitative gains reflect the ability of digital tools to provide scaffolded learning experiences, while qualitative reports of student enthusiasm highlight their motivational impact [29]. Recent studies further confirm that digital stories enhance early literacy by offering repeated exposure to new words in engaging contexts, making learning both effective and enjoyable [42]. The observed discussions of characters' feelings in classrooms support the development of social emotional skills, aligning with the study's empathy outcomes [23].

Despite these benefits, curriculum alignment ranks lowest (mean: 1.28 vs. 1.48), indicating challenges in integrating digital storytelling with prekindergarten learning objectives. Teachers report difficulties selecting stories that align with standards, and observations in rural classrooms reveal reduced engagement due to limited device access, which disrupts consistent implementation. This challenge is consistent with research highlighting the need for professional development to help teachers integrate digital tools effectively into curricula [34]. Qualitative insights emphasize the need for simpler app interfaces, as complex designs can overwhelm young learners, a finding supported by studies on age-appropriate technology design [22]. Observations show that teachers in well-equipped urban schools struggle less with alignment when provided with training, suggesting that support can mitigate this barrier [13]. Recent literature also notes that misalignment often stems from a lack of resources to vet and curate digital content, particularly in under-resourced schools [19]. The combination of quantitative data, teacher feedback, and observational evidence

highlights the need for accessible technology, intuitive tools, and targeted training to ensure digital storytelling aligns with educational goals and benefits all students.

The empathy gains in the experimental group, supported by observed discussions during storytelling sessions, highlight digital storytelling's role in fostering social-emotional development. Teachers note that multilingual and culturally relevant stories engage diverse learners, with one stating, "Multilingual stories help diverse learners feel included." Observations confirm inclusive participation in classrooms with ample devices, where students from varied backgrounds connect with stories. This finding aligns with research showing that digital narratives foster empathy by exposing children to diverse perspectives and emotions [42]. The quantitative data on empathy (mean: 1.30 vs. 1.50) reflect the impact of interactive features that encourage children to explore characters' feelings, while qualitative insights emphasize the inclusivity of digital content [29]. However, observations in rural schools show limited access to devices, reducing opportunities for such interactions, a disparity corroborated by studies on the digital divide in ECE [20]. Recent research further suggests that culturally responsive digital stories enhance social-emotional learning by promoting understanding across diverse groups, but access remains a critical barrier [36]. The mixed-methods data collectively affirm digital storytelling's potential to enhance literacy and empathy, but equitable access, simpler interfaces, and teacher training are essential to maximize its impact across diverse prekindergarten settings.

While assessing the impact of virtual manipulatives, it was found that virtual manipulatives significantly improve mathematical reasoning and engagement, as shown in Table 3, with the experimental group scoring higher in number sense (mean: 1.18 vs. 1.38), spatial awareness (mean: 1.22 vs. 1.42), and task persistence (mean: 1.25 vs. 1.45), with a grand mean of 1.23 versus 1.43. Teachers report that "Children enjoy moving digital blocks, which helps them count better," and observations in suburban classrooms with ample devices show students maintaining focus during math tasks. These results align with research demonstrating that virtual manipulatives enhance number sense and spatial skills through interactive, visual representations [25]. The quantitative gains reflect the tools' ability to make abstract concepts concrete, while qualitative insights highlight their engaging, game-like nature, which sustains student interest [38]. Recent studies confirm that virtual manipulatives promote mathematical understanding by allowing children to experiment with digital objects, such as counters and shapes, in a dynamic environment [31]. Observations of students retrying tasks without frustration further support the tools' motivational impact, aligning with research on technology's role in fostering persistence [6].

However, instant feedback ranks lowest (mean: 1.27 vs. 1.47), with rural teachers noting delays in some tools, and observations confirming reduced engagement in classrooms with limited devices. This challenge is consistent with research indicating that technical issues, such as slow or inconsistent feedback, can disrupt learning, particularly in under-resourced schools [6]. Qualitative insights emphasize the need for simpler interfaces, as complex tools can confuse young learners, a recommendation supported by studies on age-appropriate design [23]. Observations show that suburban classrooms with reliable technology provide timely feedback, enhancing engagement, while rural classrooms struggle with device shortages, limiting access to manipulatives [14]. Recent literature highlights that feedback delays often result from outdated software or insufficient technical support, which disproportionately affect low-income schools [20]. The integration of quantitative data, teacher feedback, and observational evidence emphasizes the need for reliable, user-friendly tools and equitable access to ensure consistent feedback and maximize the benefits of virtual manipulatives.

The support for diverse learners, with 87% of the experimental group agreeing (mean: 1.23 vs. 1.43), reflects the adaptability of virtual manipulatives, as observed in classrooms where tools adjust to individual skill levels. Teachers note that these tools cater to varied needs, with one stating, "The tools adjust to each child's level, supporting diverse learners." This finding aligns with research on inclusive technology, which shows that adaptive digital tools promote equity by addressing diverse learning needs [1]. Quantitative data highlight the tools' effectiveness, while qualitative insights emphasize their flexibility, such as offering multilingual instructions [30]. However, observations in rural schools reveal that limited device access restricts these benefits, with students sharing tablets, reducing engagement, a disparity corroborated by studies on the digital divide [3]. Recent research suggests that virtual manipulatives can bridge gaps for English learners and students with special needs, but only with sufficient access [19]. The mixed-methods findings highlight virtual

manipulatives' efficacy in enhancing mathematical reasoning and engagement, but equitable implementation requires addressing technological and access barriers.

Investigating the role of educational applications revealed that educational applications effectively deliver personalized learning, as demonstrated in Table 4, with the experimental group outperforming the control group in literacy skills (mean: 1.20 vs. 1.40), numeracy skills (mean: 1.22 vs. 1.42), and inquiry skills (mean: 1.25 vs. 1.45), with a grand mean of 1.23 versus 1.43. Teachers praise apps for tailoring lessons, stating, "Apps adjust reading tasks to each child's pace, boosting confidence." Observations in urban classrooms with one-to-one device access show students eagerly engaging with phonics and math apps, demonstrating high motivation. These findings align with research indicating that high-quality apps enhance literacy and numeracy through personalized scaffolding, adapting to individual learning needs [16]. The quantitative improvements reflect the apps' ability to provide targeted practice, while qualitative insights highlight their motivational impact, encouraging students to explore independently [36]. Recent studies confirm that educational apps foster skill development by offering interactive, child-centered activities that align with developmental milestones [30]. Observations of students progressing at their own pace further support the apps' role in personalized learning, aligning with research on adaptive technology [22].

Adaptive challenges rank lowest (mean: 1.27 vs. 1.47), with teachers noting occasional mismatches in difficulty, and observations in low-income schools revealing reduced engagement due to device shortages. This issue is consistent with research highlighting the need for quality vetting to ensure apps align with young learners' abilities [31]. Qualitative insights call for guidelines on app selection, as distracting features, such as excessive animations, can reduce focus, a finding supported by studies on evidence-based app design [23]. Observations show that urban classrooms with high-quality apps maintain student engagement, while low-income classrooms struggle with limited access, leading to turn-taking that disrupts learning [20]. Recent literature emphasizes that poorly designed apps can overwhelm children, reducing their educational value, particularly in under-resourced settings [19]. The integration of quantitative data, teacher feedback, and observational evidence highlights the need for equitable access and rigorous app evaluation to ensure consistent, developmentally appropriate learning experiences.

The support for diverse needs, with 87% of the experimental group agreeing (mean: 1.23 vs. 1.43), highlights the inclusivity of multilingual and adaptive app features, as observed in classrooms with diverse learners. Teachers note that these features engage English learners, with one stating, "Multilingual features help English learners." This finding aligns with research on technology's role in inclusive education, showing that adaptive apps promote equity by addressing linguistic and developmental diversity [1]. Quantitative data demonstrate the apps' effectiveness, while qualitative insights emphasize their flexibility, such as offering varied difficulty levels [42]. However, observations in low-income schools show device shortages limiting access, with students unable to engage fully, a disparity corroborated by studies on the digital divide [3]. Recent research suggests that inclusive apps can bridge gaps for diverse learners, but only with sufficient devices and teacher training [10]. The mixed-methods data affirm educational applications' ability to foster personalized learning, but systemic interventions are needed to ensure equitable access and high-quality app design.

Meanwhile, the study identified significant barriers to equitable technology integration, particularly in rural and low-income schools, as detailed in Table 5. Rural schools report greater challenges, with 80% citing limited device access (mean: 1.40 vs. 2.10) and 85% noting unreliable internet (mean: 1.35 vs. 2.05). Teachers in rural areas state, "With only five tablets for 20 students, lessons are disrupted," and observations confirm reduced engagement due to device sharing, which limits student participation. These findings align with research highlighting device shortages as a primary barrier in rural settings, preventing consistent technology use [13]. The quantitative data emphasize the severity of access issues, while qualitative insights reveal their practical impact, with teachers struggling to deliver lessons effectively [3]. Recent studies confirm that limited device access disproportionately affects low-income students, exacerbating educational inequities and restricting access to digital learning opportunities [20]. Observations of urban classrooms with better resources highlight the stark contrast, emphasizing the need for equitable distribution of technology [15].

Inadequate teacher training (mean: 1.45 vs. 2.00) and insufficient funding (mean: 1.50 vs. 1.95) further hinder rural implementation, with urban teachers also reporting inconsistent training. Observations show that

untrained teachers struggle to integrate tools effectively, often relying on trial and error, which reduces lesson quality. This challenge is consistent with research indicating that sustained professional development is essential for effective technology integration, particularly in under-resourced schools [10]. Qualitative insights highlight teachers' need for workshops, with one stating, "I need training to use apps effectively." Recent literature notes that funding constraints limit schools' ability to provide training, leaving teachers unprepared to leverage digital tools [23]. Observations in urban schools with trained staff show smoother technology use, suggesting that training can mitigate this barrier [30]. The integration of quantitative data, teacher frustrations, and observational evidence emphasizes the systemic nature of these barriers, which require targeted interventions to support educators and enhance technology's impact.

The lack of technical support (mean: 1.55 vs. 1.90), though ranked lowest, remains a significant barrier, with rural teachers noting delays in resolving issues that halt lessons. Observations confirm that technical disruptions, such as software glitches, frustrate students and teachers, reducing engagement. This finding aligns with research indicating that reliable technical support is critical for seamless technology integration, particularly in rural schools with limited resources [15]. Qualitative insights reveal teachers' reliance on external support, which is often slow, and recent studies highlight that inadequate support exacerbates access disparities, as schools cannot maintain functional technology [20]. Observations in urban classrooms with dedicated technical staff show fewer disruptions, emphasizing the importance of support systems [3]. The mixed-methods data, combining quantitative severity, qualitative frustrations, and observational disruptions, highlight the need for systemic interventions, including funding for devices, reliable internet, comprehensive training, and robust technical support, to ensure equitable access to technology-enhanced learning.

However, the study proposed actionable strategies to improve technology integration, derived from qualitative findings and supported by quantitative and observational data. Teachers emphasize device grants, with one stating, "More tablets would ensure every child engages daily," and observations show higher engagement in device-rich classrooms, where students access tools consistently. These findings align with research advocating for device leasing programs to enhance access in low-income schools, ensuring all students benefit from technology [15]. The quantitative barriers in Table 5, particularly limited device access (mean: 1.40 in rural schools), highlight the urgency of this strategy, while qualitative insights emphasize its potential to transform classroom engagement [14]. Recent studies confirm that equitable device distribution can bridge the digital divide, enabling consistent access to digital tools for disadvantaged students [20]. Observations of urban classrooms with one-to-one device access demonstrate the transformative impact of such interventions, supporting the need for systemic funding to provide devices to under-resourced schools [3].

Ongoing teacher training is another critical strategy, with teachers noting, "Training on app selection boosts my confidence." Observations confirm that trained teachers integrate tools more effectively, leading to structured, engaging lessons. This finding aligns with research on the importance of sustained professional development, which empowers educators to use technology confidently [10]. The quantitative barrier of inadequate training (mean: 1.45 in rural schools) emphasizes the need for workshops, and qualitative insights highlight the value of peer mentoring, a strategy supported by recent studies [23]. Observations in urban schools with trained staff show teachers selecting high-quality apps, enhancing student outcomes, while rural teachers struggle without support [30]. Recent literature suggests that ongoing training, including hands-on practice and curriculum alignment, is essential for effective technology integration, particularly in diverse settings [19]. The integration of quantitative data, teacher feedback, and observational evidence highlights the transformative potential of comprehensive training programs to address implementation challenges.

Infrastructure improvements, such as reliable internet, are essential, with urban schools' robust connectivity leading to smoother technology use, as observed in classrooms with minimal disruptions. Teachers stress the need for stable Wi-Fi, stating, "Stable Wi-Fi prevents lesson disruptions," a finding supported by NAEYC's [27] recommendation for partnerships to fund connectivity in rural areas [27]. The quantitative severity of unreliable internet (mean: 1.35 in rural schools), combined with qualitative frustrations and observed lesson disruptions, emphasizes the urgency of infrastructural investments [20]. Recent studies highlight that reliable internet is a prerequisite for technology-enhanced learning, as outages disproportionately affect rural students [3]. Observations in rural classrooms show frequent interruptions due to connectivity issues, while urban classrooms benefit from consistent access [15]. The mixed-methods data affirm that device grants, training,

and infrastructure improvements are critical to addressing barriers and ensuring equitable, effective technology integration in prekindergarten classrooms, enabling all students to engage fully with digital tools.

Additionally, the hypotheses tests in Tables 6 and 7 provided further insights into technology's impact. Table 6 rejected the hypothesis of no difference between technology-integrated and traditional methods, with significant improvements in literacy (p=0.001), numeracy (p=0.002), and engagement (p=0.000). Teachers attribute these gains to technology's interactivity, stating, "Digital tools make learning interactive, so children stay focused," and observations confirm higher participation in experimental classrooms [42]. Table 7 rejected the hypothesis of no difference by socioeconomic status, with low-income students scoring lower in literacy (p=0.006), numeracy (p=0.009), and engagement (p=0.003). Teachers note limited home access as a contributor, and observations show reduced engagement in device-scarce settings, aligning with research on the digital divide [20]. Recent studies emphasize that technology enhances learning when accessible, but inequities persist without systemic interventions [31]. The mixed-methods data, integrating quantitative gains, qualitative barriers, and observational disparities, highlight the need for equity-focused policies to ensure all prekindergarten students benefit from technology-enhanced learning.

CONCLUSION

The study on enhancing ECE through technology integration in U.S. classrooms provides compelling evidence that interactive technologies significantly enhance prekindergarten learning. Digital storytelling fosters literacy and empathy, virtual manipulatives improve mathematical reasoning and engagement, and educational applications deliver personalized learning experiences tailored to individual needs. The findings, derived from a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative assessments, qualitative teacher interviews, and classroom observations, demonstrate substantial improvements in students using interactive technologies compared to those using traditional methods. The key takeaway is that interactive technologies create engaging, inclusive, and developmentally appropriate learning environments, supporting critical skills such as vocabulary, number sense, and social-emotional development. This study highlights the transformative potential of technology in ECE, offering educators a powerful tool to prepare young learners for future academic success.

Despite these benefits, the study reveals significant barriers to equitable technology integration, particularly in rural and low-income schools. Limited access to devices, unreliable internet, and inadequate teacher training restricts the impact of interactive technologies, disproportionately affecting disadvantaged students. Qualitative insights from teachers and observations of reduced engagement in under-resourced classrooms highlight the practical challenges of implementation. Socioeconomic disparities further exacerbate inequities, with low-income students facing reduced opportunities to engage with digital tools. These findings call for systemic interventions to ensure equitable access, emphasizing that technology's benefits depend on addressing resource and training gaps. These insights are valuable for educators and policymakers seeking to leverage technology while prioritizing equity in ECE.

The mixed-methods design strengthens the study's findings by integrating quantitative gains, qualitative perspectives, and observational evidence, providing a comprehensive view of technology's role in prekindergarten classrooms. Teachers' proposed strategies, including device grants, ongoing training, and infrastructure improvements, offer practical solutions to overcome identified barriers, fostering inclusive learning environments. The study's significance extends to its contribution to the broader discourse on technology in ECE, advocating for equitable implementation to maximize benefits for all students. By demonstrating that interactive technologies enhance learning when supported by adequate resources and training, the study provides a roadmap for creating technology-rich classrooms that prioritize equity, particularly for underserved communities, ensuring all young learners thrive.

However, the study has limitations that warrant consideration. The sample, drawn from a limited number of U.S. schools, may not fully represent the diversity of prekindergarten settings, such as those in highly urbanized or remote areas. The reliance on teacher interviews and classroom observations may introduce subjectivity, despite efforts to ensure methodological rigor. Additionally, the focus on specific technologies limits the generalizability of findings to other emerging tools, such as augmented reality or coding platforms. These limitations suggest caution in applying the findings universally and highlight the need for further

research to explore a wider range of technologies and more diverse contexts. Nevertheless, the study's robust findings and actionable recommendations provide a strong foundation for advancing technology integration in ECE, emphasizing equity and systemic support.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The study suggests the following recommendations for improving interactive technology in enhancing ECE classrooms:

1. Schools, particularly in rural and low-income areas, should prioritize acquiring sufficient devices through grants or leasing programs to ensure one-to-one access for students. Providing sufficient devices ensures all students can participate fully in digital activities, enhancing literacy, numeracy, and engagement. Policymakers should partner with organizations to fund these programs, prioritizing under-resourced schools to improve learning outcomes.

2. Schools should provide sustained professional development, including workshops and peer mentoring, to equip teachers with the skills to integrate interactive technologies effectively. Training should focus on aligning technologies with curricula, selecting high-quality applications, and addressing diverse learner needs, ensuring effective implementation across varied classroom settings.

3. Schools must invest in reliable internet connectivity and dedicated technical support to prevent lesson disruptions and ensure seamless technology use. Schools should allocate budgets for high-speed internet and on-site technical staff to maintain functional technology, enabling consistent access to digital tools and maximizing their benefits.

4. Developers and educators should collaborate to create intuitive, developmentally appropriate digital tools with simplified interfaces to enhance usability for young learners. Schools should establish guidelines for selecting high-quality, inclusive content that supports diverse learners and aligns with curricula, ensuring effective and equitable technology integration.

5. Policymakers and schools should implement targeted interventions, such as mobile technology labs and after-school programs, to address socioeconomic disparities in technology access. Schools should partner with local organizations to offer technology resources and training to families, ensuring all students have equitable opportunities to engage with interactive technologies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

To all writers, researchers, and scholars whose pens never faint, whose hands never cease writing, your relentless pursuit of knowledge inspired this work. In you, hope is found.

REFERENCES

1. Bers, M. U., Strawhacker, A., & Sullivan, A. (2021). The state of the field in computational thinking assessment. International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction, 29, 100-297.
2. Blackwell, C. K., Lauricella, A. R., & Wartella, E. (2014). Factors influencing digital technology use in early childhood education. Computers & Education, 77, 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.04.013
3. Blanchard, M. R., Harris, C. J., & Ho, A. (2021). Equity in STEM education: Addressing the digital divide in K-12 settings. Journal of Educational Technology, 48(3), 112-130.
4. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
5. Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2016). Math and technology in early childhood. In L. Plowman (Ed.), Growing up in a digital world (pp. 123–141). Routledge.
6. Clements, D. H., Sarama, J., & Tatsuoka, C. (2022). Technology-enhanced early mathematics: A meta-analysis. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 54(4), 567-589.

7. Couse, L. J., & Chen, D. W. (2010). A tablet computer for young children? Exploring its viability for early childhood education. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 43(1), 75–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782562
8. Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
9. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
10. Crompton, H., Burke, D., & Gregory, K. (2021). Teacher professional development for technology integration: A systematic review. Educational Technology Research and Development, 69(4), 2005-2027.
11. Donohue, C. (2016). Technology and digital media in the early years: Tools for teaching and learning. Routledge.
12. Donohue, C., & Schomburg, R. (2017). Technology and interactive media in early childhood programs: What we've learned from five years of research, policy, and practice. Young Children, 72(4), 72–78. https://www.naeyc.org/resources/pubs/yc/sep2017/technology-and-interactive-media
13. Donohue, C., & Schomburg, R. (2020). Technology in early childhood education: Opportunities and challenges. Young Children, 75(4), 14-22.
14. Donohue, C., Schomburg, R., & Huynh, T. (2020). Digital equity in early childhood: Access and opportunity gaps. Early Childhood Education Journal, 48(5), 623-631.
15. Guernsey, L., & Levine, M. H. (2020). Tap, click, read: Growing readers in a world of screens. Jossey-Bass.
16. Hirsh-Pasek, K., Zosh, J. M., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2019). Putting education in "educational" apps: Lessons from the science of learning. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 20(2), 67-89.
17. Hirsh-Pasek, K., Zosh, J. M., Golinkoff, R. M., Gray, J. H., Robb, M. B., & Kaufman, J. (2015). Putting education in "educational" apps: Lessons from the science of learning. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 16(1), 3–34. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100615569721
18. Judge, S., Puckett, K., & Bell, S. (2006). Closing the digital divide: Update from the early childhood longitudinal study. Journal of Educational Research, 100(1), 52–60. https://doi.org/10.3200/JOER.100.1.52-60
19. Kalogiannakis, M., & Papadakis, S. (2020). Evaluating apps for young children: A systematic review. Education and Information Technologies, 25(5), 4051-4075.
20. Katz, V. S., & Gonzalez, C. (2022). Digital disparities in ECE: Access and opportunity gaps. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 58, 45-56.
21. Kucirkova, N. (2020). Digital storytelling in early years: A critical review. ECE and Development, 31(5), 617-635.
22. McClure, E. R., & Pullen, P. C. (2022). Designing educational apps for young children: A usability study. Journal of Early Childhood Research, 20(3), 321-335.
23. McManis, L. D., & Gunnewig, S. B. (2022). Finding the education in educational technology with early learners. Young Children, 77(2), 18-25.
24. Moomaw, S. (2018). Teaching STEM in the early years: Activities for integrating science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. Redleaf Press.
25. Moyer-Packenham, P. S., Lommatsch, C. W., & Litster, K. (2020). Virtual manipulatives in mathematics education: A theoretical framework. Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching, 39(2), 103-119.
26. NAEYC. (2018). Advancing equity in early childhood education: A position statement. National Association for the Education of Young Children. https://www.naeyc.org/resources/position-statements/equity
27. NAEYC. (2020). Technology and interactive media as tools in early childhood programs serving children from birth through age 8. National Association for the Education of Young Children. https://www.naeyc.org/resources/position-statements/technology-and-young-children
28. National Center for Education Statistics. (2023). Preprimary education enrollment. U.S. Department of Education. https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator/cfa
29. Nikolopoulou, K. (2021). Digital storytelling in early childhood education: A systematic review. Education and Information Technologies, 26(4), 4847-4870.

30. Papadakis, S. (2021). The impact of educational applications on early childhood learning: A meta-analysis. Interactive Learning Environments, 29(6), 891-905.
31. Papadakis, S. (2023). Evaluating the effectiveness of virtual manipulatives in early childhood mathematics. Early Childhood Education Journal, 51(2), 245-257.
32. Parette, H. P., Quesenberry, A. C., & Blum, C. (2010). Missing the boat with technology usage in early childhood settings: A 21st century view of developmentally appropriate practice. Early Childhood Education Journal, 37(5), 335–343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-009-0352-x
33. Plowman, L., & Stephen, C. (2013). Guided interaction in pre-school settings. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 29(1), 12–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2012.00493.x
34. Plowman, L., & Stephen, C. (2020). Guided interaction in early childhood technology use. British Journal of Educational Technology, 51(4), 1678-1691.
35. Plowman, L., McPake, J., & Stephen, C. (2010). The technologisation of childhood? Young Children and Technology, 28(2), 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1080/09575141003648371
36. Reich, S. M., Yau, J. C., & Warschauer, M. (2020). Tablet-based learning in early childhood: Promises and pitfalls. Early Childhood Education Journal, 48(5), 589-597.
37. Sarama, J., & Clements, D. H. (2019). Integrating technology into early mathematics education. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 46, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2018.07.006
38. Sarama, J., & Clements, D. H. (2021). STEM in early childhood: Integrating technology and mathematics. ECE and Development, 32(3), 401-418.
39. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
40. Wartella, E., Rideout, V., Lauricella, A. R., & Connell, S. (2013). Parenting in the age of digital technology: A national survey. Northwestern University Center on Media and Human Development. https://cmhd.northwestern.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/ParentingAgeDigitalTechnology.pdf
41. Zosh, J. M., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2017). Educational apps: What we're learning from research. Phi Delta Kappan, 99(3), 36–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721717739598
42. Zosh, J. M., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2021). Digital play in early childhood: What's the science say? Learning Landscapes, 14(1), 123-138.
43. Zosh, J. M., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., & Dore, R. A. (2018). When does an app become educational? Sorting fact from fiction. Child Development Perspectives, 12(3), 207–212. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12285