The Role of Industrial Waste in Green and Sustainable Technology: A Review

Harlinda binti Hamid[1], Noormazlinah binti Ahmad[2], Siti Hajar Noor binti Shaarani[3]

[1]Ms, First Author, Faculty of Chemical and Process Engineering Technology, University Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Malaysia

[2]Dr, Second Author, Centre for Sustainability of Mineral and Resource Recovery Technology, Faculty of Chemical and Process Engineering Technology, University Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Persiaran Tun Khalil Yaakob, 26300 Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

[3]Dr, Third Author, Bioaromatic Research Centre, Faculty of Chemical and Process Engineering Technology, University Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah, Persiaran Tun Khalil Yaakob, 26300 Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.90300398

Received: 28 February 2024; Accepted: 14 March 2025; Accepted: 18 March 2025; Published: 24 April 2025

ABSTRACT

The increasing generation of industrial waste poses significant environmental and resource management challenges, necessitating innovative approaches for sustainable utilization. This review explores the role of industrial waste in advancing green technologies, focusing on recycling, energy recovery, and the development of eco-friendly materials. With rapid industrialization and urban expansion, traditional waste disposal methods such as landfilling and incineration have become unsustainable, prompting the need for circular economy strategies that emphasize waste valorization. By synthesizing recent research and case studies, this study examines how industrial by-products can be repurposed into reusable materials, transformed into renewable energy through processes like pyrolysis and anaerobic digestion, and integrated into sustainable product development, including biodegradable polymers and biofertilizers. Employing a multidisciplinary approach, the review analyzes current technological advancements, environmental benefits, and economic feasibility through literature synthesis, case study evaluations, and comparative assessments. The significance of this study lies in its contribution to sustainable waste management by highlighting the potential of industrial waste as a valuable resource, reducing environmental impact, conserving natural resources, and fostering sustainability within industrial sectors. Future research and technological advancements in automated vermicomposting systems, optimal worm species selection, and microbial inoculants could further enhance process efficiency and expand its large-scale adoption. The findings provide insights for policymakers, researchers, and industries seeking to implement circular economy practices, ultimately supporting global efforts toward green and sustainable development.

Keywords: Industrial Waste, Sustainable, Green Technology, Waste to Wealth, Organic

INTRODUCTION

The rapid industrialization and urbanization of recent decades have significantly exacerbated the generation of waste, particularly industrial waste, which poses severe environmental challenges and represents a substantial loss of potential resources. The integration of sustainability principles into waste management practices has emerged as a critical strategy to address these issues. Sustainability emphasizes reducing waste generation, promoting recycling, and enhancing resource recovery, which are essential for mitigating environmental impacts while fostering economic growth and resource conservation (Sasmoko et al., 2022; Srivastava et al., 2022).

Industrial waste, which can be classified as either hazardous or non-hazardous, often ends up in landfills, contributing to environmental degradation and resource depletion. The mismanagement of industrial waste not only threatens ecosystems but also poses health risks to communities (Srivastava et al., 2022; Kanade & Varghese, 2024). For instance, hazardous waste, including materials like tailings and sludge, is frequently stockpiled, leading to contamination of soil and water resources (Kanwal et al., 2021). The need for innovative recycling technologies and sustainable management practices is paramount to transform these waste streams into valuable resources, thereby promoting a circular economy (Kanwal et al., 2021).

The concept of a circular economy is pivotal in redefining waste management practices. It advocates for the recycling and repurposing of industrial waste to minimize environmental impacts and maximize resource efficiency (Sasmoko et al., 2022; Kanwal et al., 2021). For example, the recycling of construction and demolition waste (C&D waste) has gained traction as a sustainable practice that not only reduces landfill use but also conserves natural resources (Munir et al., 2022; Hua et al., 2022). The adoption of green construction technologies facilitates the recycling of C&D waste, contributing to resource conservation and environmental protection (Han et al., 2024). Furthermore, the use of waste-derived materials in construction, such as fly ash and slag, has been shown to enhance the sustainability of building materials (Apithanyasai et al., 2020; Li et al., 2019).

In addition to construction waste, the recycling of agricultural waste presents significant opportunities for sustainability. Agricultural practices, particularly in regions with intensive livestock production, generate substantial waste, which can be effectively managed through biogas digesters and other recycling technologies (Yang et al., 2021). These technologies not only reduce waste but also convert it into energy, thus contributing to a more sustainable agricultural sector (Yang et al., 2021). The integration of waste management practices in agriculture aligns with the principles of sustainability by promoting resource recovery and reducing environmental impacts (Yang et al., 2021).

Moreover, the role of informal waste collectors in the recycling process cannot be overlooked. In many developing countries, informal collectors play a crucial role in the recovery of recyclable materials from industrial and domestic waste streams (Salhofer et al., 2021; Tandiwe & William, 2024). These collectors often serve as intermediaries, facilitating the flow of materials to formal recycling operations (Salhofer et al., 2021; Tandiwe & William, 2024). Recognizing and integrating the contributions of informal waste collectors into formal waste management systems can enhance recycling rates and improve overall sustainability (Salhofer et al., 2021; Tandiwe & William, 2024).

The advancement of recycling technologies is also essential for enhancing the efficiency of waste management practices. Innovative approaches, such as the use of pyrolysis for polymeric waste, demonstrate the potential for converting waste into valuable energy sources (Hung et al., 2023). Such technologies not only reduce the volume of waste but also provide alternative energy solutions, thereby contributing to sustainability goals (Hung et al., 2023). Additionally, the development of advanced materials from recycled industrial waste, such as porous bricks and ceramics, showcases the potential for resource recovery in construction (Li et al., 2019; Choo et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the economic implications of recycling industrial waste are significant. The recycling of materials like *polyethylene terephthalate (PET)* not only addresses waste management challenges but also contributes to energy conservation and the reduction of raw material costs in the petrochemical industry (Raj et al., 2023). By promoting the recycling of PET and other materials, industries can achieve greater sustainability while simultaneously enhancing their economic viability (Raj et al., 2023).

The integration of sustainability principles into waste management practices is further supported by regulatory frameworks and incentive policies. Governments play a crucial role in promoting recycling through legislation and financial incentives, which can stimulate stakeholder engagement in waste management practices (Hua et al., 2022). For instance, policies that impose fees on waste disposal can encourage businesses to adopt recycling measures, thereby fostering a culture of sustainability (Hua et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the rapid industrialization and urbanization of recent decades have necessitated a paradigm shift in waste management practices towards sustainability. The integration of industrial waste into sustainable

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue III March 2025

technologies offers a pathway to mitigate environmental impacts while fostering economic growth and resource conservation. By embracing the principles of a circular economy, enhancing recycling technologies, and recognizing the role of informal waste collectors, societies can effectively address the challenges posed by industrial waste generation. The collaborative efforts of governments, industries, and communities are essential to achieving sustainable waste management and promoting a healthier environment for future generations.

Industrial Waste Recycling: Key Technologies and Parties

Recycling industrial waste is a fundamental aspect of sustainable waste management, aimed at reducing environmental impacts and conserving resources. Various technologies and practices have been developed to facilitate the recycling of different types of industrial waste, including construction debris, textiles, and metals. This paper discusses key technologies and practices in industrial waste recycling, highlighting the importance of innovative approaches and stakeholder engagement in promoting sustainability.

Organic Waste

Effective management of organic industrial waste from sectors like agriculture, food processing, and livestock production is crucial for sustainability and reducing environmental impacts. Technologies such as bioconversion utilize microorganisms to transform organic materials into valuable products or energy sources. Thermal hydrolysis processes, like those developed by Lystek International, convert biosolids into biofertilizers, enhancing resource efficiency. Adopting a circular economy approach, which emphasizes continuous resource use and waste minimization, offers benefits including reduced landfill usage, conservation of natural resources, and the creation of sustainable products. Integrating advanced recycling technologies, fostering community participation, and embracing circular economy principles enable industries to transform organic waste management into sustainable practices.

Composting and Anaerobic Digestion

The effective management of organic waste is a critical component of sustainable agriculture, food production, and environmental conservation. Two widely adopted methods for organic waste recycling are composting and anaerobic digestion, both of which contribute to waste reduction, resource recovery, and environmental sustainability.

Composting is an aerobic biological process in which organic materials, such as crop residues, food waste, and animal manures, decompose into humus-like compost under controlled conditions. This process is facilitated by microbial activity, which breaks down organic matter into a stable, nutrient-rich soil amendment. Composting not only reduces organic waste volume but also enhances soil fertility, microbial diversity, and carbon sequestration.

According to Wang et al. and Sasmoko et al. (2022), composting animal manures and agricultural residues plays a vital role in nutrient recycling, as it retains essential macronutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). These nutrients are gradually released into the soil, improving plant growth, crop yield, and soil structure while minimizing the need for chemical fertilizers. Additionally, composting enhances soil water retention, reducing erosion and nutrient leaching, which are common issues in intensive farming systems.

Beyond agricultural applications, composting is also crucial for municipal waste management. Large-scale composting facilities process household food waste, garden trimmings, and organic industrial waste, diverting biodegradable waste from landfills. This significantly reduces methane emissions, which are a major contributor to climate change. Furthermore, advancements in composting technology, such as thermophilic composting, vermicomposting (using earthworms), and biochar-enhanced composting, have improved decomposition rates and nutrient availability, making composting a highly effective waste-to-resource strategy.

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is an advanced biological waste treatment process that decomposes organic matter in oxygen-free conditions through microbial activity. This method is particularly beneficial for managing high-moisture organic waste, including livestock manure, food processing byproducts, and sewage sludge. Unlike composting, which primarily produces solid organic matter (compost), anaerobic digestion generates two valuable outputs which are biogas and digestate. Srivastava et al. (2022) highlight that anaerobic digestion significantly reduces greenhouse gas emissions by capturing methane that would otherwise be released into the atmosphere during traditional decomposition processes. This makes AD a highly effective strategy for carbon footprint reduction and climate change mitigation.

Furthermore, integrating anaerobic digestion into agricultural and industrial waste management systems supports a circular bioeconomy, where waste materials are continuously recycled into energy and valuable resources. The deployment of biogas plants in rural farming communities and industrial zones has demonstrated multiple benefits, including reducing reliance on fossil fuels, enhancing farm sustainability, providing decentralized energy solutions, improving sanitation and waste disposal efficiency. Modern anaerobic digestion technologies, such as high-rate reactors, co-digestion systems (combining multiple organic waste streams), and bio-methanation enhancements, have improved biogas yield and process efficiency. Additionally, governments and industries are increasingly investing in waste-to-energy initiatives, incentivizing the adoption of biogas production as part of broader sustainable energy and waste management policies.

Both composting and anaerobic digestion offer powerful solutions for organic waste recycling, each with distinct advantages. While composting enriches soil fertility and reduces landfill waste, anaerobic digestion generates renewable energy and prevents methane emissions. By implementing integrated organic waste management strategies, industries, municipalities, and agricultural sectors can maximize resource efficiency, promote environmental sustainability, and contribute to a low-carbon future. Encouraging the adoption of composting and anaerobic digestion technologies through policy incentives, technological innovations, and cross-sector collaboration will be key to advancing a sustainable and circular bioeconomy.

Valorization of Organic Waste

Valorization refers to the process of converting organic waste into high-value products, offering an environmentally and economically sustainable alternative to conventional waste disposal. This approach plays a crucial role in waste minimization, resource recovery, and circular economy strategies across various industries.

One notable example of organic waste valorization is the recycling of slaughterhouse byproducts, such as blood, bones, and horns, into industrial materials. Boutessouna et al. and Kanade & Varghese (2024) highlight the potential of utilizing these protein- and mineral-rich waste materials in the production of low-cost cement for surface carburizing. This innovative process not only reduces landfill waste from the meat processing industry but also addresses economic and environmental challenges associated with waste disposal. By repurposing organic slaughterhouse waste into industrial-grade materials, this valorization strategy contributes to sustainable manufacturing practices while reducing reliance on virgin raw materials.

Beyond industrial applications, organic waste is also a valuable feedstock for biochemical and biofuel production. Through microbial fermentation and bioconversion technologies, organic waste can be transformed into bio-based chemicals, biofuels, and biodegradable polymers. Liew et al. and Kanwal et al. (2021) describe gas fermentation technology as a promising method that utilizes organic waste and industrial off-gases to synthesize low-carbon fuels and chemicals, including ethanol, butanol, and bioplastics. This process leverages engineered microbial systems to convert waste-derived carbon sources into sustainable energy carriers and industrial feedstocks, offering a low-emission alternative to traditional petrochemical processes.

Furthermore, advancements in biorefinery concepts are expanding the scope of organic waste valorization. Emerging technologies such as anaerobic digestion for biogas production, enzymatic hydrolysis for bio-based polymer synthesis, and thermochemical conversion for biochar and syngas generation are driving the integration of organic waste into circular bioeconomy models. These innovations reduce dependency on fossil resources, promote renewable energy solutions, and create new revenue streams for industries dealing with large volumes of organic waste.

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue III March 2025

Valorization of organic waste presents a transformative opportunity to address waste management challenges, reduce environmental impact, and enhance industrial sustainability. By implementing innovative recycling, biochemical conversion, and waste-to-material technologies, industries can extract value from organic waste, fostering a more sustainable, circular economy. Moving forward, investment in research, technology development, and policy support will be essential to scale up organic waste valorization and unlock its full potential across multiple sectors.

Vermicomposting

Vermicomposting is an innovative and eco-friendly biotechnology that utilizes earthworms and microbial activity to decompose organic waste, transforming it into a high-quality, nutrient-rich compost known as vermicompost. Unlike traditional composting, vermicomposting accelerates the breakdown of organic matter, enhances nutrient bioavailability, and improves soil structure and fertility. The process relies on epigeic earthworm species, such as *Eisenia fetida* (red wigglers) and *Lumbricus rubellus*, which efficiently digest organic material and produce humus-like castings rich in macronutrients and beneficial microorganisms.

Scientific studies highlight vermicomposting's effectiveness in converting food waste, agricultural residues, and animal manure into organic fertilizers, offering a sustainable alternative to chemical fertilizers. According to Munir et al. (2022), vermicompost contains higher concentrations of essential nutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), and micronutrients (e.g., zinc and copper) compared to conventional compost. Additionally, the microbial diversity in vermicompost contributes to soil health, disease suppression, and improved plant growth.

Beyond its application in organic farming and horticulture, vermicomposting plays a crucial role in waste management strategies, particularly in municipal waste reduction and industrial-scale organic waste treatment. By diverting biodegradable waste from landfills, vermicomposting mitigates methane emissions, reducing the carbon footprint of waste disposal systems. It also supports regenerative agriculture by enhancing soil organic matter and moisture retention, crucial factors for drought-prone regions.

Vermicomposting is a cost-effective and scalable solution for sustainable agriculture and waste management, aligning with circular economy principles. Policymakers, farmers, and industries must collaborate to promote vermicomposting as a viable organic waste valorization strategy, ensuring environmental sustainability and food security for future generations.

Green Construction Technologies

The construction industry is a significant contributor to industrial waste, primarily through the generation of construction and demolition (C&D) debris, which poses environmental and resource management challenges. Green construction technologies have emerged as essential strategies for mitigating these impacts by promoting sustainable waste management and enhancing resource efficiency. Han et al. (2024) emphasize that recycling concrete through processes like crushing and screening allows for its reuse as recycled aggregates in new construction projects, reducing the demand for virgin materials while lowering the carbon footprint associated with concrete production (Sasmoko et al., 2022). Another innovative approach is modular construction, which facilitates the disassembly and reuse of building components, thereby minimizing waste generation, improving material efficiency, and extending the lifecycle of construction materials (Srivastava et al., 2022). Furthermore, the adoption of sustainable materials, such as recycled steel, reclaimed wood, and biodegradable composites, plays a critical role in promoting a circular economy by reducing the reliance on finite resources and decreasing construction-related environmental degradation (Kanade & Varghese, 2024). These technologies not only contribute to waste reduction and resource conservation but also support broader sustainability goals by fostering energy efficiency, lowering emissions, and encouraging responsible material sourcing within the construction sector.

Textile Waste Recycling

The textile industry is a significant contributor to industrial waste, with millions of tons of discarded fabric generated annually, posing severe environmental and resource management challenges. To address this growing concern, innovative recycling technologies have been developed to enhance sustainability, reduce landfill waste, and promote a circular economy. One of the most widely used methods is mechanical recycling, which involves shredding and re-spinning textile waste into new fibers. This process allows for the production of recycled textiles, reducing the demand for virgin materials and lowering the energy and water consumption associated with traditional textile manufacturing (Kanwal et al., 2021). Another advanced approach is chemical recycling, which breaks down fabrics into their fundamental chemical components, enabling the recovery of high-quality raw materials that can be reintroduced into the production cycle. This method is particularly beneficial for synthetic fibers like polyester, as it maintains material integrity and extends the lifespan of textile resources, thereby minimizing environmental degradation (Munir et al., 2022).

In addition to these recycling techniques, upcycling has gained traction as a sustainable and creative solution for managing textile waste. Unlike conventional recycling, which often degrades fiber quality over time, upcycling repurposes discarded textiles into new, high-value products such as bags, home decor items, and fashion accessories. This process not only diverts waste from landfills but also encourages innovative design practices and consumer awareness regarding sustainable fashion choices (Hua et al., 2022). Furthermore, the integration of digital and AI-driven sorting technologies has improved textile waste management by enabling more efficient separation of materials based on fiber composition, enhancing the quality and scalability of recycling efforts.

As global textile production continues to rise, the adoption of these advanced recycling and upcycling strategies becomes increasingly critical. Governments and industry leaders are recognizing the importance of implementing circular economy principles, promoting sustainable production methods, and encouraging responsible consumer behavior to mitigate the negative impact of textile waste. By investing in research, technology, and policy-driven initiatives, the textile industry can transition toward a more sustainable future, reducing its environmental footprint while fostering innovation and economic opportunities in the growing field of textile recycling and reuse.

Metal Recycling

Metal recycling is a well-established and highly effective practice that plays a crucial role in reducing energy consumption, conserving natural resources, and lowering greenhouse gas emissions. As industries continue to generate significant amounts of metal waste, including electronic waste and scrap metal, advanced recycling technologies have been developed to enhance efficiency and sustainability. One of the primary methods used is hydrometallurgy and pyrometallurgy, which are chemical and thermal processes employed to extract and recover valuable metals from industrial waste streams. Hydrometallurgical techniques utilize aqueous solutions to dissolve metals selectively, making them suitable for refining and reusing, while pyrometallurgical methods involve high-temperature treatments such as smelting to separate metals from their ores or mixed waste (Han et al., 2024). These technologies are widely applied in the recycling of precious metals from electronic waste, as well as base metals like aluminum, copper, and steel.

In addition to metal extraction, advanced sorting technologies have revolutionized the efficiency of the recycling process. Innovations such as automated optical sorting, X-ray fluorescence (XRF), and magnetic separation enable precise identification and separation of different metal types, improving material purity and maximizing recovery rates (Apithanyasai et al., 2020). These technologies significantly reduce contamination in recycled metals, ensuring that high-quality raw materials can be reintroduced into manufacturing processes with minimal processing. Furthermore, closed-loop recycling has emerged as a highly sustainable approach, wherein metals are continuously recycled within the same production cycle, reducing dependency on virgin materials and minimizing waste generation. This method is particularly beneficial in industries such as automotive and aerospace manufacturing, where maintaining material properties and quality is critical (Li et al., 2019).

As global demand for metals continues to rise, the adoption of these advanced recycling technologies is essential for achieving a more sustainable and resource-efficient industrial sector. Governments and industry leaders are increasingly investing in innovative recycling infrastructure, policy frameworks, and circular economy models to enhance metal recovery and reduce environmental impacts. By leveraging these advancements, metal recycling can contribute to a more sustainable future, ensuring long-term resource conservation and reducing the ecological footprint of industrial activities.

Industrial Waste from Organic Sources and their Management Practices

Organic industrial waste is a significant byproduct of various industries, including agriculture, food processing, textile manufacturing, and beverage production. Effective management of these waste streams is crucial to reducing environmental pollution, promoting resource recovery, and supporting sustainable industrial practices. This table categorizes different types of organic waste, providing descriptions, common management practices, and key references. By implementing strategies such as anaerobic digestion, composting, bioenergy production, and recycling, industries can convert waste into valuable resources, contributing to a circular economy and minimizing ecological impact. The following table highlights key organic waste types and their respective management approaches based on recent research and industry practices.

Table1.1. Summary of Industrial Waste and its Management Practices

Type of Organic Waste	Description	Management Practices	References
Food Waste	Organic waste generated from food processing, restaurants, and households.	Anaerobic digestion, composting, and conversion to biogas.	Dewilda et al. (2023)
Agricultural Residues	By-products from crop production, such as straw, husks, and leaves.	Composting, bioenergy production, and soil amendment.	Poulton et al. (2018)
Livestock Manure	Waste produced from animal husbandry, including manure and bedding materials.	Composting, anaerobic digestion, and nutrient recovery.	Dewilda et al. (2023)
Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME)	Wastewater generated from palm oil processing, rich in organic matter and nutrients.	Treatment through anaerobic digestion and biogas production.	Homami et al. (2016)
Slaughterhouse Waste	Organic waste from meat processing, including blood, bones, and offal.	Rendering, composting, and conversion to biofuels.	Qiu et al. (2015)
Beverage Industry Waste	Organic waste from the production of beverages, such as fruit pulp and spent grains.	Anaerobic digestion and composting for nutrient recovery.	Ju et al. (2021)
Organic Solid Waste	General organic waste from various sources, including food scraps and yard waste.	Composting, anaerobic digestion, and energy recovery through incineration or gasification.	Khuriyati et al. (2018)
Textile Waste	Organic waste from the textile industry, including cotton and wool scraps.	Recycling, upcycling, and composting.	Azom et al. (2012)
Biogas Production	Energy generated from the anaerobic digestion of organic waste.	Co-digestion of food waste and wastewater sludge to enhance biogas production.	Bacos et al. (2024)
Keratin Waste	Waste generated from poultry farms, slaughterhouses, and leather industries.	Biodegradation and recycling into useful products.	Kumawat et al. (2018)
Wastewater from Food Poisoning	Liquid waste generated during food processing, often containing high organic loads.	Treatment plants, anaerobic digestion, and nutrient recovery.	Khuriyati et al. (2018)
Organic Waste from Breweries	By-products from beer production, including spent grains and hops.	Anaerobic digestion and composting.	Bacos et al. (2024)

The effective management of organic industrial waste is essential for mitigating environmental impacts and enhancing resource efficiency. By adopting sustainable waste treatment strategies such as composting, anaerobic digestion, bioenergy production, and recycling, industries can minimize waste disposal challenges while generating valuable byproducts like biofuels, organic fertilizers, and biodegradable materials. The diverse management practices highlighted in this table demonstrate the potential of organic waste valorization in fostering a circular economy and promoting sustainable industrial development. Continued research and technological advancements will further optimize these processes, ensuring that organic waste is effectively transformed into economically and environmentally beneficial resources.

Mapping Recyclability of Industrial Waste

Understanding the recyclability of industrial waste is essential for optimizing resource recovery, minimizing environmental impact, and promoting a circular economy. Kanwal et al. (2021) emphasize that a circular economy approach encourages the continuous reuse and recycling of materials throughout the value chain, reducing dependency on virgin resources and enhancing sustainability. A structured approach to mapping recyclability involves several key aspects that help industries implement efficient waste management strategies.

One of the first steps in this process is the assessment of waste composition, which involves identifying the types, quantities, and properties of materials present in industrial waste streams. This analysis enables industries to determine potential recycling opportunities and prioritize materials with high recovery value, such as metals, plastics, textiles, and electronic waste (Yang et al., 2021). Following this, an evaluation of recycling technologies is crucial to selecting the most effective and economically viable methods for processing different waste types. Various techniques, such as mechanical, chemical, and biological recycling, offer unique advantages depending on the material characteristics and end-use applications (Salhofer et al., 2021).

Another critical component of recyclability mapping is identifying market opportunities for recycled products, ensuring that recovered materials can be reintegrated into manufacturing processes or sold to industries that demand sustainable raw materials. Establishing strong markets for recycled products not only supports economic viability but also encourages investment in waste recovery infrastructure and innovation (Tandiwe & William, 2024). Furthermore, integrating digital tools such as AI-driven waste tracking, blockchain for supply chain transparency, and big data analytics can enhance recyclability mapping by providing real-time insights into waste generation patterns and material flow.

By systematically mapping the recyclability of industrial waste, businesses can transition towards a more sustainable production model, reducing landfill dependency, lowering environmental footprints, and fostering long-term resource efficiency. Collaboration among industries, policymakers, and research institutions is vital to refining waste classification systems, improving recycling efficiency, and creating a robust market for secondary raw materials, ultimately driving the shift toward a more circular and resilient industrial ecosystem.

Recycling industrial waste is a cornerstone of sustainable waste management, with various technologies and practices developed to facilitate the recycling of construction debris, textiles, and metals. The integration of green construction technologies, innovative textile recycling methods, and advanced metal recovery processes highlights the potential for reducing environmental impacts and conserving resources. Furthermore, the mapping of recyclability is essential for understanding the potential applications of industrial waste, fostering stakeholder participation, and aligning recycling strategies with sustainable development goals. As industries continue to embrace sustainable practices, the importance of recycling industrial waste will only grow, paving the way for a more circular economy.

Energy Recovery from Industrial Waste

One of the most significant opportunities for utilizing industrial waste lies in energy recovery, which plays a crucial role in enhancing energy efficiency, reducing environmental impact, and supporting the global transition toward a low-carbon economy. Industrial processes generate vast amounts of waste heat, much of which remains untapped due to inefficiencies in traditional energy management systems. Turek et al. (2024) highlight the potential of waste heat recovery technologies in significantly lowering energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, making industrial operations more sustainable and cost-effective. By capturing and repurposing excess heat from manufacturing processes—such as metal smelting, cement production, and chemical manufacturing—industries can reduce their dependence on primary energy sources while improving overall process efficiency. Technologies such as heat exchangers, organic Rankine cycles (ORC), and thermoelectric generators have been developed to harness and convert industrial waste heat into usable energy for heating, electricity generation, and process optimization.

Beyond waste heat recovery, waste-to-energy (WTE) initiatives offer a transformative approach to converting solid, liquid, and gaseous waste into valuable energy sources. Tsai (2010) emphasizes the necessity of well-defined regulatory frameworks to support the large-scale adoption of WTE solutions. Taiwan provides a successful example of how industrial waste can be effectively repurposed as an energy source, helping industries decrease reliance on fossil fuels while addressing critical waste management challenges. Various thermal, biological, and mechanical WTE technologies have emerged, including incineration with energy recovery, gasification, pyrolysis, and anaerobic digestion, each offering distinct advantages depending on the type of waste being processed. For example, incineration with combined heat and power (CHP) can recover energy from non-recyclable waste, producing both electricity and steam for industrial applications. Meanwhile, anaerobic digestion provides a sustainable solution for organic waste, converting it into biogas, which can be used as a renewable fuel for power generation or industrial heating.

The integration of energy recovery systems into industrial operations presents numerous economic and environmental benefits. By leveraging waste-to-energy strategies, industries can reduce landfill dependency, lower carbon emissions, and enhance energy security by generating electricity or heat from materials that would otherwise contribute to pollution. Moreover, advancements in plasma gasification, microbial fuel cells, and artificial intelligence-driven energy optimization are paving the way for more efficient and scalable WTE solutions. However, the success of these initiatives relies heavily on government policies, industry collaboration, and technological investments. Establishing financial incentives, such as carbon credits, tax breaks, and renewable energy subsidies, can encourage industries to adopt waste-derived energy solutions, further accelerating the shift toward a circular and sustainable industrial ecosystem.

As industries continue to explore new avenues for improving energy efficiency and reducing environmental impact, energy recovery from industrial waste will remain a crucial strategy for promoting sustainable development, reducing fossil fuel consumption, and fostering innovation in the global energy sector. By embracing these technologies and regulatory measures, industries can move toward a future where waste is not just managed—but transformed into a valuable resource that drives economic and environmental progress.

Development of Eco-Friendly Materials

The development of eco-friendly materials from industrial waste represents a significant step toward sustainable resource management, reducing environmental degradation while promoting circular economy principles. By repurposing industrial byproducts into valuable construction and manufacturing materials, industries can minimize waste disposal, conserve natural resources, and reduce carbon emissions. Tkach et al. (2018) explore the feasibility of utilizing various types of industrial waste in the production of environmentally friendly aerated concrete, demonstrating that waste-derived materials can effectively replace conventional raw materials without compromising structural performance. The incorporation of industrial byproducts, such as fly ash, slag, and silica fume, in aerated concrete production not only reduces reliance on virgin resources but also enhances insulation properties, leading to more energy-efficient buildings. This approach significantly mitigates the environmental impact of traditional construction activities, supports waste valorization, and fosters greater resource efficiency.

Beyond traditional construction materials, advancements in digital fabrication technologies have further expanded the potential applications of industrial waste in high-performance manufacturing. Munir et al. (2022) highlight the growing use of recycled industrial waste in 3D printing applications, where innovative treatment and processing techniques transform waste materials into sustainable building components. The integration of industrial waste into additive manufacturing processes offers multiple benefits, including reduced material consumption, optimized structural design, and minimized waste generation. For instance, recycled polymers, metal powders, and composite waste are being incorporated into 3D printing to create lightweight, durable, and environmentally sustainable products. This not only reduces the demand for conventional raw materials but also enables the construction of customized, efficient, and low-waste structures, reinforcing the principles of sustainability in the built environment.

Furthermore, the development of bio-based composites and sustainable alternatives derived from agricultural and industrial residues is gaining traction across various industries. Waste-derived materials, such as biodegradable plastics, geopolymers, and cellulose-based composites, are being explored as viable substitutes for conventional materials in packaging, automotive, and infrastructure applications. Research in nanotechnology is also driving innovation, as nano-enhanced waste-derived materials exhibit superior mechanical properties, thermal resistance, and durability, making them suitable for high-performance applications in engineering and manufacturing.

The transition toward waste-derived eco-friendly materials requires active collaboration between industries, research institutions, and policymakers. Establishing regulatory incentives, industry standards, and financial support mechanisms can accelerate the adoption of sustainable material innovations, ensuring that industrial waste is transformed into valuable resources rather than environmental liabilities. As advancements in material science, digital fabrication, and sustainable engineering continue to evolve, the widespread utilization of industrial waste in manufacturing and construction will play a pivotal role in reducing environmental footprints, enhancing material efficiency, and fostering a more sustainable future.

Challenges and Opportunities in Industrial Waste Management

Despite the promising advancements in industrial waste recycling and utilization, several challenges persist, hindering large-scale adoption and integration into sustainable industrial practices. A key obstacle lies in regulatory and policy barriers, which vary across regions and industries. Srivastava et al. (2022) highlight the complexities of waste classification, permitting processes, and inconsistent regulatory frameworks, which often discourage industries from investing in recycling initiatives. Stricter environmental regulations, while necessary, can create bureaucratic delays, making it difficult for businesses to navigate compliance requirements efficiently. Addressing these regulatory hurdles requires collaborative efforts between policymakers, industry stakeholders, and research institutions to establish harmonized regulations, streamlined permitting processes, and incentive-driven policies that encourage responsible waste management and resource recovery.

Another significant challenge is the economic viability of recycling initiatives. Industrial waste recycling often involves high initial costs for infrastructure, technology acquisition, and process optimization, making it less attractive compared to traditional waste disposal methods. The lack of cost-effective recycling technologies and market incentives further complicates widespread adoption. Rybicka et al. (2016) emphasize the importance of evaluating recycling technologies based on their technology readiness levels (TRLs) to guide strategic investments and foster the development of scalable, commercially viable solutions. The integration of automation, artificial intelligence (AI), and advanced sorting systems can enhance efficiency and reduce operational costs, making industrial waste recycling more economically feasible in the long run.

Additionally, logistical and technical barriers pose significant challenges. Industrial waste streams are often heterogeneous, requiring specialized sorting, treatment, and processing methods before they can be reused or recycled. The lack of standardized waste characterization and inadequate infrastructure for waste collection and segregation leads to inefficiencies in the recycling process. Investing in smart waste management systems, sensor-based sorting technologies, and decentralized recycling facilities can help overcome these obstacles by improving waste traceability, optimizing resource recovery, and reducing transportation costs.

Market demand for recycled materials is another critical factor influencing the success of industrial waste recycling initiatives. While sustainable materials are gaining traction, industries still face challenges in securing stable markets and competitive pricing for recycled products. Establishing government-backed procurement programs, eco-labeling certifications, and circular economy business models can incentivize businesses to incorporate recycled materials into production chains, creating a more robust market for secondary raw materials.

Governments can implement subsidies, tax incentives, and extended producer responsibility (EPR) policies to drive investment in waste recycling infrastructure. Research institutions and industries must collaborate to develop innovative, cost-effective recycling technologies, while businesses need to integrate sustainable design principles that facilitate material recovery at the end of product life cycles. By addressing these hurdles systematically, industrial waste recycling can become a cornerstone of sustainable development, contributing to resource efficiency, carbon footprint reduction, and long-term environmental resilience.

Case Studies and Best Practices

Examining successful case studies from various industries and regions provides valuable insights into effective industrial waste management strategies. These real-world examples highlight how innovative approaches to waste recycling, resource recovery, and circular economy principles can lead to significant environmental and economic benefits.

One notable example is the textile processing industry in India, which has demonstrated remarkable progress in waste recycling and valorization. Tari et al. (2024) emphasize how the industry has implemented innovative strategies to categorize and repurpose solid waste, effectively reducing landfill contributions while generating new economic opportunities. By segregating textile waste into reusable fibers, chemical feedstocks, and energy-generating materials, the sector has successfully minimized waste disposal costs and enhanced sustainability. Moreover, advancements in mechanical and chemical recycling techniques have enabled the production of recycled fibers that are reintegrated into the supply chain, promoting a circular economy within the textile industry.

Another compelling case study comes from Ukrainian industrial cities, where the integration of circular economy principles into urban planning has led to significant progress in waste management and ecological revitalization. Melnykova et al. (2022) highlight how collaborative efforts between local governments, industries, and communities have enabled cities to transform industrial waste into valuable resources. Initiatives such as industrial symbiosis programs—where waste from one industry becomes a raw material for another—have played a crucial role in reducing waste generation and promoting sustainable industrial practices. Additionally, investments in green infrastructure, eco-industrial parks, and waste-to-energy projects have helped these cities improve waste diversion rates while contributing to broader climate resilience and economic growth.

Beyond these examples, Japan and Germany have also pioneered zero-waste industrial parks, where businesses operate under closed-loop production systems that prioritize resource efficiency and minimal waste generation. Japan's Kawasaki Eco-Town, for instance, integrates advanced recycling technologies, waste exchange networks, and energy recovery systems to ensure that industrial byproducts are fully utilized rather than discarded. Similarly, Germany's Circular Economy Act has set high standards for waste prevention, driving technological innovation in material recovery, secondary raw materials, and sustainable manufacturing.

These successful case studies underscore the importance of cross-sector collaboration, regulatory support, and technological innovation in overcoming industrial waste management challenges. By learning from these real-world applications, industries worldwide can develop tailored waste management strategies, adopt eco-friendly production methods, and contribute to the global shift toward sustainable industrial ecosystems.

CONCLUSION

The integration of industrial waste into green and sustainable technologies presents a transformative opportunity to mitigate environmental challenges while simultaneously driving economic growth. By adopting advanced recycling techniques, energy recovery systems, and eco-friendly material innovations, industries can convert waste into valuable resources, reducing their ecological footprint and contributing to a more resilient circular economy.

Effective waste valorization strategies, such as mechanical and chemical recycling, waste-to-energy conversion, and the production of sustainable construction materials, highlight the vast potential of industrial waste repurposing. Industries that leverage innovative waste management solutions not only minimize landfill dependency and carbon emissions but also create new market opportunities by supplying secondary raw materials for various sectors. Furthermore, emerging technologies—including AI-driven waste sorting, bio-based material synthesis, and nanotechnology applications—offer promising pathways to enhance the efficiency and sustainability of waste utilization processes.

By prioritizing sustainable practices, circular economy models, and cross-sector partnerships, industries can bridge the gap between waste generation and resource efficiency. Through continued technological advancements, regulatory reforms, and public-private collaborations, the shift towards a waste-free, low-carbon, and resource-efficient future can be achieved, paving the way for a truly sustainable industrial ecosystem.

FUNDING

The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah and FPG Oleochemical Sdn Bhd with grant number (RDU192811 and UIC190817).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah and FPG Oleochemical Sdn Bhd (grant RDU192811 and UIC190817) for providing the necessary facilities and resources to conduct this research.

REFERENCES

1. Aldás, M., Paladines, A., Valle, V., Pazmiño, M., & Quiroz, F. (2018). Effect of the prodegradant-additive plastics incorporated on the polyethylene recycling. International Journal of Polymer Science, 2018, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2474176
2. Apithanyasai, S., Supakata, N., & Papong, S. (2020). The potential of industrial waste: using foundry sand with fly ash and electric arc furnace slag for geopolymer brick production. Heliyon, 6(3), e03697. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03697
3. Azom, M., Mahmud, K., Yahya, S., Sontu, A., & Himon, S. (2012). Environmental impact assessment of tanneries: a case study of hazaribag in bangladesh. International Journal of Environmental Science and Development, 152-156. https://doi.org/10.7763/ijesd.2012.v3.206
4. Bacos, T., Cioablă, A., Popescu, F., Vintilă, T., & Borborean, A. (2024). Biogas from wastewater's sludge as potential resource for transportation. experimental approach. Iop Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering, 1303(1), 012030. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1303/1/012030
5. Beigi, T. and Picard, M. (2021). Regimes of waste (im)perceptibility in the life cycle of metal., 197-218. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003152590-12
6. Bellopede, R., Zichella, L., & Marini, P. (2020). Glass waste3: a preliminary study for a new industrial recovery processing. Sustainability, 12(5), 1997. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12051997
7. Bernstad, A., Malmquist, L., Truedsson, C., & Jansen, J. (2013). Need for improvements in physical pretreatment of source-separated household food waste. Waste Management, 33(3), 746-754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.06.012
8. Bian, H., Boguta, P., Huang, J., Deng, C., Kong, D., Zhou, H., & Su, X. (2024). Transforming organic solid waste management: embracing humification for sustainable resource recovery. ACS Sustainable Resour. Manage., 1(2), 181-198. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssusresmgt.3c00080
9. Boutessouna, B., Allaoui, O., & Allaoui, L. (2017). Surface carburizing by valorization of organic waste. Acta Physica Polonica A, 132(3-II), 1173-1175. https://doi.org/10.12693/aphyspola.132.1173
10. Boutessouna, B., Allaoui, O., & Allaoui, L. (2017). Surface carburizing by valorization of organic waste. Acta Physica Polonica A, 132(3-II), 1173-1175. https://doi.org/10.12693/aphyspola.132.1173
11. Choo, T., Salleh, M., Kok, K., & Matori, K. (2019). A review on synthesis of mullite ceramics from industrial wastes. Recycling, 4(3), 39. https://doi.org/10.3390/recycling4030039
12. Cruz-Noriega, M., Benites, S., Rojas-Flores, S., Quiñones-Cerna, C., Terrones-Rodríguez, N., Castillo, H., & Mendoza-Villanueva, K. (2023). Production of bioferments from artichoke and asparagus waste with high unicellular protein and carotenoid content using r. mucilaginosa. Sustainability, 15(20), 15102. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152015102

13. Delva, L., Ragaert, K., Degrieck, J., & Cardon, L. (2014). The effect of multiple extrusions on the properties of montmorillonite filled polypropylene. Polymers, 6(12), 2912-2927. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym6122912
14. Dewilda, Y., Fauzi, M., Aziz, R., & Utami, F. (2023). Analysis of food industry waste management based-on the food recovery hierarchy and 3r concept – a case study in padang city, west sumatra, indonesia. Journal of Ecological Engineering, 24(7), 198-208. https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/164749
15. Dou, K., Da, S., Liu, Y., Zheng, D., Xun, Z., & Yang, Z. (2023). Metal foam supported macroporous resin used for efficient recycling of industrial organic waste gas., 104. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3006144
16. Díaz-Vázquez, D., Carrillo-Nieves, D., Orozco-Nunnelly, D., Senés-Guerrero, C., & Gradilla-Hernández, M. (2021). An integrated approach for the assessment of environmental sustainability in agro-industrial waste management practices: the case of the tequila industry. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.682093
17. Fackler, N., Heijstra, B., Rasor, B., Brown, H., Martin, J., Ni, Z., & Köpke, M. (2021). Stepping on the gas to a circular economy: accelerating development of carbon-negative chemical production from gas fermentation. Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, 12(1), 439-470. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-120120-021122
18. Fang, Y., Ali, A., Gao, Y., Zhao, P., Li, R., Li, X., & Pan, J. (2022). Preparation and characterization of mgo hybrid biochar and its mechanism for high efficient recovery of phosphorus from aqueous media. Biochar, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42773-022-00171-0
19. Fazli, A. and Rodrigue, D. (2020). Waste rubber recycling: a review on the evolution and properties of thermoplastic elastomers. Materials, 13(3), 782. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13030782
20. Han, D., Ren, L., Li, X., Niu, H., Fu, J., Zhang, M., & Ran, X. (2024). Research on recycling and key technologies of construction waste. E3s Web of Conferences, 490, 03012. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202449003012
21. Havita, V., Hamidah, I., & Sriyati, S. (2021). Education sustainable development-integrated organic waste management to improve students' sustainability literacy. Jurnal Pendidikan Mipa, 22(2), 262-269. https://doi.org/10.23960/jpmipa/v22i2.pp262-269
22. Homami, S., Khoshgard, A., Momenifar, M., Sayad, H., & Heidarimoghadam, H. (2016). Study and design of waste heat recovery using organic rankine cycle. Oriental Journal of Chemistry, 32(1), 659-664. https://doi.org/10.13005/ojc/320174
23. Hua, C., Liu, C., Chen, J., Yang, C., & Chen, L. (2022). Promoting construction and demolition waste recycling by using incentive policies in china. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(35), 53844-53859. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19536-w
24. Hung, Y., Ho, C., Chen, L., Ma, S., Liu, T., & Shen, Y. (2023). Using a low-temperature pyrolysis device for polymeric waste to implement a distributed energy system. Sustainability, 15(2), 1580. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021580
25. Ishak, N., Azizan, M., Rahim, N., & Harun, N. (2024). Elucidation of the influence of construction waste causative factors and strategies towards sustainable construction waste management improvement. Iop Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science, 1303(1), 012040. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1303/1/012040
26. Jones, P. and Comfort, D. (2018). Sustainability and the uk waste management industry. European Journal of Sustainable Development Research, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.20897/ejosdr/79227
27. Ju, J., Feng, Y., Li, H., Li, X., & Zhang, Q. (2021). Recovery of valuable metals and nacl from cobalt-rich crust and industrial waste salt via roasting coupling technology. Journal of Sustainable Metallurgy, 7(4), 1862-1875. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40831-021-00464-x
28. Kanade, J. and Varghese, T. (2024). Solid waste management for environmental sustainability and human health. jier, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v4i1.599
29. Kanwal, Q., Li, J., & Zeng, X. (2021). Mapping recyclability of industrial waste for anthropogenic circularity: a circular economy approach. Acs Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 9(35), 11927-11936. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c04139
30. Kasmudin, K., Harahab, N., Warsito, W., & Haris, H. (2020). Society’s response and management of palm oil industry waste in pasangkayu regency. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.23-10-2019.2293025

31. Khuriyati, N., Purwadi, D., F.A., I., Fitriani, K., & D., I. (2018). Creating value of agro-industrial wastes. Kne Life Sciences, 4(2), 34. https://doi.org/10.18502/kls.v4i2.1655
32. Koliotasi, A., Abeliotis, K., & Tsartas, P. (2023). Understanding the impact of waste management on a destination′s image: a Stakeholders′ perspective. Tourism and Hospitality, 4(1), 38-50. https://doi.org/10.3390/tourhosp4010004
33. Kulkarni, S. (2020). Studies, efforts and investigations on various aspects of solid waste management with emphasis on developing Countries. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91942
34. Kumawat, T., Sharma, A., Sharma, V., & Chandra, S. (2018). Keratin waste: the biodegradable polymers.. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.79502
35. Li, R., Wang, Q., Qu, G., Zhang, Z., & Wang, H. (2023). Green utilization of organic waste resource. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(4), 8899-8901. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-25127-6
36. Li, R., Zhou, Y., Li, C., Li, S., & Huang, Z. (2019). Recycling of industrial waste iron tailings in porous bricks with low thermal conductivity. Construction and Building Materials, 213, 43-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.04.040
37. Li, S., Sun, K., Latif, A., Si, Y., Gao, Y., & Huang, Q. (2022). Insights into the applications of extracellular laccase-aided humification in livestock manure composting. Environmental Science & Technology, 56(12), 7412-7425. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c08042
38. Liew, F., Martin, M., Tappel, R., Heijstra, B., Mihalcea, C., & Köpke, M. (2016). Gas fermentation—a flexible platform for commercial scale production of low-carbon-fuels and chemicals from waste and renewable feedstocks. Frontiers in Microbiology, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00694
39. M, M., K, C., Janardhana, K., Aruna, C., M, R., & Kiran, R. (2024). Vermicompost (traditional methods) is an important component of agricultural productivity and an efficient tool for environmental and economic sustainability. International Journal of Biological and Environmental Investigations, 10(1), 55-61. https://doi.org/10.33745/ijzi.2024.v10i01.007
40. Misslin, R., Clivot, H., Levavasseur, F., Villerd, J., Soulié, J., Houot, S., … & Thérond, O. (2022). Integrated assessment and modeling of regional recycling of organic waste. Journal of Cleaner Production, 379, 134725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134725
41. Mitsika, I., Chanioti, M., & Αντωνιάδου, M. (2024). Dental solid waste analysis: a scoping review and research model proposal. Applied Sciences, 14(5), 2026. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14052026
42. Mohajeri, S., Harsej, F., Sadeghpour, M., & Nia, J. (2021). Integrated reverse supply chain model for food waste based on industry 4.0 revolutions. Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops & Foods, 13(4), 70-83. https://doi.org/10.15586/qas.v13i4.1002
43. Monastyrskiy, D., Куликова, M., Zemhenko, G., Колесникова, T., Andreeva, A., & Novikova, D. (2022). Analysis of approaches to waste management of pig farms. Bio Web of Conferences, 48, 04001. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20224804001
44. Munir, Q., Afshariantorghabeh, S., & Kärki, T. (2022). Industrial waste pretreatment approach for 3d printing of sustainable building materials. Urban Science, 6(3), 50. https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci6030050
45. Neto, R., Gastineau, P., Cazacliu, B., Guen, L., Paranhos, R., & Petter, C. (2017). An economic analysis of the processing technologies in cdw recycling platforms. Waste Management, 60, 277-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.08.011
46. Nghiem, L., Koch, K., Bolzonella, D., & Drewes, J. (2017). Full scale co-digestion of wastewater sludge and food waste: bottlenecks and possibilities. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 72, 354-362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.062
47. Njoku, P., Edokpayi, J., & Odiyo, J. (2019). Health and environmental risks of residents living close to a landfill: a case study of thohoyandou landfill, limpopo province, south africa. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(12), 2125. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16122125
48. Nwokolo, N., Mukumba, P., Obileke, K., & Enebe, M. (2020). Waste to energy: a focus on the impact of substrate type in biogas production. Processes, 8(10), 1224. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8101224
49. Pazera, A., Ślężak, R., Krzystek, L., Ledakowicz, S., Bochmann, G., Gabauer, W., & Kára, J. (2015). Biogas in europe: food and beverage (fab) waste potential for biogas production. Energy & Fuels, 29(7), 4011-4021. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef502812s

50. Poulton, P., Johnston, J., Macdonald, A., White, R., & Powlson, D. (2018). Major limitations to achieving “4 per 1000” increases in soil organic carbon stock in temperate regions: evidence from long-term experiments at rothamsted research, United Kingdom. Global Change Biology, 24(6), 2563-2584. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14066
51. Qiu, C., Ye, C., Li, Y., & Ye, C. (2015). Study on general industrial solid waste situation and its resource utilization in kunming in recent years. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 768, 740-745. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.768.740
52. Raj, B., Rahul, J., Singh, P., Rao, V., Kumar, J., Dwivedi, N., & Strzałkowski, K. (2023). Advancements in pet packaging: driving sustainable solutions for today’s consumer demands. Sustainability, 15(16), 12269. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151612269
53. Salhofer, S., Jandric, A., Soudachanh, S., Xuan, T., & Tran, D. (2021). Plastic recycling practices in vietnam and related hazards for health and the environment. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(8), 4203. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084203
54. Salhofer, S., Jandric, A., Soudachanh, S., Xuan, T., & Tran, D. (2021). Plastic recycling practices in vietnam and related hazards for health and the environment. International Journal of Environmental Resear ch and Public Health, 18(8), 4203. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084203
55. Sasmoko, S., Zaman, K., Malik, M., Awan, U., Handayani, W., Jabor, M., & Asif, M. (2022). Environmental effects of bio-waste recycling on industrial circular economy and eco-sustainability. Recycling, 7(4), 60. https://doi.org/10.3390/recycling7040060
56. Sembiring, F. (2018). Study of recycling demolition waste material product in jakarta, indonesia. E3s Web of Conferences, 74, 04007. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20187404007
57. Sikorska, W., Musioł, M., Zawidlak-Węgrzyńska, B., & Rydz, J. (2021). End-of-life options for (bio)degradable polymers in the circular economy. Advances in Polymer Technology, 2021, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6695140
58. Singh, A. and Sushil, N. (2021). Integrated approach for finding the causal effect of waste management over sustainability in the organization. Benchmarking an International Journal, 28(10), 3040-3073. https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-08-2020-0419
59. Srivastava, R., Rajak, D., Ilyas, S., Kim, H., & Pathak, P. (2022). Challenges, regulations, and case studies on sustainable management of industrial waste. Minerals, 13(1), 51. https://doi.org/10.3390/min13010051
60. Stevanović-Čarapina, H., Milić, J., Ćurčić, M., Randjelovic, J., Krinulovic, K., Jovović, A., & Brnjas, Z. (2016). Solid waste containing persistent organic pollutants in serbia: from precautionary measures to the final treatment (case study). Waste Management & Research the Journal for a Sustainable Circular Economy, 34(7), 677-685. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242x16650515
61. Synyuk, O., Musiał, J., Zlotenko, B., & Kulik, T. (2020). Development of equipment for injection molding of polymer products filled with recycled polymer waste. Polymers, 12(11), 2725. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12112725
62. Tandiwe, M. and William, C. (2024). Value addition of recyclable solid waste materials: the case of namibia. African Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research, 7(2), 1-19. https://doi.org/10.52589/ajsshr-mnkk2swm
63. Tkach, E., Solovyov, V., & Tkach, S. (2018). Production of environmentally friendly aerated concrete with required construction and operational properties. Matec Web of Conferences, 143, 02010. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201714302010
64. Vaiopoulou, E., Melidis, P., & Aivasidis, A. (2011). Process control, energy recovery and cost savings in acetic acid wastewater treatment. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 186(2-3), 1141-1146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.11.115
65. Valgepea, K., Talbo, G., Takemori, N., Takemori, A., Ludwig, C., Mahamkali, V., & Marcellin, E. (2022). Absolute proteome quantification in the gas-fermenting acetogen clostridium autoethanogenum. Msystems, 7(2). https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00026-22
66. Wang, K., He, C., You, S., Liu, W., Wang, W., Zhang, R., & Ren, N. (2015). Transformation of organic matters in animal wastes during composting. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 300, 745-753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.08.016

67. Wang, M., Liu, P., Gu, Z., Hong, C., & Li, X. (2019). A scientometric review of resource recycling industry. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(23), 4654. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16234654
68. Xie, S., Hai, F., Zhan, X., Guo, W., Ngo, H., Price, W., & Nghiem, L. (2016). Anaerobic co-digestion: a critical review of mathematical modelling for performance optimization. Bioresource Technology, 222, 498-512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.015
69. Xie, S., Higgins, M., Bustamante, H., Galway, B., & Nghiem, L. (2018). Current status and perspectives on anaerobic co-digestion and associated downstream processes. Environmental Science Water Research & Technology, 4(11), 1759-1770. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ew00356d
70. Yalçınkaya, S. and Kırtıloğlu, O. (2019). Development of a gis based multicriteria decision support system for organic waste management: izmir case study. https://doi.org/10.11159/iceptp19.133
71. Yang, L., Xiao, X., & Gu, K. (2021). Agricultural waste recycling optimization of family farms based on environmental management accounting in rural china. Sustainability, 13(10), 5515. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105515
72. Yao, Z., Qin, B., Huang, Z., Ruan, J., & Xu, Z. (2021). Green combined resource recycling system for the recycling of waste glass. Acs Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 9(21), 7361-7368. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c01797