The Power of Incentives: Examining the Impact of Rewards on Immunization Attitudes in Humanitarian Contexts

Ernest Chinedu OKOLI[1*]., Saidu Malgwi HASSAN[1]., Dr. Kelechi Nelson ADINDU[2]., Emmanuel Chukwuebuka NWOKE[3]., Dr. Peter kenechukwu AGBO[4]., and Nelyn Akunna OKOYE[5]

[1]UNICEF Health Section, UNICEF Nigeria, Maiduguri, Nigeria

[2]University of Chester, England, United Kingdom

[3]World Food Programme, Bangkok, Thailand

[4]Bay health Hospital, Dover, Delaware

[5]Rivers State University Hospital Services Department

[*]Corresponding Author

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.90300176

Received: 25 February 2025; Accepted: 07 March 2025; Published: 05 April 2025

ABSTRACT

Immunization is a crucial public health measure that saves millions of lives annually. However, in humanitarian settings such as conflict zones and refugee camps, vaccination coverage remains inadequate due to logistical challenges, cultural barriers, and mistrust in health systems. This study explores the impact of incentive-based approaches—financial, material, and social—on improving immunization rates in crisis-affected populations. Drawing from behavioral economics, these strategies address issues such as vaccine hesitancy and limited access to healthcare. Case studies demonstrate that incentives like cash transfers, food aid, and public recognition can significantly boost vaccination uptake, particularly in communities where basic survival needs take priority over preventive care. Nonetheless, concerns about sustainability and ethical considerations, such as potential coercion, must be carefully evaluated. This research underscores the need for culturally sensitive and community-driven approaches to ensure lasting improvements in immunization efforts during humanitarian crises.

Keywords: Humanitarian context, Immunization, Incentive-based intervention, public health, Vaccine hesitancy

INTRODUCTION

Immunization is one of the most impactful public health strategies, preventing millions of deaths worldwide by protecting individuals from life-threatening diseases such as measles, polio, and tetanus. Despite its effectiveness, vaccine coverage remains inadequate in many low-resource settings, particularly in humanitarian contexts such as conflict zones, refugee camps, and areas affected by natural disasters. These environments are marked by instability, displacement, limited resources, and the breakdown of essential healthcare services, making it difficult to provide and sustain immunization efforts.

During humanitarian crises, populations often become more vulnerable to infectious diseases due to overcrowded living conditions, poor sanitation, and inadequate access to clean water. These factors increase the likelihood of disease outbreaks, highlighting the urgent need for consistent and widespread immunization. However, achieving high vaccine coverage in these settings is complex, with challenges including logistical difficulties, vaccine hesitancy, and a lack of trust in health systems and humanitarian organizations.

In response to these obstacles, incentive-based approaches have emerged as a promising strategy to encourage vaccine uptake in crisis-affected regions. Incentives whether financial, material, or social can help influence behavior, particularly in communities where people are forced to prioritize basic survival needs, such as securing food and shelter, over preventive healthcare. Given that routine health services, including vaccination programs, often collapse during crises, finding innovative ways to ensure immunization coverage becomes critical.

Outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases like measles and cholera frequently occur in refugee camps and conflict zones, where dense populations and poor living conditions accelerate the spread of infections. Displaced individuals often move between locations or live in temporary settlements, making it difficult to track and maintain immunization coverage. Furthermore, when immediate survival needs take precedence, preventive healthcare interventions, including vaccinations, are often deprioritized. Addressing these challenges requires adaptive and community-centered solutions.

The Role of Incentives in Immunization

Achieving high immunization rates in humanitarian settings is not only about protecting individuals but also about preventing widespread outbreaks through herd immunity. This requires sustained and well-coordinated vaccination efforts. One approach that has gained attention is the use of incentives to encourage vaccine uptake.

Behavioral economics suggests that external rewards can influence human decision-making. Incentives in public health can take different forms:

- Financial incentives, such as cash transfers or vouchers, provide direct economic benefits to individuals or families who complete vaccination schedules.
- Non-financial incentives, including food supplies or essential household items, help alleviate resource constraints while promoting vaccination.
- Social incentives, such as public recognition or community-based rewards, reinforce positive health behaviors within social networks.
- Incentive-based interventions have been successfully used in various health programs, including efforts to improve maternal healthcare access, adherence to HIV treatments, and childhood immunization in low-income settings.

When applied to immunization programs in humanitarian settings, incentives help address both demand- and supply-side barriers. On the demand side, they encourage individuals to seek out vaccination services by offering tangible benefits. For instance, families may be more willing to vaccinate their children when provided with food supplements or small financial rewards. On the supply side, incentives can also motivate healthcare providers to enhance service delivery, particularly in remote or underserved areas.

Immunization in Humanitarian Contexts: Challenges and Opportunities

Delivering immunization services in humanitarian settings presents unique challenges distinct from those in stable environments. In conflict zones, refugee camps, and disaster-stricken areas, routine healthcare services—including vaccination programs are often disrupted or entirely halted. Several key barriers hinder immunization efforts in these settings.

First, logistical constraints pose a major challenge. Poor infrastructure, limited transportation, and security concerns make it difficult to deliver vaccines, especially in remote or conflict-affected areas. Additionally, cold-chain systems, essential for vaccine storage, are often unreliable or entirely absent in these crisis settings.

Second, health system collapse exacerbates the problem. In many humanitarian crises, local healthcare infrastructure is either severely weakened or completely non-functional, leading to shortages of healthcare workers, vaccines, and essential medical supplies. As a result, access to immunization services becomes severely restricted.

Another major issue is vaccine hesitancy, fueled by cultural beliefs, misinformation, and a lack of trust in authorities or humanitarian organizations. In some communities, vaccines may be perceived as unsafe or part of external control efforts, leading to reluctance in accepting immunization services.

Furthermore, population displacement makes immunization tracking and coverage continuity extremely difficult. Many displaced individuals, including refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs), lack proper documentation or access to healthcare facilities. Their constant movement further complicates efforts to administer vaccines and ensure follow-up doses.

Despite these obstacles, humanitarian settings also present opportunities for innovative immunization strategies. For instance, mass vaccination campaigns can be efficiently implemented in refugee camps, where large populations are concentrated in defined areas. Additionally, global attention and emergency funding often provide the necessary resources for large-scale immunization programs. Successful initiatives often result from strong collaborations between governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and international agencies such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and UNICEF. However, sustaining these efforts beyond crisis periods remains a challenge.

The Role of Behavioral Economics in Public Health

Behavioral economics, which integrates psychological insights into human decision-making, plays a crucial role in public health interventions, including immunization programs. Traditional economic theory assumes that people make rational choices based solely on cost-benefit analysis. However, behavioral economics acknowledges that decision-making is often influenced by biases, habits, social norms, and external circumstances.

In the context of immunization, behavioral economics has been used to design interventions that "nudge" individuals toward healthier behaviors. For example, offering small financial incentives, making vaccination the default option (automatic enrollment), or leveraging social proof (e.g., informing people that their peers have been vaccinated) are effective strategies derived from behavioral economic principles. These approaches help address behavioral barriers such as procrastination, present bias (prioritizing immediate concerns over long-term benefits), and loss aversion (fearing the loss of something more than valuing its gain).

Humanitarian settings, where individuals face severe cognitive and emotional burdens due to displacement, insecurity, and survival needs, present an ideal environment for incentive-based interventions. By providing immediate, tangible benefits, incentives help individuals prioritize vaccination over competing demands such as food, shelter, and security.

Theories on Incentives and Behavioral Change

Several psychological and economic theories support the use of incentives to modify health behaviors.

Operant Conditioning Theory: Originally proposed by B.F. Skinner, this theory suggests that behavior can be reinforced through rewards or punishments. In the context of immunization, offering tangible rewards such as cash or food serves as positive reinforcement, increasing the likelihood that individuals will seek vaccination.

Self-Determination Theory (SDT): This theory distinguishes between intrinsic motivation (engaging in behavior because it is inherently rewarding) and extrinsic motivation (engaging in behavior due to external rewards). While incentives may effectively drive immunization uptake in the short term, there is ongoing debate about whether they foster long-term behavioral change once external rewards are removed.

Nudge Theory: Developed by Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein, this theory posits those small environmental changes or indirect suggestions such as providing a minor reward for getting vaccinated can influence decision-making without coercion. Incentives function as a form of "nudge" by subtly steering individuals toward immunization while still allowing autonomy in decision-making.

Health Belief Model (HBM): According to HBM, individuals are more likely to engage in preventive health behaviors if they perceive themselves as at risk (perceived susceptibility), recognize the severity of the disease (perceived severity), and believe the benefits of vaccination outweigh the barriers (perceived cost). Incentives help lower perceived barriers by providing an immediate, tangible benefit that justifies the decision to get vaccinated.

Previous Studies on Incentives for Immunization Uptake

A growing body of research highlights the effectiveness of incentive-based approaches in increasing immunization rates, particularly in low-resource and humanitarian settings.

Studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries indicate that conditional cash transfers, where families receive money upon completing vaccination schedules, significantly boost immunization rates. For instance, research in rural India found that families receiving cash incentives for vaccinating their children had substantially higher completion rates compared to those who did not receive any rewards.

In humanitarian settings, where food insecurity is a pressing concern, non-cash incentives such as food supplements, blankets, or essential household items have been successfully used to encourage immunization. Studies from refugee camps in Kenya and Somalia demonstrated that providing food rations or vouchers for vaccinated children increased participation in immunization programs.

Social incentives also play a role in influencing behavior. In certain communities, public recognition or integration into local reward systems encourages participation. Parents who vaccinate their children may receive social validation, motivating others to do the same.

However, the long-term effectiveness of incentives remains debated. Some studies suggest that once incentives are withdrawn, immunization rates decline, indicating that external rewards alone may not sustain behavior change. Moreover, the effectiveness of incentives can vary based on cultural norms, socioeconomic conditions, and the specific type of incentive offered.

Ethical Considerations of Incentivizing Health Behaviors

Using incentives to promote immunization can be an effective strategy, but it also raises several ethical concerns. One of the key issues is the potential for incentives to blur the line between voluntary choice and coercion, particularly among vulnerable populations. In humanitarian settings, where access to basic resources is already limited, offering food or financial rewards in exchange for vaccination may pressure individuals to make health decisions based on immediate survival needs rather than informed consent.

Additionally, incentive programs can unintentionally deepen existing inequalities. Families with easier access to healthcare facilities are more likely to benefit from such programs, whereas those in remote or marginalized communities may struggle to access the same rewards, further widening disparities in healthcare access. Another challenge is sustainability. While incentives may drive short-term improvements in immunization rates, their long-term impact is uncertain. If external rewards are discontinued due to funding limitations or shifting priorities, immunization rates may decline, reversing progress made during the intervention. This raises concerns about dependency on external aid rather than fostering intrinsic motivation for health-seeking behavior.

The Concept of Incentives in Humanitarian Health

Incentives in humanitarian health initiatives generally fall into three categories: cash, non-cash, and social rewards. The selection of a particular type of incentive depends on the population’s needs, cultural considerations, and available resources. Each type comes with its own strengths and limitations, and their effectiveness varies depending on the challenges specific to the humanitarian context in which they are applied.

Mechanisms by Which Incentives Influence Behavior

Incentives influence behavior by addressing psychological, social, and economic barriers that prevent individuals from engaging in health-promoting activities, such as immunization. Several mechanisms explain how incentives can motivate people:

Reducing Opportunity Costs: In crisis settings, where individuals prioritize survival, attending a vaccination campaign may seem like a costly trade-off in terms of time and effort. Incentives, whether financial or material, compensate individuals for the time spent accessing healthcare services. For instance, a family that receives food in exchange for vaccinating their children may feel that the trade-off is worthwhile.

Overcoming Present Bias: People often prioritize immediate rewards over future benefits. Since vaccines prevent diseases that may not pose an immediate threat, incentives provide an immediate gain that helps counteract present bias, encouraging individuals to take preventive action despite the delayed health benefits.

Creating Positive Associations: Receiving tangible benefits after participating in health programs can build positive perceptions of healthcare services. This reinforcement may increase trust in healthcare providers and encourage greater engagement with health interventions in the future.

Leveraging Social Norms: Social incentives work by harnessing the influence of community expectations. In many humanitarian settings, individuals look to their peers and community leaders for behavioral cues. When respected figures endorse health interventions, they establish social norms that others are likely to follow. The desire to conform and avoid social exclusion can encourage participation in immunization programs.

Providing Financial Stability: In contexts of extreme poverty, cash incentives offer immediate relief that can indirectly improve health outcomes. Families may use the financial support to purchase food, pay for transportation to health facilities, or cover other medical expenses, ultimately improving their ability to engage in future health initiatives.

Cultural and Socioeconomic Factors Influencing Response to Incentives

Cultural and socioeconomic factors play a significant role in determining how individuals and communities respond to incentives. Understanding these influences is essential for designing effective programs.

In many cultures, health decisions are made collectively rather than individually. Community leaders or family elders often have the final say in whether children receive vaccinations. In such cases, directing incentives toward these key decision-makers—rather than individuals—may yield better results.

Cultural beliefs about health and medicine also shape responses to incentives. In communities with deep-seated mistrust of vaccines, material rewards alone may not be enough to overcome fears. Building trust through engagement with local leaders and transparent communication may be necessary before incentives can be effective.

Gender dynamics also affect the success of incentive programs. In many humanitarian settings, women are the primary caregivers responsible for taking children to health clinics. However, in patriarchal societies where men control household resources, women may have limited access to cash incentives or the autonomy to make healthcare decisions. In such cases, providing non-cash incentives, such as food or household essentials, may be more effective in ensuring participation.

Socioeconomic status further influences how incentives are perceived. People living in extreme poverty are more likely to respond to cash or material incentives because these address immediate needs. Conversely, individuals from wealthier backgrounds may be less influenced by material rewards but may be more receptive to social incentives, such as public recognition or elevated community status.

Trust in healthcare providers, governments, and humanitarian organizations is another critical factor. In some settings, people may be skeptical of external organizations offering rewards for health services, fearing hidden

motives. Successful incentive programs must prioritize community engagement, transparent communication, and the involvement of local health workers to build credibility and foster trust.

By considering these ethical, cultural, and socioeconomic factors, incentive-based programs can be designed in a way that promotes health-seeking behavior while maintaining equity, sustainability, and respect for individual autonomy.

The Role of Incentives in Humanitarian Crisis Settings

The use of incentives in humanitarian crises differs significantly from their application in stable environments due to the unique pressures and constraints faced by crisis-affected populations. During humanitarian emergencies, individuals and families often experience displacement, extreme poverty, and severe shortages of basic necessities such as food, water, and shelter. In these situations, incentives—whether monetary or non-monetary—can serve as effective tools to encourage health-seeking behavior. Given that immediate survival needs often take precedence over long-term health benefits, incentives provide a tangible and immediate reason for individuals to engage in essential health activities such as immunization.

However, implementing incentive programs in crisis settings presents logistical challenges, including security concerns, inadequate infrastructure, and the transient nature of affected populations. In contrast, while incentives can also be effective in stable environments, the motivations and barriers influencing health-seeking behaviors differ. Individuals in these settings typically have more consistent access to healthcare, stable income sources, and greater trust in healthcare institutions. Consequently, social incentives or modest financial rewards may be sufficient to encourage participation. In such environments, addressing vaccine hesitancy or reducing minor logistical barriers may be more effective than the immediate survival-driven approach seen in crisis settings.

Case Studies in Humanitarian Contexts

Incentives for Immunization in Refugee Camps

Refugee camps pose significant challenges to healthcare delivery, including immunization efforts. Nonetheless, the strategic use of incentives has successfully improved vaccination rates in these settings. A notable example is the implementation of food ration incentives in refugee camps in Kenya and Somalia, particularly in Dadaab (Kenya) and Somali refugee camps. Given the widespread food insecurity in these locations, humanitarian organizations such as the World Food Program (WFP) and UNICEF introduced food-for-vaccination programs. Under these initiatives, families who brought their children for vaccinations received additional food rations, simultaneously addressing immediate nutritional needs and promoting immunization efforts.

This dual-purpose strategy proved highly effective in increasing vaccine uptake. Families, many of whom might have otherwise avoided healthcare facilities due to logistical challenges or misinformation, were more inclined to seek immunization services. By aligning healthcare with an urgent daily need—food security—humanitarian organizations successfully overcame barriers such as vaccine hesitancy, mistrust of authorities, and the daily struggle for survival.

However, sustainability remained a key challenge. These programs were heavily dependent on external funding and resources. While the dual benefit of food and healthcare was a major strength, the long-term viability of such initiatives required ongoing financial support. Without sustained funding, both vaccination rates and food security could decline sharply. Furthermore, the temporary nature of these incentives meant that once the program ended, families might deprioritize immunization if no immediate benefits were attached to it.

Another concern was equity in program accessibility. The food-for-vaccination strategy disproportionately benefited those with easier access to immunization points, potentially excluding families in remote areas or those unable to bring their children due to illness or disability. Addressing these disparities through mobile vaccination clinics or targeted outreach initiatives could help ensure fairer access to healthcare services.

Lessons and Broader Applications

The success of incentive-based immunization programs in refugee camps highlights the potential of using non-monetary incentives to improve healthcare access in other resource-limited settings. Many low- and middle-income countries face similar challenges, such as vaccine hesitancy, logistical barriers, and competing survival concerns. Adapting and expanding the food-for-vaccination model beyond refugee camps could enhance healthcare delivery in these environments.

Moreover, integrating health and nutrition programs offers a broader framework for addressing global health challenges in fragile settings. Recognizing that healthcare is interconnected with food security, education, and other social determinants allows humanitarian organizations to design more effective and holistic interventions. By addressing multiple needs simultaneously, such approaches ensure that vulnerable populations receive comprehensive support, ultimately improving both short-term survival and long-term health outcomes.

Cash Transfers and Immunization Uptake: A Case from Sub-Saharan Africa

Conditional cash transfers (CCTs) have been widely used across Sub-Saharan Africa to encourage positive health and education outcomes, often tying financial incentives to actions such as childhood immunization. In Northern Nigeria, where vaccination rates have traditionally been low due to cultural resistance and logistical challenges, a CCT program was introduced through a collaboration between the government and international organizations. Under this initiative, families received financial support upon completing their children's immunization schedules and attending maternal health checkups.

This approach led to a significant increase in vaccination uptake, particularly in rural and economically disadvantaged communities. The immediate financial relief allowed families to prioritize health visits over competing needs such as daily subsistence and work. Additionally, local healthcare workers and community leaders played a crucial role in promoting the program, building trust, and addressing concerns about vaccines.

However, while the short-term impact was notable, sustaining these gains proved challenging. Once external funding ended, vaccination rates declined, suggesting that financial incentives alone did not create lasting behavioral change. This raised concerns about dependency on external funding and highlighted the need for a more comprehensive approach that fosters long-term commitment to immunization.

The success of the program in targeting rural areas—where healthcare access is most limited—underscored the importance of addressing logistical barriers such as long distances to health facilities and poor infrastructure. By alleviating immediate financial pressures, the program helped families justify the time and effort required to seek medical care. Nevertheless, the decline in vaccination rates after funding ceased suggested that deeply ingrained cultural beliefs, misinformation, and vaccine skepticism had not been fully addressed.

To ensure long-term impact, future interventions should consider a phased approach to reducing financial incentives. Instead of an abrupt end, gradually decreasing cash transfers while increasing community education and outreach could help families internalize the importance of immunization. Integrating vaccination efforts into broader health and welfare services—such as nutrition programs and maternal healthcare—could also provide a more sustainable framework, reducing dependency on financial incentives while strengthening overall healthcare access.

Ultimately, the Northern Nigeria CCT program demonstrated that financial incentives can be highly effective in the short term, but without complementary efforts to shift perceptions and improve healthcare infrastructure, long-term sustainability remains a challenge. Moving forward, combining financial incentives with education, local capacity-building, and community-driven healthcare solutions will be key to ensuring lasting improvements in immunization coverage.

Non-Cash Incentives and Health Promotion: Lessons from Southeast Asia

In Southeast Asia, non-cash incentives such as food, educational materials, and other goods have been used to promote immunization and public health initiatives. A notable example comes from Cambodia, where a

national immunization campaign provided families with rice and school supplies as incentives for bringing their children to vaccination centers. This strategy proved particularly effective in rural areas, where transportation costs and economic constraints often prevent families from accessing healthcare.

Several factors contributed to the program's success. First, the choice of incentives was culturally relevant—rice, a staple food in Cambodia, provided immediate relief from food insecurity, making the program highly appealing. Second, the inclusion of school supplies created a dual benefit, reinforcing the value of both healthcare and education. For low-income families, the opportunity to support their children's schooling served as a strong motivator, aligning with long-term aspirations beyond just health benefits.

Despite its effectiveness in increasing immunization rates, the program faced sustainability challenges. Like many incentive-driven initiatives, it relied heavily on external funding, raising concerns about long-term viability. When financial support diminished, there was a risk of declining vaccination rates, particularly in rural communities where structural barriers—such as lack of transportation and healthcare facilities—remained unresolved.

To enhance the sustainability of such programs, several strategies can be implemented. One approach is fostering local ownership by training community health workers and engaging local leaders to advocate for immunization. This reduces reliance on international aid and ensures continuity even if external funding is reduced. Another strategy is integrating immunization efforts into broader healthcare services, making vaccination a routine part of maternal and child health programs. Additionally, gradually phasing out non-cash incentives while increasing community awareness efforts can help shift behavior from being incentive-driven to valuing healthcare intrinsically.

The Cambodian experience demonstrates the power of culturally appropriate, non-cash incentives in overcoming economic and logistical barriers to immunization. However, its long-term success depends on transitioning from externally funded incentives to sustainable, community-driven healthcare models. By tailoring interventions to local needs and building healthcare infrastructure, similar strategies could be adapted in other low-resource settings to improve immunization rates and overall public health outcomes.

Non-Cash Incentives and Health Promotion: Lessons from Southeast Asia

In Southeast Asia, non-cash incentives such as food, educational materials, and other essential goods have played a significant role in promoting immunization and broader public health initiatives. A compelling example comes from Cambodia, where a national immunization campaign successfully used rice and school supplies as incentives to encourage families to bring their children to vaccination centers. This approach proved particularly effective in rural areas, where financial and logistical barriers often prevent families from accessing healthcare services.

The success of Cambodia's initiative can be attributed to several key factors. First, the choice of incentives was culturally relevant—rice, a dietary staple, directly addressed food insecurity, making the program highly appealing to low-income families. Second, the inclusion of school supplies provided a dual benefit, reinforcing the importance of both healthcare and education. For many families, the ability to support their children's schooling served as a strong motivator, aligning with their long-term aspirations beyond immediate health concerns.

However, despite its effectiveness in increasing immunization rates, the program faced challenges related to sustainability. Like many incentive-driven initiatives, it depended heavily on external funding, raising concerns about its long-term viability. When financial support diminished, there was a risk of declining vaccination rates, particularly in remote areas where structural barriers—such as inadequate transportation and limited healthcare facilities—remained significant obstacles.

To ensure the sustainability of such programs, several strategies can be employed. One approach is fostering local ownership by training community health workers and engaging local leaders to advocate for immunization. By empowering communities, reliance on international aid can be reduced, ensuring the

program's continuity even if external funding is reduced. Additionally, integrating immunization efforts into broader healthcare services—such as maternal and child health programs—can make vaccination a routine part of essential medical care. Another effective strategy is gradually phasing out non-cash incentives while strengthening health education campaigns. Encouraging behavioral change through awareness initiatives can help shift community attitudes toward recognizing healthcare as a fundamental necessity rather than an incentive-driven activity.

The Cambodian experience highlights the power of culturally relevant, non-cash incentives in overcoming economic and logistical barriers to healthcare access. However, for long-term success, transitioning from externally funded initiatives to community-driven healthcare models is crucial. By tailoring interventions to local needs, building healthcare infrastructure, and fostering sustainable health practices, similar strategies can be adapted in other low-resource settings to improve immunization rates and overall public health outcomes.

Community-Based Reward Programs: A Latin American Perspective

Community-based reward programs have been widely used across Latin America to encourage health-promoting behaviors, including immunization. Unlike traditional financial incentives, these programs leverage social recognition to drive participation. One of the most prominent examples was Mexico's Prospera Program (formerly Oportunidades), which aimed to improve health and education outcomes through conditional cash transfers (CCTs). While financial support was a key component, the program also integrated social incentives. Families who adhered to health requirements, such as completing immunization schedules, received public recognition during community gatherings, reinforcing their social standing.

In Bolivia, community health workers implemented a similar approach, recognizing families who completed vaccination schedules in public ceremonies. These events often included symbolic rewards, such as certificates or verbal acknowledgments, reinforcing positive health behaviors through community visibility. By making immunization a celebrated milestone, these programs effectively harnessed social pressure to encourage participation.

The success of these initiatives was deeply rooted in community engagement and social cohesion. The active participation of local leaders and health workers fostered a sense of shared responsibility, creating an environment where immunization was seen as both a personal and collective duty. In tightly knit communities, social incentives often proved more effective than material rewards, as the desire for social approval and respect significantly influenced health decisions.

A critical factor in the effectiveness of these programs was the strategic use of social capital—the networks of trust, reciprocity, and cooperation within a community. Public recognition, such as in Prospera, tapped into the fundamental human desire for approval and belonging. In Bolivia, the integration of ceremonies further amplified this effect, framing immunization as a communal achievement rather than an individual obligation. The involvement of community health workers and local leaders in these events added credibility, strengthening the connection between the health system and the community.

Unlike material incentives, social rewards are inherently sustainable. Public praise and acknowledgment require minimal resources but can create lasting behavioral changes. When community’s associate adherence to health guidelines with increased social respect, it establishes a self-reinforcing cycle of positive behavior. In Prospera, for example, families who complied with health and education conditions gained public recognition, adding prestige to their participation. Similarly, in Bolivia, families receiving certificates and public praise viewed immunization as both a health necessity and a valued social achievement.

Despite their strengths, community-based reward programs face challenges, particularly concerning scalability, sustainability, and inclusivity. The effectiveness of social incentives depends on strong community ties, which may vary across regions. In urban areas or societies with weaker communal structures, the impact of such programs may be diminished. Additionally, scaling these initiatives to diverse populations requires careful adaptation to different cultural and social dynamics. In communities where public recognition holds less value, alternative or complementary incentives may be necessary.

To enhance the impact and longevity of these programs, several strategies can be implemented:

Strengthening Local Leadership: Empowering and training community leaders and health workers are crucial for sustaining these initiatives. Encouraging local ownership and adaptability ensures that programs remain relevant and effective.

Ensuring Inclusivity: It is essential to design programs that reach marginalized populations. This may involve targeted outreach and alternative forms of recognition, such as private acknowledgments for families less integrated into the community.

The success of social incentive programs in Latin America demonstrates the broader potential of leveraging community engagement and social recognition in public health efforts. By tapping into intrinsic human motivations—such as the desire for approval and belonging—these programs offer a cost-effective and sustainable approach to improving health behaviors. Moreover, the principles underlying these initiatives can be adapted to other public health campaigns, from maternal and child health to nutrition and education.

CONCLUSION

Incentives play a pivotal role in improving immunization uptake in humanitarian settings. This study highlights the effectiveness of different incentive-based approaches, including financial, non-financial, and social rewards. Cash incentives, such as conditional cash transfers, provide immediate financial relief, while non-cash incentives, including food and household essentials, address basic survival needs, thereby encouraging families to prioritize health interventions. Meanwhile, social rewards, such as community recognition, leverage cultural values to influence health behavior.

For long-term success, these programs must prioritize sustainability, cultural sensitivity, and trust-building. While ethical concerns, such as potential coercion, should be carefully considered, incentives remain a practical strategy for overcoming barriers like vaccine hesitancy and logistical challenges in crisis settings. When designed with community engagement and cultural adaptation in mind, incentives can significantly boost immunization rates and contribute to broader public health goals.

REFERENCES

1. Yemeke TT, Mitgang E, Wedlock PT, Higgins C, Chen HH, Pallas SW, Abimbola T, Wallace A, Bartsch SM, Lee BY, Ozawa S. Promoting, seeking, and reaching vaccination services: A systematic review of costs to immunization programs, beneficiaries, and caregivers. Vaccine. 2021 Jul 22;39(32):4437-49.
2. Wingfield T, Kirubi B, Viney K, Boccia D, Atkins S. Experiences of conditional and unconditional cash transfers intended for improving health outcomes and health service use: a qualitative evidence synthesis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2023(3).
3. Wikaningtyas SU, Tjahjono HK, Suprihanto J. Turnover Intention Model: Self-Determination Theory Approach. Quality-Access to Success. 2023 May 1;24(194).
4. Viswanadham RV. How behavioral economics can inform the next mass vaccination campaign: A narrative review. Preventive Medicine Reports. 2023 Apr 1;32:102118.
5. UNICEF. Immunization and conflict [Internet]. New York: UNICEF; [cited 2024 Sep 17]. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/immunization/immunization-and-conflict
6. Ude U, Coker MA. Incentive schemes, employee motivation and productivity in organizations in Nigeria: Analytical linkages. Journal of Business and Management. 2012 Jul;1(4):32-9.
7. Skinner BF. 'Superstition'in the pigeon. Journal of experimental psychology. 1948 Apr;38(2):168.
8. Schwalbe N, Hanbali L, Nunes MC, Lehtimaki S. Use of financial incentives to increase adult vaccination coverage: a narrative review of lessons learned from COVID-19 and other adult vaccination efforts. Vaccine: X. 2022 Dec 1;12:100225.
9. Rodrigues CM, Plotkin SA. Impact of vaccines; health, economic and social perspectives. Frontiers in microbiology. 2020 Jul 14;11:1526.

10. Reed DD, Niileksela CR, Kaplan BA. Behavioral economics: A tutorial for behavior analysts in practice. Behavior analysis in practice. 2013 Jun;6:34-54.
11. Raj A, Singh AK, Wagner AL, Boulton ML. Mapping the Cognitive Biases Related to Vaccination: A Scoping Review of the Literature. Vaccines. 2023 Dec 11;11(12):1837.
12. Oyo-Ita A, Oduwole O, Arikpo D, Effa EE, Esu EB, Balakrishna Y, Chibuzor MT, Oringanje CM, Nwachukwu CE, Wiysonge CS, Meremikwu MM. Interventions for improving coverage of childhood immunisation in low-and middle-income countries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2023(12).
13. Ophori EA, Tula MY, Azih AV, Okojie R, Ikpo PE. Current trends of immunization in Nigeria: prospect and challenges. Tropical medicine and health. 2014;42(2):67-75.
14. Nnadi C, Etsano A, Uba B, Ohuabunwo C, Melton M, waNganda G, Esapa L, Bolu O, Mahoney F, Vertefeuille J, Wiesen E. Approaches to vaccination among populations in areas of conflict. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2017 Jul 1;216(suppl_1):S368-72.
15. Nisbet C, Lestrat KE, Vatanparast H. Food security interventions among refugees around the globe: a scoping review. Nutrients. 2022 Jan 25;14(3):522.
16. Matjasko JL, Cawley JH, Baker-Goering MM, Yokum DV. Applying behavioral economics to public health policy: illustrative examples and promising directions. American journal of preventive medicine. 2016 May 1;50(5):S13-9.
17. Madhav N, Oppenheim B, Gallivan M, Mulembakani P, Rubin E, Wolfe N. Pandemics: risks, impacts, and mitigation. Disease control priorities: improving health and reducing poverty. 3rd edition. 2017 Nov 27.
18. Lárraga LG. How does Prospera work?: Best practices in the implementation of conditional cash transfer programs in Latin America and the Caribbean.
19. Lam E, McCarthy A, Brennan M. Vaccine-preventable diseases in humanitarian emergencies among refugee and internally-displaced populations. Human vaccines &immunotherapeutics. 2015 Nov 2;11(11):2627-36.
20. Khazanov GK, Stewart R, Pieri MF, Huang C, Robertson CT, Schaefer KA, Ko H, Fishman J. The effectiveness of financial incentives for COVID-19 vaccination: A systematic review. Preventive medicine. 2023 Jul 1;172:107538.
21. Kafka K, Kanzola AM, Petrakis PE. Cultural Perspectives of Financial and Non-Financial Incentives. Journal of Business Accounting and Finance Perspectives. 2021 Mar;3(1).
22. Jones CL, Jensen JD, Scherr CL, Brown NR, Christy K, Weaver J. The health belief model as an explanatory framework in communication research: exploring parallel, serial, and moderated mediation. Health communication. 2015 Jun 3;30(6):566-76.
23. Haldane V, Chuah FL, Srivastava A, Singh SR, Koh GC, Seng CK, Legido-Quigley H. Community participation in health services development, implementation, and evaluation: A systematic review of empowerment, health, community, and process outcomes. PloS one. 2019 May 10;14(5):e0216112.
24. Gooding C, Musa S, Lavin T, Sibeko L, Ndikom CM, Iwuagwu S, Ani-Amponsah M, Maduforo AN, Salami B. Nutritional Challenges among African Refugee and Internally Displaced Children: A Comprehensive Scoping Review. Children. 2024 Mar 7;11(3):318.
25. Gibson E, Zameer M, Alban R, Kouwanou LM. Community health workers as vaccinators: a rapid review of the global landscape, 2000–2021. Global Health: Science and Practice. 2023 Feb 28;11(1).
26. Galagali PM, Kinikar AA, Kumar VS. Vaccine hesitancy: obstacles and challenges. Current pediatrics reports. 2022 Dec;10(4):241-8.
27. Ezenwaka U, Manzano A, Onyedinma C, Ogbozor P, Agbawodikeizu U, Etiaba E, Ensor T, Onwujekwe O, Ebenso B, Uzochukwu B, Mirzoev T. Influence of conditional cash transfers on the uptake of maternal and child health services in Nigeria: insights from a mixed-methods study. Frontiers in Public Health. 2021 Jul 6;9:670534.
28. Erassa TE, Bachore BB, Faltamo WF, Molla S, Bogino EA. Vaccine cold chain management and associated factors in public health facilities and District Health Offices of Wolaita Zone, Ethiopia. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare. 2023 Dec 31:75-84.
29. Ekezie W, Connor A, Gibson E, Khunti K, Kamal A. A systematic review of behaviour change techniques within interventions to increase vaccine uptake among ethnic minority populations. Vaccines. 2023 Jul 19;11(7):1259.

30. Ekezie W, Awwad S, Krauchenberg A, Karara N, Dembiński Ł, Grossman Z, Del Torso S, Dornbusch HJ, Neves A, Copley S, Mazur A. Access to vaccination among disadvantaged, isolated and difficult-to-reach communities in the WHO European region: a systematic review. Vaccines. 2022 Jun 28;10(7):1038.
31. Cislaghi B, Denny EK, Cissé M, Gueye P, Shrestha B, Shrestha PN, Ferguson G, Hughes C, Clark CJ. Changing social norms: the importance of "organized diffusion" for scaling up community health promotion and women empowerment interventions. Prevention Science. 2019 Aug 15;20:936-46.
32. Balawi A, Ayoub A. A Review of the Main Differences between Behavioral and Traditional Economics: a Focus on the Impact of Nudge Theory on Public Policies and Its Applications. Modern Management Review. 2023 Dec 31;28(4):17-31.
33. Afsharinia B, Gurtoo A. Role of leadership and incentive-based programs in addressing vaccine hesitancy in India. Vaccine: X. 2023 Dec 1;15:100346.
34. Abduljawad A, Al-Assaf AF. Incentives for better performance in health care. Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal. 2011 May;11(2):201.