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Abstract: The study leadership from a gender perspective in a rural context in Zimbabwe. The study investigated the challenges faced by female school heads because of their gender in one rural district. Grounded in the qualitative paradigm and using the social realist theoretical analytical framework, a thematic approach was used in analysing qualitative data gathered through semi-structured interviews with ten female school heads. Findings from the study showed that female school heads were not perceived as incompetent and unable by male colleagues only, but also by female staff colleagues as a result of an entrenched culture of male dominance. Among the challenges faced by female principals included: negative attitude from some parents and teachers undermined because of their gender and lack of confidence. The study recommends the mounting of gender awareness workshops with both parents and teachers to sensitive them on gender equity issues. In addition the study calls for mentoring and networking workshops, in service training opportunities and role modelling to be given to female teachers who aspire to, and have potential in leadership so that these female teachers can feel empowered and see that they have the potential executing leadership roles.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Gender inequality in leadership has been the central focus of studies in the field of educational administration many years (NanChi, 2006; Kiamba, 2008; Bilen-Green, 2008; Nazemi et al. 2012; Zinyembu, 2013; Shava & Ndebele 2014; Morley & Crossouard, 2016a). Throughout history, leadership roles were generally held by men (Sloan, 1999; Merchant, 2012; Day, 2014; Longman & Anderson, 2016). This social attitude or ‘injustice’ seems to have made women reluctant to pursue administrative leadership positions (Anewu, 2010). Msila (2013) posits that women leaders do not always get the necessary support from the communities and usually have to prove their capability as leaders under trying conditions in the patriarchal society. There is much literature which shows that mistrust in women leadership is caused by a number of factors and these include tradition and culture (Cundiff & Vescio, 2016; Msila, 2013; Morley & Crossouard, 2016a). Lumbay (2003) also contends that communities do not trust women to be appointed as school managers because school management is an important job which demands the seriousness they think only men could provide. The aim of this study was to investigate challenges faced by female school principals in their day to day running of schools in one rural district in South Africa. The study is divided into four sections; the first section provides the introduction while the second section unpacks the literature review. The third section gives the methodology and this is followed by the presentation of findings.

II. WOMEN IN SCHOOL LEADERSHIP

There has been a lot of research on gender and school leadership the world over, (Hoobler, Lemmon & Wayne, 2011; Schwanke, 2013; Chaluvadi, 2015; Madsen, Ngunjiri, Longman, & Cherrey, 2015; Burton & Weiner, 2016). The literature generally shows that females are underrepresented in management positions in both the schooling system (Burton & Weiner 2016; Gobena, 2014; Lunyolo, Ayodo, Tikoko & Simatwa, 2014; Uwizeyimana, Modiba & Mathevula, 2014) and in higher education (Austin, 2016; Drake, 2015; Hannum, Muhly, Zalabak & White, 2015; Howe-Walsh & Turnbull, 2016; Shava & Ndebele, 2014). Females are often expected to stay at home instead of taking up roles previously dominated by males, such as school management. At each step of administrative preparation, job seeking and selection, there are organizational processes that clearly indicate a preference for males (Hill, Miller, Benson & Handley, 2016; Hora, 2014; Lunyolo, Ayodo, Tikoko & Simatwa, 2014).

Stereotypical assumptions about the gender differences between men and women make conditions difficult for women to obtain the opportunity to be placed in senior leadership positions (Davis & Maldonado, 2015; Morley & Crossouard, 2016b). According to Drake and Owen (1998), in Indonesia, women executives experienced obstacles in the environment and stereotyping about gender roles which typcast them into nurturing, supporting types of jobs. There seems to be a patriarchal male culture of dominance and many schools tend to be saturated with masculine values (Bush & West-Burnahm, 1994: Davis and Maldonado, 2015). The domination of males lead to discrimination against females (Shakeshaft, 1993). Some males assume that certain gender roles are natural and normal (Hill, Miller, Benson & Handley 2016), while females are subjected to a patriarchal culture, where most of the responsibilities belong to males (Bush & West-Burnham, 1994; Cundiff & Vescio, 2016; Gill & Arnold, 2015). As Hill, Miller, Benson & Handley (2016) show, whereas men are socialized to be confident, assertive, and self-promoting, cultural attitudes toward women as
leaders continue to suggest to women that it is often inappropriate or undesirable to possess those characteristics.

III. GENDER EQUITY AN OVERVIEW

In Zimbabwe, the large number of females in the education profession shows a history of domination and exploitation based on essentialist notions of womanhood rather than of encouraging the clear development of women. The existence of males as “gatekeepers” has been identified as a crucial organizational factor limiting the entrance of females to educational management (Shava & Ndebele, 2014). Mdluli (2002) strengthens this argument by indicating that only 9.3% of managerial positions are held by women in Zimbabwe. This percentage of merely 9.3% can be attributed to many factors, including amongst other things the misperceptions about women leadership skills and potentials. Such a low percentage of women in managerial positions reflect discrimination at the workplace if one considers that women constitute 55% of the Zimbabwe population (Mdluli, 2002). With regards to the education sector, as Kele & Pietersen (2015) show, history has shown that, females, regardless of their race, have been underrepresented in leadership positions in Zimbabwe higher education institutions for quite some time, while in the schooling sector, according to Wills (2016, 28), “despite the feminisation of the teaching profession, school leadership positions are dominated by men.” Wills (2016) notes that in 2012, 71 percent of all teachers were women but they held a mere 36 percent of school principal positions. Zimbabwe has emerged from a socio-political order that was characterized by discrimination against, and neglect of females. In South African, the new constitution has gone a long way towards ending this state of affairs. For example, according to Section 9 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act No. 108 of 1996), all South Africans are equal before the law. This equality imperative however is not readily observable in the management positions at school level (Zwane, 2003). In this regard, Moorosi (2008) also concurs when she contends that in the schooling system women form only 30% of school principals although they constitute the majority (more than 70%) of the teaching population. Women discrimination has been seen to be common in both Zimbabwe and South Africa.

Shave and Tlou (2018) states that gender inequity is still very much part of the Zimbabwe education system. Greyvenstein (2000: 75) asserts that “the traditional inequity between men and women may be traced throughout history, where it has been cloaked in the androcentric (male-centered) philosophies.” As the Zimbabwean education system is undergoing a transformation process, both men’s and women’s abilities need to be used in managerial positions in order for the transformation to take place effectively. Yet women’s under representation in managerial positions is not always acknowledged and given the necessary attention in organizations. In 1990, a Gender Equity Task Team (GETT) was established to, amongst others, identify means to correct gender imbalances, propose affirmative action strategies for increasing the under representation of women in professional leadership and management positions and for increasing the influence and authority of women leaders. (Chisholm & September, 2005; Shava and Tlou 2018). Although the GETT report (1997) made the recommendations to ensure that equality in education takes place, unequal distribution of men and women in leadership positions in education is still a reality in Zimbabwe (Chisholm & September, 2005 Shava and Ndebele 2014).

Shava, Tlou and Mpofu (2019) argue that while there is a gradual change today, women leaders are still far from achieving equality in the workplace. Whilst many will argue that the status of women in the workplace is gradually changing, it is doing so at a sluggish pace: sometimes even women have internalized that they are not ready for leadership and management jobs (Msila, 2013). After reviewing literature related to South Africa, Namibia and Zimbabwe, Greyling & Steyn (2015; Shava and Tlou, 2018) conclude that research shows an underrepresentation of women in management positions at all levels of the education system, including primary schools, high school, universities and other educational institutions. The paucity of women in management, therefore, suggests acute gender misrepresentation in leadership. It is important to research experiences of female leaders as these experiences might be detrimental to their performance. As Mollel & Tshabangu (2014) tell us, female leadership is perceived less favourably and therefore an investigation of the challenges they encounter in their day to day running of schools might shed light why this is the case. This study sought to investigate the challenges faced by the female heads of secondary schools in one rural district in Zimbabwe in order to advance recommendations to mitigate the challenges. The research questions of this study ware:

- What challenges do female school heads face in their day to day running of their schools?
- How effective are women as school leaders?
- What should be done to increase women in leadership positions?
- How do teachers perceive women in school leadership?
- What if at all are the institutional barriers to women advancement?

**Critical realist Theory**

The research is framed on Archer’s (1995, 1996, 2000) social realist analytical framework. Archer (2000) distinguishes between the people (agents) and the parts (structure and culture). She provides a model of social reality as comprising three milieus: structure, culture and agency. Archer’s social realism is an explanatory framework for examining and explaining the social world through analysis of the interplay between structure, culture and agency (1995, 2000). Archer challenges existing social theories that conflate the analysis of the social world. Archer advocates for the theory of
‘analytical dualism’ where she argues that the ‘parts’ (culture, structure) and the ‘people’ (agents) are two irreducible parts in a social world therefore they need to be analysed separately to avoid what she calls the ‘fallacy of conflation’.

The structural domain in Archer’s social realist theory comprises things which exist in the system, such as policies (for example, which spell out the government position with regards to gender equity and the appointment of females in leadership positions) and committees (which are for example, responsible for the appointment of school heads).

These structures, either constrain or enable the actions of the agents (for example, the beliefs of selection committee members would have an impact on whether females are appointed into school head positions), and in turn, agents reproduce and/or transform structures (Danermark, Ekstrom, Jakobsen, and Karlsson, 2005). Culture, according to Archer’s theory, comprises our value systems, beliefs, attitudes, ideas, ideologies, theories and concepts which are manifest through discourses that are used by particular people at particular times (Quinn, 2012). The beliefs held by teachers and communities, for example, on the qualities of a good leader or on whether there are gender differences with regards to leadership (for example, whether or not females can lead as effectively as males) have an important influence on how female school heads are accepted and/or viewed in schools. Agency (the people), according to Archer (1996), refers to the personal and psychological makeup of individuals, their social roles and relates to the capacity that people have to act in specific ways. Agents can engage in concerted action to reshape or retain the structural or cultural features they inherit in specific institutional settings. Agents coming onto the scene (such as new female heads being appointed) inherit a set of doctrines, theories and beliefs which dictate what could have an impact on them and these shape what these agents (female heads) can do (Quinn, 2006).

Agents, for example, new female school heads coming into a system where the generally held belief is that school leadership is for males can have a causal effect on the beliefs held about gender disparity in leadership by modelling good leadership and producing good results or perpetuate the belief that females are not good enough (by adopting male masculinity attributes in their leadership). Archer thus believes that social structures exert causal influence on social interactions, while the actions of individuals and groups affect social structures by modifying or reproducing them. This analytical theoretical framework is used in this article to analyse the challenges faced by female school principals in their day to day running of schools. As Archer’s social realist theory shows, structure and culture can influence the extent to which female school heads are accepted as leaders. This article unpacks, from the data collected, the extent to which existing structures in the schooling system either enable or constrain the female school heads in their day to day running of schools. In order to infer from Archer’s domain of culture, the article discusses the extent to which societal patriarchal beliefs about the ability of women to lead. In the domain of agency, the article considers the extent to which the female school heads (as agents) are able to mitigate these challenges and provide for organisational development.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This study was grounded in the qualitative paradigm. Denzin & Lincoln (2000: 370) point out that “qualitative researchers seek out individuals, groups and settings where the specific processes being studied are most likely to occur.” The researchers chose a qualitative research approach to provide an in-depth investigation of challenges faced by women heads of secondary schools in one district in Zimbabwe. Purposeful sampling was used to select participants for the study. In purposive sampling, researchers handpicked the cases to be included in the sample on the basis of their judgments of their typicality (Kaparou & Bush, 2007). As the concern was on female heads, only female heads were purposefully targeted. After identification of all female heads in the district, a random sample of ten female heads was then selected for interview. Data were collected by means of semi-structured interviews. According to Wragg (1994), the semi-structured interview schedule tends to be most favoured by educational researchers as it allows participants to express themselves at some length, but offers enough shape to prevent aimless rambling. The interviews were conducted at the schools, which was the natural work setting for the heads. The interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim (Mayer & Surtee, 2015). Content analysis was used to identify emerging themes from the data. To protect the identity of study participants, heads of schools were coded as P1-P10

V. FINDINGS

The results are presented below using Archer’s (1995, 1996, 2000) social realist analytical framework under the following subheadings; receipt of female heads at schools, attitudes of teachers towards heads, attitudes of School Management Team (SMTs) towards female heads, and attitudes of the parents and the School Development Committees (SDCs) members towards female heads, work-related challenges faced by Female Heads and personal challenges faced by female heads.

How are female heads accepted in schools?

There was a question which sought to find out how female principals were received by both male and female educators and SMT members at schools. Female Principals indicated that their receipt by other staff members at the schools was not good. Seven out of the ten Female Principals indicated that their receipt by School Management Teams was negative and an element of doubt and lack of trust was evident from among SMT members. They indicated that fellow staff at the schools were not pleased, partially welcomed them and there was an element of doubt. In this regard, heads H6, H7 and H9 said:

H 6: It was not easy for educators to accept me as their leader. Most male teachers when not pleased
because of their cultural belief that a man is a head everywhere.

H7: At first teachers were not friendly. They hardly received me as a new female heads. They were reluctant to receive me as their leader.

H9: Negative tradition and culture loyalists do not take women as individuals who could take decisions and lead the majority.

Opposing camps were said to emerge in the schools with some members of the School Management Team siding with the former acting head if one was still at the school. From the responses it is clear that male dominance was an entrenched culture among the SMT members as illustrated in the following responses:

H4: The SMT members who were siding with the former male acting head opted out from their positions as they were also on acting capacity.

H9: Negatively there is male dominance. They don’t have confidence in women. They undermine you and try to challenge you.

From the responses it is evident that traditional stereotypes were the major cause of rejection of female heads in schools which falls under Archer (2000) domain of culture. From the responses it is also clear that male dominance was an entrenched culture among the SMT members. As Archer (2000) shows, structures, in this case the SMT as a structure, can either constrain or enable the actions of the agents (for example, the beliefs of SMTs on the ability of females to lead had an impact on how the female heads were received and supported). It can be concluded that traditional stereotypes in the SMT structure were the major cause of rejection of female heads in these schools. As Chan, Ngai, Choi. (2016) argue, when gender norms in society prescribe different, and often inferior, roles to women, these shape the way schools are organised and the social perception of leadership. “Sexism tends to prevail when the school management or selection committee is dominated by men, who are more likely to hire and promote other men who look, think and act like them.” (Chan et al. 2016: 195). These findings of this study also concur with those by Faulkner (2015) who notes that despite the equal opportunities legislation introduced in many countries, including Zimbabwe, in the last three decades, traditional stereotypes of women’s roles, positions, characteristics and abilities continue to exist. For female heads to break this glass ceiling, they need to invoke and exercise their agency. As Chan et al. (2016) note, almost total absence of formal institutional support implies that women have to turn to their own means (agency) and bear personal costs when pursuing career progression. Notwithstanding the concerns above, however, there were some heads who indicated that they had been positively received. Of the ten heads interviewed three indicated that the attitude of SMTs was positive. In this regard H2 for example said SMTs were very much cooperative.

Attitudes of the parents and the SDCs members towards female heads

The general attitude of SDC members towards female heads was positive even though there were elements of doubt in the beginning. Female heads indicated that parents and SDCs members were supportive and had developed trust in them. There were however a few SDCs that were seen to be negative. According to Archer’s (2000) framework, structures play a significant role determining whether these female heads are accepted. In this case the SDCs as a structure is seen as supportive; this is evidenced in the following responses from principals H3, H5, H7, and H9:

H5: Parents and SDCs were very happy to have me as their principal. Even the enrolment is increasing because they have trust in me.

H8: Some parents and SDCs were very much supportive whilst others were in doubt, but my performance after the first semester won me their trust.

H9: They do not trust or have confidence in women. They gain confidence gradually as you will find them engaging themselves actively in school matters.

H3: They were negative before after seeing development they started to have confidence.

The results show that the general attitude of the community towards female heads were positive even though there was an element of doubt in the beginning with female school heads indicating that parents and SDCs members were supportive and had gradually developed trust in them. In Zimbabwe, the Zimbabwean Schools Act 94/1990 states that all stakeholders in education must accept responsibility for the organization of schools. Section B of the SASA 86/1990 points out that parents and members of local communities are often in the best position to know what a school really needs and what the problems are. Section B of The South African School Act allows for all stakeholders including parents, teachers, students and the school community to participate as the school development committees (SDCs). According to Van Deventer & Kruger (2003:262) the South African government also states that education can only succeed if all stakeholders are involved in the running of the school by being actively involved and accepting their responsibilities. The results of this study seem to contradict those of Faulkner (2015) who found that the women’s experiences of leadership were adversely affected by the prevailing and entrenched patriarchal attitudes within the communities they served and those of Zikhali & Perumal (2016) in which the female school heads commented that the community looked down upon them as they thought that women were not good leaders.
Work-related challenges faced by female heads

Findings of the study showed that female heads faced a number of challenges as they assumed their roles for the first time. Amongst the challenges faced included: negative attitude from some parents and heads; jealousy; being undermined because of their gender and lack of confidence on their side (Head’s side). H3, H7 and H9 for example said:

H3: Parents are not immediately cooperative. They gradually gain confidence and then suddenly have trust and confidence towards you.

H7: At first I was a bit nervous to get this post. My main problem was to be a school manager of a high enrolment school. It was scary. The infrastructure of the school was limited and old.

H9: I have been undermined by over aged learners. Most parents do not have confidence in the work I do. Male SMT members like challenging my authority.

When further asked if the challenges the heads faced were due to the fact that they were female, the general response was the heads were being undermined as females as shown in response by H3, H6 and H8:

H3: Yes, as a woman, traditionally women are not expected to take decisions.

H6: Yes, because most of the educators who shows their attitudes are male educators

H8: Yes, they were jealousy of my position as a woman.

When asked about their encounters with male teachers, Heads indicated that some male teachers were not cooperative; did not want to take instructions and were undermining them. For example, P5 and P8 had this to say:

H 5: Male teachers are not cooperative. Some when you gave them work they just do it in their own pace. Some are too fast to take the decision they don’t listen to the problem they just act before thinking.

H 8: Some want to challenge you, but after a while they cooperate as they want to see or improve your performance

One head however felt that male teachers were not a problem at all and were very cooperative as shown in this response from H3: some are very respectful and willing to help while others are always ready for confrontation and always fault – finding. With regard to the general behaviour of teachers (both males and females) towards female heads, the heads indicated that the general behaviour was negative as there was an element of undermining and disrespect towards them. In this regard H3 and H10 said:

H 3: Undermining and sometimes disrespectful, not taking duties as delegated

H 10: Yes, there was one who enjoyed calling me by first name in public and I stood my ground told him in no uncertain terms that it was not professional.

Findings of the study showed that female heads were not only perceived as incompetent and unable by male colleagues only, but also by female staff members. One would expect the female colleagues to support women leaders because they share the same common feature which is their femaleness, but it is not like that. Findings of the study are supported by Weeks (2009)’s research which demonstrated that women managers continue to face the perceptions of others which recognized men as more suited for management positions than women. Similarly, results from a study by Arar & Abramovitz (2013) indicate that, on the whole, the population of Arab teachers in Israel still has prejudiced perceptions of women school principals. Even though educators are professionally trained, they continue to view women managers negatively.

As the review of literature has shown, culture and tradition could have a noticeable impact on how teachers view women managers. The school is an extended organ of the community. Cultural beliefs also manifest themselves in the school situation. Teachers are part of society and they carry with them their cultural beliefs at their places of employment. When teachers enter schools, they do not leave behind their cultural belief systems at home. Some cultural beliefs believe that women are inferior to men and thus cannot be managers over men. Because of their femaleness, they are thus considered to be weak and not fit for management positions. They do not believe that women managers are capable of delivering. In a Zimbabwean study, by Zikhali & Perumal (2016), school heads made it clear that some male teachers did not want to be led by a ‘petticoat’ government. Furthermore, female colleagues were described as being jealous of other females. The Department of Education (Republic of South Africa 2004) cited in Nzeli (2013) also observed that men and women who hold values that consider women to be inferior to men are more likely to create difficulties for women managers. Literature suggests that gender inequity is still very much part of the Zimbabwean education system. Greyvenstein (2000:75) asserts that “the traditional inequity between men and women may be traced throughout history, where it has been cloaked in the androcentric (male-centered) philosophies.” The underrepresentation of Zimbabwean women in educational leadership and management in the literature is blamed on institutional culture, with a strong argument that unhelpful cultural expectations about women prevail to the present day (Chisholm, 2001; Diko, 2007; Diko 2014; Mahlase, 1997; Moorosi, 2008).

Personal challenges faced by female school heads

A majority of the female heads indicated that they were not facing any personal challenges but the few who indicated that they had personal challenges had to do with balancing their work and their roles as mothers at home with some heads indicating that conflict had resulted with spouses and relations had been strained. In this regard H 7 said:
Yes, as a mother there are my kids. I have to attend to them. The relationship between me and my husband is sometimes bitter and it is a challenge. I had to fix it.

H 8: Also remarked, balancing of home – life and work, life, you spend most of your time working at your job and neglecting your family. Time is spent doing your job work and you miss to socialize.

H 10: Went on to say, as a family they miss to be with me at home. Time to balance my job and household chores is difficult.

This challenge of balancing their work and their roles as mothers can be classified under Archer (2000)’s domain of culture where women are expected to care for the family. This can be seen as a constraint in the domain of culture according to Archer (2000). Culture, according to Archer’s theory, comprises our value systems, beliefs, attitudes, ideas, ideologies, theories and concepts which are manifest through discourses that are used by particular people at particular times. The cultural belief that it is the woman’s responsibility to look after the children militates against their assuming leadership positions. As Kaparou & Bush (2007) found in their study, women do not feel able to hold senior positions in education because of the dual demands of management and family responsibilities. The evidence from the interviews in their study revealed that motherhood took priority over work and hampered women in balancing their work and family life. Similarly, participants in a study by Longman & Anderson (2016) opted not to pursue promotions that would require more time away from their families, favouring personal balance over higher salaries or positional authority. In this regard, Lumby & Azaola (2014:31) argue that, “the gendering of family roles is an example of different bandwidths and of a social location where the expectations of what it is to be a mother or a father are generally different and have implications for the individual that reach far beyond the family milieu.” The results of this study as shown above also showed souring family relationships as a result of females assuming leadership positions. This could be attributed to the patriarchal culture that sees the role of the woman as that of nurturing the family. Literature also shows the importance of family support for successful leadership careers of females. Sanderson & Whitehead (2016) report that, of the nine women interviewees who were married. Six commented on the fact that having a supportive partner was critical to their ability to seek promotion. In the same vein, Kaparou & Bush (2007) tell us that support and encouragement from their husbands, families and peers influenced women’s attitudes to promotion.

Absence of agency

In this study, in the domain of agency, lack of confidence on their (female heads) own ability to run schools was an issue that emerged in the results as shown in these confessions by two female heads:

H4 Remarked, at first I was a bit nervous to get this post. My main problem was to be a school manager of a high enrolment school. It was scary.

H 6 I was intimidated by the large number of males in the School Management Team and was afraid to oppose them as I feared they would gang up against me.

One female Principal however demonstrated the exercise of her agency by standing up to male colleagues who attempted to demean her as shown below:

P10 Commented, yes, there was one who enjoyed calling me by first name in public and I stood my ground told him in no uncertain terms that it was not professional.

Agency (the people), according to Archer (1996), refers to the personal and psychological makeup of individuals, their social roles and relates to the capacity that people have to act in specific ways. Agents can engage in concerted action to re-shape or retain the structural or cultural features they inherit in specific institutional settings. Also the Department of Education (Republic of South Africa 2004) cited in Nzeli (2013) points out, the women manager may be managers’ worst enemy as this feeling of inferiority makes women managers let everyone walk over them instead of taking charge. When a woman does not approve herself, she must not expect others to value her. Low self-esteem affects one’s performance at work. Howe-Walsh & Turnbull (2016) commented that, individual perceptions of ability challenge many women. The data in their study highlights how many women lack confidence in their ability and they conclude that this lack of self-belief acts as a barrier to women career advancement.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, among the challenges faced by female heads, the most prominently featuring are; negative attitudes from educators regardless of gender; negative attitudes from some parents, though to a limited extent; jealousy; being undermined because of their gender and lack of agency due to lack of self-confidence on the part of the female school heads themselves.

Based on the findings of the study, it can also be concluded that female heads are not well received by other staff members at the schools, with the majority of the staff members expressing an element of doubt in female school managers’ ability to lead. Female heads themselves indicated that male dominance was an entrenched culture among male staff members in general and male School Management Team (SMT) members in particular. Gender awareness workshops for male staff members can, in the researcher’s view go a long way in mitigating these negative effects patriarchy.

The study also concludes that women managers are not perceived as incompetent and unable by male colleagues only,
but also by female staff members. As results showed, female colleagues were described as being jealous of other females. One would have expected the female staff members to support female school heads because they share the same common feature which is their femaleness. However as the review of literature has shown, the effect of culture and tradition, wherein females are acculturated to believe that they are inferior to and have to bow down to males could have impacted on how female educators viewed female school heads. The study concludes that attitudes of the parents, SDCs members and the community at large on female heads were generally positive. This is indeed a positive sign which, it is hoped might eventually filter down to the school context as schools are part of the communities they serve.

The difficulty of the part of female school heads to strike a balance between work and family responsibilities is also a major conclusion from this study. Such a dilemma leaves the female school heads having to choose between career advancement and the risk of family breakdowns. It however remains the optimistic hope of the researcher that it is indeed possible to break the barriers to women advancement to senior positions in school leadership.
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