Effect of Integrating Information and Communication Technology in the Teaching of Electronics on the Development of 21\textsuperscript{st} Century learning and Innovation skills in university students: A Case of Mukuba University

Charles Saviye\textsuperscript{1*}, Jack G. Jumbe\textsuperscript{2}, Justin K. Pondo\textsuperscript{2}

\textsuperscript{1}Mukuba University, School of Natural Sciences, P.O BOX 20382, Kitwe, Zambia
\textsuperscript{2}Copperbelt University, School of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, P.O BOX 21692, Kitwe, Zambia

*Corresponding author

Abstract:-The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of integrating ICT in the teaching of Introductory Electronics on the development of 21\textsuperscript{st} century learning and innovation skills in university students. The study was undertaken with all 3\textsuperscript{rd} year students of Introductory Electronics at Mukuba University, pursuing a Bachelor of Education in Physics. (B.Ed. Physics). Two groups pre-test post-test experimental design was used in this quantitative study. The sample consisted of 41 students who were randomly assigned into two groups i.e. the experimental and control groups. To assess 21\textsuperscript{st} century learning and innovation skills, a self-assessment 21\textsuperscript{st} century learning and innovation skills Likert scale questionnaire and an observation sheet with a rubric were used to collect data. A Mann-Whitney u-test at an alpha 0.05 was used to investigate if there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups before intervention (Pre-test) and after intervention (Post-test). The findings in the post-test indicated that there was a statistically significant difference in the 21\textsuperscript{st} century learning and innovation skills between the Experimental (Mean rank = 31.00, Mean = 4.26, SD =0.70) and Control (Mean rank = 10.50, Mean =2.05, SD = 0.32) groups; $u$-test =0.000, $Z$-score= -5.673 and $p=0.000$. These results suggest that integrating information and communication technology in the teaching of Introductory Electronics to undergraduate students has a positive effect on students’ development of 21\textsuperscript{st} century learning and innovation skills.
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I. INTRODUCTION

1.1.0 Background of the study

One of the major objectives of higher learning institutions is to produce graduates with the required skills, values, attitudes and competencies to satisfy the 21\textsuperscript{st} century labor market and positively contribute to the social and economic development of a nation. The recently observed call by governments in many countries urging higher learning institutions to hasten the integration of ICT into the teaching and learning environments as a matter of priority punctuates this inevitability.

The demands of the 21\textsuperscript{st} century labor market is constantly changing and to cope with this progression, higher learning institutions must strive to equip their graduates with the 21\textsuperscript{st} century skills. What then are these 21\textsuperscript{st} century skills? 21\textsuperscript{st} century skills are defined as a series of higher order skills, abilities and learning temperaments that have been identified as being required for success in the 21\textsuperscript{st} century society and work places by educators, business leaders, academics and government agencies. Although a lot of frameworks and definitions of 21\textsuperscript{st} century skills exist, the partnership forum for 21\textsuperscript{st} century skills emphasize the 4 C’s which consists of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, creativity and innovation skills as the skills that separate students who are prepared for the complexity of life and work environments from those who are not (P21, 2004).

Technology integration into the teaching and learning of Physics is one of the significant learning innovations in the global-digital era. The Partnership Forum for 21\textsuperscript{st} Century Skills confirms that, for an organization to achieve desired results; it is prudent that it incorporates the use of technology into everyday running of its programs (P21,2009). This is because advanced economies need people who can adapt and contribute to establishments, products, and processes and also have skills to keep learning and adjusting to change (Kay, 2010).

In trying to adapt to the demands of the 21\textsuperscript{st} century, there is need to make innovations in the teaching and learning of Physics which are related to the changes of the 21\textsuperscript{st} century skills and learning paradigm, which is characterized by the disclosure of information, collaboration, creativity and innovation, and critical thinking skills.
In Zambia, insufficient attention had been paid to Science and Technology and its role in the national development process. The consequence of this neglect, over the years, has been the deterioration of the socio-economic development of the country (Zambia national policy on science and technology, 1996). In order to overcome this challenge, Zambia needs to be equipping its citizens with the 21st century skills to ensure their competitiveness in the globalization era. They are expected to master the 21st century skills apart from just excelling in their academic performance. Therefore, it is crucial to incorporate 21st century skills in Physics education in Zambia.

In response to the demands of the digital era, a deliberate policy was formulated to address the insufficient use of ICT in the country’s development programs. According to the national policy document on ICT (2006) the purpose of this was to integrate ICT’s in the education system and develop the nation’s Research and Development (R&D) capacity to support, facilitate and contribute to the development of key sectors of the economy including the development of appropriate local ICT products and services.

However, most of the educational research that has been conducted in Zambia has mostly focused on the integration of ICT in education to assess its impact on concept understanding; it is for this reason that this research focused on the effect of integrating ICT in the teaching of Introductory Physics on development of 21st Century learning and innovation skills in university students.

1.2.0 Statement of the Problem

Research in physics education at secondary and tertiary levels in recent years has focused more on concept understanding than on skills and values development. Skills development in general and 21st century skills in particular has received the least emphasis. This assertion is supported by International Reading Association (2009), who stated that; Although action research reveals that ICT’s can empower teachers and learners, provide a learning environment that helps address different learning styles, and foster the development of 21st century skills, current peer reviewed studies to support these beliefs are still limited. In the Zambian Education system, this is manifested in the type of graduates that are produced. The Zambia National Educational Coalition (ZANEC), a body which is mandated to analyze the Zambian education system revealed that; the quality of education in tertiary institutions has remained low despite the current student / lecturer ratio standing at 18:1. This low quality of tertiary education is attributed to poor methods of teaching among many other reasons (ZANEC, 2017). In their 2008 edition of the report on the Zambian education system ZANEC explained that, the quality and type of skills that are provided in tertiary and Technical Education, Vocational and Entrepreneurship Training Authority (TEVETA) training institutions have been an issue of concern for education stakeholders as some of these skills are neither aligned to the demands of the current job market nor tailored to stimulate self-employment. ZANEC further recommended that; there is urgent need therefore to review the skills training and curricula to make it market driven.

It is therefore, worth noting that in today’s work place, the employability of graduates appears to hinge more on skills and values that are transferable to many areas of research, work and life and if this is not taken care of Zambia is likely to have graduates who are functional illiterate. It is on these compelling grounds that this research’s main objective was to investigate the effect of integrating Information and communication technology in the teaching of Electronics on the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills in university students; a case of Mukuba University.

1.3.0 Purpose of the study

This study’s purpose was to investigate the effect of integrating Information and communication technology in the teaching of Introductory Electronics on the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills which encompasses; critical thinking skills, creativity and innovation skills, collaboration skills and communication skills in the teaching of introductory Electronics to 3rd year undergraduate students at Mukuba University.

1.4.0 Research questions

This study focused on the following research questions:

1. Is there a statistically significant difference in the student’s critical thinking skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?
2. Is there a statistically significant difference in the student’s collaboration skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?
3. Is there a statistically significant difference in the student’s communication skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?
4. Is there a statistically significant difference in the student’s creativity and innovation skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?

1.5.0 Significance of the study

After providing evidence on the effect of integrating ICT in the teaching and learning of Introductory Electronics on the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills in university students, it is hoped that this will be a significant venture in promoting the use of ICT in the teaching of Physics classes for the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills. This will encourage and motivate teachers and students to trust the efficacy of ICT and promote its utilization as a genuine learning tool which can help in the development of the necessary life skills required in the 21st
century. The evidence which this study has provided can act as a stepping stone for teachers to start implementing more relevant technology in their classrooms thereby promoting the adoption of new teaching strategies that will enhance acquisition of the 21st century skills such as the integration of learner centered method of teaching with the incorporation of information and communication technology.

Finally, data drawn from this study will contribute to the educational research in Zambia by providing a knowledge base that may help future research on the integration of ICT in the teaching and learning of Physics. In doing so, it allows researchers, policy makers, and curriculum developers to take measure related to the integration of ICT in education in the Zambian context, specifically for the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills in order to develop social-economic and technologically literate citizens who are able to cope with the rapid changing digital era.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1.0 Research Design

In order to assess the effect of integrating information and communication technology in the teaching of Introductory Electronics on the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills (critical thinking skills, creativity and innovation skills, collaboration skills and communication skills). Two groups pre-test post-test experimental design was employed. A pre-test was given to both groups to ascertain the equivalence of the groups in terms of the 21st century learning and innovation skills the students possessed before the treatment was administered and a post-test was given so as to ascertain the differences between the groups that may have occurred after the intervention. The design is as shown in the following structure.

\[ O_1 \quad X_1 \quad O_2 \]

\[ O_1 \quad X_2 \quad O_2 \]

O_1 = Pre-test measures; assessment of 21st century learning and innovation before treatment/intervention.

O_2 = Post-test measures; assessment of 21st century learning and innovation skills after treatment/intervention.

X_1 = Information and communication technology integrated methods of teaching (treatment/intervention).

X_2 = Lecture method.

2.2.0 Intervention strategies

The content was taught to the experimental group through multiple activities involving information and communication technologies and tutorials. For this study the integration of ICT into teaching and learning process was meant to empower the lecturer to focus on (1) Student-centered approach, (2) active and interactive learning (3) connecting with learner experiences and (4) development of the understandings of the value of the use of ICT in the learning process. The types of ICT that were used include the following:

1. The internet was used as a source of information: tutorial sheets were prepared with higher order questions where the students were required to find solutions. In trying to find the leads to the given questions, the students were provided with internet in order for them to source information by exploring, searching, analyzing and synthesizing the relevant information from around the globe using search engines such as; YouTube, Google, yahoo search and many other search engines.

2. Modular Objective-Oriented Dynamic Learning Environment (MOODLE): this is an information management system which fosters interaction between the lecturer and the students. In this research study, when learners were given Exercises or tutorial questions they were able to discuss concepts using this forum. This made the lecturer and the students interact on different important concepts regardless of time and geographical locations.

3. Computer simulations: these are computer programs that attempts to simulate/mimic an abstract model of a particular system. For questions that were abstract but related to real life situations, where possible students were asked to present computer simulations. The students themselves searched for the appropriate simulations and presented to the class to foster deeper understanding of the concepts.

4. Power point presentations: on every tutorial sheet prepared, every student in the experimental group was assigned to solve at least a question on every sub-topic covered. These solutions were presented using power point together with various activities such as classroom discussion, demonstrations, simulations, reactions and reflections to the presented solutions and group works. Figure 1.0 shows the framework of the research design.
2.3.0 Target Population for the Study

The target population for this study included all 3rd year registered students of Introductory Electronics at Mukuba University, Kitwe district in Zambia.

2.4.0 Study Sample and sampling technique

In this research study, all 3rd year students taking Introductory Electronics were purposively used because there were only 41 students taking Introductory Electronics. Implying that, the whole class participated. Simple random sampling was used to randomly assign the groups into the control and experimental group. 21 students were selected to be in the experimental and 20 were in the control group.

2.5.0 Data collection instruments

In order to assess the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable, a 21st century learning and innovation self-assessment tool and an observation sheet for 21st century learning and innovation skills with a 21st century skills standard rubrics were used.

The construction of the 21st century learning and innovation skills tool involved a series of consultative process and evaluation of other scholars’ work who attempted to measure the 21st century skills for instance The Partnership for 21st Century Skills (P21, 2009), Catalina foothills school district, Jason Ravitz research and evaluation Professional, Patrick Griffin-Assessment research Centre, Melbourne Graduate school of education and the 21st century skills standards rubrics.

After evaluation of other scholars’ work on the measuring of the 21st century learning and innovation skills, Jason Ravitz’s (Ravitz, 2014) 21st century skills measuring tool was adopted with permission and used with adjustments. Jason Ravitz attempted to carry out a survey of the practices that support the development of the 21st century skills; the subjects for this research study were primary school teachers.

The instrument developed for his survey demonstrated excellent reliability, improving on reliable measures from previous studies (inter-item correlations > 0.58). Support for content validity was based on review of existing frameworks and measures.

For this research study, the following changes were made to Ravitz’s instrument to suit the target population and the objectives of the study:

1. The developed tool had the 4 c’s only, it focused on the 21st century learning and innovation skills which are: Critical thinking, collaboration, communication, creativity and innovation skills.
2. The survey questionnaire had its responses turned into a 5-point Likert scale questionnaire because what was sought was quantitative data.
3. The 21st century learning and innovation skills questionnaire/measuring tool with 22 items were constructed. The response scale was translated into numbers i.e. for positively stated items the scoring was 5=strongly agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree and 1=strongly disagree and the negatively stated items were reversed in the analysis.
4. To avoid response bias, that is the tendency of the respondents to give the same answer to every question, some items were reverse phrased (Field, 2003). Further these items were scored in the reverse when entering the data in statistical package for social sciences (SPSS).
With the above-mentioned adaptations, a 21st century learning and innovation measuring tool was constructed with a total of 22 items. The first six (6) items i.e. 1-6 items, measured critical thinking skills, 7-12 items measured collaboration skills, 13-17 items measured communication skills and 17-22 items measured creativity and innovation skills. This instrument was piloted and tested for reliability using Cronbach’s coefficient and the instrument yielded a coefficient of 0.84 which is a highly acceptable measure of reliability.

Furthermore, an observation sheet with a 21st century skills standard rubric was used to rate the students when conducting formative assessment in order to permit data triangulation in the assessment of 21st century learning and innovation skills. The 21st century standard rubric has a scale which is used for grading performance and the grading was ranked as; 1= Poor, 2= Fair, 3=Good, 4= Excellent. These rankings have descriptors i.e. what dictates or rather what is expected of the student to be given a particular grading. These rubrics were used when conducting formative assessment while the students performed the given tasks after the treatment was administered.

2.6.0 Data analysis technique

The data was analyzed quantitatively using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20. The 21st century learning and innovation skills Likert scale questionnaire data was transformed into a form that could be used to conduct an analysis and test the hypotheses, this procedure is outlined in (Pallant, 2005). The pre-test and post test scores were analyzed using Mann-Whitney u-test to determine if there was a statistically significant difference in development of 21st century learning and innovation skills between the experimental and the control, this test was preferred because the data collected was not normally distributed.

The data were presented in the forms of tables and figures for easy interpretations. Descriptive statistics such as the mean and standard deviations were used to analyze students’ 21st century learning and innovation skills with a mean score between 1 and 2 considered low scores while mean scores between 3 and 4 were considered relatively high scores.

III. RESULTS OF THE STUDY

3.1.0 Pre-test

A Mann-Whitney u-test at 0.05 level of significance was conducted to compare the 21st century learning and innovation skills before treatment and the results in table 1 indicate that; For all the sub categories of 21st century learning and innovation skills which are; critical thinking skills, collaboration skills, communication skills and creativity and innovation skills the p-value is greater than the level of significance (0.05). Hence the conclusion in this case is that; before treatment, there was no statistical difference in the level of 21st century learning and innovation skills possessed by the control and the experimental group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>21st Century learning and innovation skills.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>Sig(2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical thinking skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.48</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>-0.278</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>-0.690</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.48</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>-0.294</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity and innovation skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=Sample size, SD=Standard deviation, DF=degrees of freedom, u= u-test statistic and sig=p-value

3.2.0 Post-test results

The 21st century learning and innovation skills questionnaire was administered after treatment in order to assess whether integrating information and communication technology in introductory Electronics lessons had any effect on students’ development of 21st century learning and innovation skills. Firstly, analysis of questionnaire raw scores was done and then the raw scores were transformed into a form that could be used to conduct statistical analysis. A Mann-Whitney u-test was used to ascertain if the intervention had any effect on the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills and to answer the research questions.

1. Critical thinking skills

Research question 1: Is there a statistically significant difference in the students’ critical thinking skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?

The statistics in table 2 show that; the mean rank of the experimental is 30.83, the u-test statistic and the p-value is 0.769 which is greater than the level of significance (0.05). Hence the conclusion in this case is that; there is no statistical difference in the level of students’ critical thinking skills development between the students taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>21st Century learning and innovation skills.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>Sig(2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical thinking skills.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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test statistic is 3.50 and the p-value is less than the confidence level which is 0.05. (p<α) This implies that; There was a statistically difference in the students’ critical thinking skills between those who were taught using information and communication technology integrated methods of teaching and those who were taught using lecture method.

Table 2: Mann-Whitney u-test for Post-test comparison of students’ Critical thinking skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ranks</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z-Score</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Sig (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30.83</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>-5.508</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=Sample size, SD=Standard deviation, df=degrees of freedom, u=u-test statistic and sig=p-value

2. Collaboration skills

Research question 2: Is there a statistically significant difference in the students’ collaboration skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?

The statistics in table 3 show that; the mean rank of the control is 11.48 and that of the experimental is 30.07, the u-test statistic is 19.5 and the p-value is less than the confidence level which is 0.05. (p<α) This implies that; There was a statistically difference in the students’ collaboration skills between those who were taught using information and communication technology integrated methods of teaching and those who were taught using lecture method.

Table 3: Mann-Whitney u-test for Post-test comparison of students’ Collaboration skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ranks</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z-Score</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Sig (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>-5.024</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = sample size, SD = Standard deviation, df = degrees of freedom u= u-test statistic and sig = P-value.

3. Communication skills

Research question 3: Is there a statistically significant difference in the student’s communication skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?

The statistics in table 4 show that; the mean rank of the control group is 11.83 and that of the experimental is 29.74, the u-test statistic is 26.5 and the p-value (0.000) is less than the confidence level which is 0.05. (p<α) This implies that; There was a statistically difference in the students’ communication skills between those who were taught using ICT integrated methods of teaching and those who were taught using lecture method.

Table 4: Mann-Whitney u-test for Post-test comparison of students’ Communication skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ranks</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z-Score</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Sig (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29.74</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>-4.934</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = sample size, SD = Standard deviation, df = degrees of freedom u= u-test statistic and sig = P-value.

4. Creativity and innovation skills

Research question 4: Is there a statistically significant difference in the student’s creativity and innovation skills between those who are taught using ICT and those taught using lecture method?

The statistics in table 5 show that; the mean rank of the control is 12.50 and that of the experimental is 29.10, the u-test statistic is 40 and the p-value (0.000) is less than the confidence level which is 0.05. (p<α) This implies that; There was a statistically difference in the students’ creativity and innovation skills between those who were taught using information and communication technology integrated methods of teaching and those who were taught using lecture method.

Table 5: Mann-Whitney u-test for Post-test comparison of students’ Creativity and innovation skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ranks</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z-Score</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Sig (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29.10</td>
<td>1.161</td>
<td>-4.533</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = sample size, SD = Standard deviation, df = degrees of freedom u= u-test statistic and sig = P-value.
5. Mean 21st century learning and innovation skills

After each category of the 21st century learning and innovation skills was analyzed separately, the post-test raw data was manipulated into a form that could be used to test the hypotheses in an overall set-up. This was done by analyzing the questionnaire as a whole and the results are as shown in table 6.

The statistics in table 6 show that; the mean rank of the control group is 12.50 and that of the experimental group is 31.00, the u-test statistic is 2.8 and the p-value (0.000) is less than the confidence level which 0.05. (p<α) This implies that; There was a statistically difference in the students’ development of 21st century learning and innovation skills between those who were taught using ICT integrated methods of teaching and those who were taught using lecture method.

Table 6: Mann-Whitney u-test for Post-test comparison of students’ 21st century learning and innovation skills

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ranks</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z-Score</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>Sig (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-5.673</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = sample size, SD = Standard deviation, df = degrees of freedom u= u-test statistic and sig = P-value

3.3.0 Formative assessment of 21st century learning and innovation skills

For data triangulation purposes, students in the experimental and control group were observed and graded as they completed tasks in the post-test. The standard 21st century skills rubric was used as a guiding tool for this exercise. The means, standard deviations and skewness were the forms in which the collected data was analyzed and presented. The mean of the means for the experimental is 3.59 and the mean of the means for the control is 1.87. The rubrics

The scoring ranging from 1 to 4, from which 1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good and 4 = excellent. Therefore, the experimental group had its mean of the means to be 3.59 and the control had 1.87. From these statistics it is clearly seen that the experimental group was superior to the control group in terms of the 21st century learning and innovation skills. It can therefore be concluded that ICT had an effect on the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills. Table 7 shows the descriptive statistics of the means analyzed from the formative assessment.

Table 7: Descriptive statistics of the formative assessment which was conducted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>21st century learning and innovation skills</th>
<th>Experimental (N=21)</th>
<th>Control (N=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical thinking skills</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration skills</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication skills</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity and innovation skills</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of the means</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The raw scores were then transformed so that their scores could be compared and be presented in form of percentages. The researcher picked the high scores (3 and 4) and calculated a percentage from it in both the experimental and control groups.

The results indicate that; 86% of the students in the experimental group had a high score as compared to the 49% of the students who had a high score in the control group on critical thinking skills, for collaboration skills 79% of the students in the experimental group had a high score as compared to 51% in the control group, for Communication skills 91% of the students in the experimental had a high score as compared to 55% in the control group and lastly 65% of the students in the control group had a high score as compared to the 29% of the students who had a high score in the control group on creativity and innovation skills.

In this analysis, it clearly shows that the experimental group had superiority over the control group in terms of the observed 21st century learning and innovation skills after the treatment was administered the details are shown in chart 1.
In this study, it was found that incorporating information and communication technology in the teaching of introductory Electronics has a positive effect on university students’ development of 21st century learning and innovation skills. These findings are consistent with other researchers’ findings in previous studies. For instance, In Lewin and McNichol (2015) Who found that ICT supports the development of 21st century skills and Nutteerat (2013) who conducted his study in Thailand also found that; integration of ICT in classroom enhances the 21st century learning skills for both undergraduate and graduate levels significantly with high effect size.

V. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of integrating information and communication in the teaching of introductory Electronics on the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills, having conducted the research; The findings indicated that there was a statistically significant difference in the development of 21st century learning and innovation skills between the Experimental (Mean rank = 31.00, Mean = 4.26, SD =0.70) and Control (Mean rank = 10.50, Mean =2.05, SD = 0.32) groups; u-test =0.000, Z-score= -5.673 and the p=0.000. These results suggest that integrating information and communication technology in the teaching of Introductory Electronics to undergraduate students has a positive effect on students’ development of 21st century learning and innovation skills.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE STUDY

Based on the findings of the study the following recommendations were made:

i. Physics educators must integrate multiple types of technology when delivering a Physics lesson: The results drawn from the current study showed that effective technological tools promote understanding of Introductory Electronics. This shows that the key to reach optimum results with students is to use multiple technologies in class and that these technological tools must be used relevantly in accordance with the Physics content being delivered.

ii. Physics educators must allow students to reflect on their experience with information and communication technologies used in the teaching of Physics since the purpose of integrating appropriate kind of technology in education is to enhance the learning experience whether by facilitating or by promoting students’ engagement, it is necessary for Physics educators to let students reflect on their interaction with ICT.
VII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

1. Further research should be conducted with lecturers as research subjects so as to compare the findings of this research with the students as the target population and the findings of a research which will have the lecturers as a target population at Mukuba University on 21st century learning and innovation skills.

2. It is important that the same focus be replicated in other institutions and also across the institutions. This is to compare and contrast findings and this would be critical in determining geographical gaps as well as other factors which were beyond the scope of this study.
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