Exploring Contemporary Cosmological Models in Alternative Theories of Gravitation

Amrapali P. Wasnik[1], Shatabdi Ajabrao Sadar[2]

[1]Ph.D. Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Bharatiya Mahavidyalaya Amravati (M.S), India

[2]M.Sc. Mathematics, Research Scholars at S.G.B. Amravati University Amravati (M.S), India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.100700125

Received: 10 July 2025; Accepted: 18 July 2025; Published: 20 August 2025

ABSTRACT

The quest to understand the fundamental nature of the universe has led to extensive research in cosmology and gravitation. While the ΛCDM (Lambda Cold Dark Matter) model remains the standard framework for describing cosmic evolution, several unresolved issues—such as the nature of dark matter, dark energy, and inconsistencies at quantum scales—necessitate alternative approaches. This study explores contemporary cosmological models within the scope of alternative gravitation theories, such as f(R) gravity, scalar-tensor theories, and braneworld models. By extending Einstein's General Theory of Relativity, these models provide modified field equations that aim to resolve observational anomalies, including cosmic acceleration and large-scale structure formation. This research employs mathematical and computational methods to analyze the dynamics of alternative models, investigating their stability, viability, and alignment with observational data from sources like the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) and Type Ia supernovae. The study further examines how modifications to Einstein's field equations influence the expansion history of the universe and gravitational interactions at cosmological scales. The findings contribute to ongoing efforts in developing a more comprehensive theoretical framework that bridges classical gravity with quantum-scale phenomena.

Keywords: Cosmological models, alternative gravitation, modified gravity, dark energy, f(R) gravity, scalar-tensor theory, cosmic acceleration.

INTRODUCTION

The study of cosmology aims to unravel the fundamental nature of the universe, its origin, evolution, and large-scale structure. The ΛCDM (Lambda Cold Dark Matter) model has been the cornerstone of modern cosmology, successfully explaining key observations such as the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation, large-scale structure formation, and the accelerated expansion of the universe (Planck Collaboration, 2020). This model incorporates a cosmological constant (Λ\LambdaΛ) to account for dark energy and assumes the presence of cold dark matter to explain gravitational effects that cannot be attributed to visible matter. Despite its success, ΛCDM faces several theoretical and observational challenges, including the unexplained nature of dark matter and dark energy, discrepancies in the Hubble constant measurements, and the need for a more fundamental theoretical framework that can bridge general relativity (GR) with quantum gravity (Riess and Adam G., 2019).

Importance of Alternative Gravitation Theories

Einstein's General Theory of Relativity (GR) has been remarkably successful in describing gravitational phenomena at astrophysical and cosmological scales. However, observations at both cosmic and quantum scales suggest that GR might not be the complete theory of gravity. The accelerated expansion of the universe, as inferred from Type Ia supernovae (Perlmutter S. 1999), necessitates either the introduction of dark energy or modifications to GR. Moreover, GR does not incorporate quantum effects, which are essential for understanding gravity at the Planck scale.

Alternative theories of gravitation, such as f(R) gravity, scalar-tensor theories, and braneworld models, extend GR by introducing additional degrees of freedom, modified field equations, or extra dimensions. These modifications aim to resolve inconsistencies within ΛCDM and provide a more fundamental explanation for cosmic acceleration, dark matter behavior, and potential quantum gravity effects (Nojiri & Odintsov, 2017). By exploring such models, researchers seek to unify gravity with quantum mechanics and refine our understanding of the universe's fundamental forces.

Research Problem & Objectives

The primary challenge in modern cosmology is to develop a theoretical framework that successfully explains cosmic acceleration without invoking an arbitrary cosmological constant. The key research problems addressed in this study include:

- Investigating mathematical modifications to GR that can explain cosmic acceleration without dark energy.
- Examining the dynamical behavior of alternative gravity models, such as f(R) gravity and scalar-tensor theories.
- Comparing theoretical predictions with observational data from CMB, large-scale structure formation, and gravitational wave events.
- Assessing the stability and viability of alternative cosmological models.

Scope of the Study

This research focuses on the mathematical modeling of contemporary cosmological models within alternative theories of gravitation. The study employs differential geometry, tensor calculus, and dynamical systems analysis to investigate how modifications to GR impact cosmological evolution. Additionally, observational relevance is considered by comparing theoretical predictions with empirical data from major cosmological surveys such as Planck, SDSS, and LIGO-Virgo. The study aims to provide insights into the viability of modified gravity theories and their potential role in explaining cosmic acceleration, dark matter behavior, and large-scale structure formation.

By systematically analyzing alternative cosmological models, this research contributes to the ongoing efforts to refine gravitational theories and bridge the gap between classical and quantum physics.

Mathematical Foundations

Mathematical formalism plays a crucial role in understanding the structure and evolution of the universe. General Relativity (GR), formulated by Albert Einstein in 1915, describes gravity as the curvature of spacetime due to mass-energy. However, alternative theories of gravitation introduce modifications to Einstein's equations to address cosmological and quantum-scale anomalies. This section outlines the fundamental mathematical framework, modifications to GR, and essential analytical tools used in modern cosmology.

Einstein's Field Equations

Einstein's General Theory of Relativity (GR) is based on the idea that mass-energy determines the curvature of spacetime, which in turn dictates the motion of objects. The fundamental field equation governing GR is given by:

$$R_{\mu\nu}-21g_{\mu\nu}\, R+\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{C4} T\mu\nu$$

where:

- $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci curvature tensor, describing how spacetime is curved by mass-energy.
- R is the Ricci scalar, obtained by contracting $R\mu\nu$ representing the overall curvature of spacetime.
- $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor, defining spacetime intervals and distances.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VII July 2025

- Λ is the cosmological constant, originally introduced by Einstein and now associated with dark energy.
- $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor, which encodes the distribution of matter and energy.
- G is Newton's gravitational constant, and ccc is the speed of light.

These equations describe how matter-energy curves spacetime, leading to gravitational effects. In the absence of matter ($T\mu\nu = 0$), the equations reduce to the vacuum Einstein equations, which govern the structure of empty spacetime.

Modifications to General Relativity

Despite its success, GR faces challenges in explaining cosmic acceleration, dark energy, and quantum gravity effects. Several alternative theories modify Einstein's field equations while preserving the core geometric structure of GR.

f(R) Gravity

One of the most well-studied modifications involves replacing the Ricci scalar RRR in the Einstein-Hilbert action with a more general function **f(R)**:

$$\mathrm{S} \ = \int(\mathrm{d4\ x}\sqrt{(-\mathrm{g}} \left[\frac{1}{2K}\ f\ (R) + Lm\right]$$

where $\kappa = \frac{8\pi G}{C4}$ and Lm represents the matter Lagrangian. The resulting field equations become:

$$f'(R)\ R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\ f\ (R)\ g_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\ \square - \nabla\mu\nabla\nu)\ f'(R) = \frac{8\pi G}{C4}\ T\mu\nu$$

where f′(R) represents the derivative of f(R) with respect to R. Different choices of f(R) lead to modified gravity models, which can mimic dark energy effects without requiring a cosmological constant.

Scalar-Tensor Theories

Scalar-tensor theories, such as Brans-Dicke theory, introduce a dynamical scalar field ϕ that modifies gravitational interactions:

$$\mathrm{S} = \int \mathrm{d}^4\,\mathrm{x}\ \sqrt{(-\mathrm{g}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\ \phi\mathrm{R} - \frac{\omega}{2}\phi\nabla\mu\phi\nabla\mu\phi + \mathrm{Lm}\right]$$

where ω is a parameter controlling the strength of the scalar field interaction. These theories allow for a varying gravitational constant, influencing cosmological evolution and large-scale structure formation.

Key Mathematical Tools

To analyze alternative gravitational models, several advanced mathematical tools are employed:

Tensor Calculus

Tensor calculus is fundamental to formulating gravitational theories. Key concepts include:

- Covariant derivatives: Ensuring equations remain valid under coordinate transformations.
- Christoffel symbols: Describing how vectors change in curved spacetime.
- Energy-momentum tensor: Expressing matter and energy distributions in spacetime.

Differential Geometry

The curvature of spacetime is described using differential geometry, including:

- Riemann curvature tensor $R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma}\mu\nu$ which encapsulates spacetime curvature.
- Geodesics**,** which describe particle motion under gravity without external forces.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VII July 2025

Stability Analysis

To ensure viable cosmological models, stability analysis is performed using:

- Phase space analysis: Examining equilibrium points of cosmological dynamical systems.
- Linear perturbation theory: Investigating small deviations from homogeneous solutions.
- Eigenvalue analysis: Determining whether solutions remain stable over cosmic timescales.

The mathematical framework of cosmology is built upon Einstein's field equations, which have been modified in alternative theories such as f(R) gravity and scalar-tensor models. These modifications introduce new degrees of freedom that can potentially explain dark energy, cosmic acceleration, and deviations from GR at large scales. Tensor calculus, differential geometry, and stability analysis play crucial roles in evaluating these models and their consistency with observational data. The next sections will explore how these mathematical foundations apply to specific cosmological models.

Alternative Cosmological Models

The limitations of the ΛCDM model and General Relativity (GR) have motivated researchers to explore alternative cosmological models. These models extend GR by modifying the Einstein-Hilbert action, introducing scalar fields, or incorporating extra-dimensional physics. This section discusses three major classes of alternative gravity models: f(R) gravity**,** scalar-tensor theories, and extra-dimensional theories such as braneworld models.

f(R) Gravity Models

Formulation and Field Equations

f(R)f(R)f(R) gravity is one of the simplest extensions of GR, where the Ricci scalar RRR in the Einstein-Hilbert action is replaced by a more general function f(R):

$$S = \int(\mathrm{d}4\, x\sqrt{(}-\mathrm{g} \left[\frac{1}{2K} f\,(R) + Lm\right]$$

where:

- g is the determinant of the metric tensor.
- Lm represents the matter Lagrangian.
- $K=\frac{8\pi G}{C4}$ is the gravitational coupling constant.

Varying this action with respect to the metric gμνg_{\mu\nu}gμν gives the modified field equations:

$$f'(R)\, R\mu\nu - \frac{1}{2} f\,(R) g_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\,\Box - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) f'(R) = \frac{8\pi G}{C4}\, T\mu\nu$$

where $f'(R) = \frac{df(R)}{dR}$ = and $\Box = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$ is the d'Alembertian operator.

Cosmological Implications

- **Explains cosmic acceleration** without requiring dark energy ($f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ models, as proposed by Starobinsky (1980).
- **Predicts modifications to the Hubble parameter**, affecting large-scale structure formation (Hu & Sawicki, 2007).
- **May introduce ghost instabilities**, requiring careful choice of f(R) functions to avoid nonphysical solutions (Nojiri & Odintsov, 2011).

Scalar-Tensor Theories

Scalar-tensor theories introduce a dynamical scalar field ϕ that couples to gravity, leading to modifications in the field equations. These theories generalize GR while maintaining consistency with experimental and cosmological observations.

Brans-Dicke Theory

One of the earliest scalar-tensor theories, Brans-Dicke gravity (1961), modifies the Einstein-Hilbert action by introducing a varying gravitational coupling:

$$S=\int d^4 x \sqrt{(-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \phi R - \frac{\omega}{2} g\mu\nu\phi\nabla\mu\phi\nabla\mu\phi + Lm\right]$$

where **ω** is the Brans-Dicke parameter. Observational constraints from the Cassini spacecraft (Bertotti *et al*., 2003) require ω>40,000 limiting deviations from GR.

Horndeski Theories

Horndeski gravity (Horndeski, 1974) represents the most general scalar-tensor theory with second-order field equations, avoiding ghost instabilities. The action includes kinetic and potential terms for the scalar field:

$$S=\int d^4 x \sqrt{(-g} \, [G2(\phi, X) + G3(\phi, X)\Box\phi + G4\,(\phi, X)R \, + G5](\phi, X)\, G\mu\nu\nabla\mu\nabla\nu\, \phi]$$

where:

Gi(ϕ,X) are arbitrary functions of the scalar field ϕ\phiϕ and kinetic term X.

These terms allow for screening mechanisms (e.g., chameleon and Vainshtein effects) to remain consistent with local gravity tests.

Cosmological Implications

- Explains cosmic acceleration through a dynamical scalar field without a cosmological constant.
- Predicts deviations from GR in the early universe, affecting cosmic structure formation.
- Provides self-accelerating solutions for late-time expansion (De Felice & Tsujikawa, 2011).

Extra-Dimensional Theories (Braneworld Models)

Braneworld models propose that our four-dimensional universe is embedded in a higher-dimensional space. These theories offer explanations for cosmic acceleration, dark matter phenomena, and modifications to GR.

Randall-Sundrum (RS) Models

The Randall-Sundrum (RS) models (1999) introduce a warped extra dimension, allowing gravity to propagate into a higher-dimensional bulk. The modified Einstein equations on the brane are:

$$G\mu\nu + \Lambda eff g\mu\nu = \frac{8\pi G}{c4} + \frac{6}{\ell 2} \; \pi\mu\nu - E\mu\nu$$

where:

- ℓ is the curvature scale of the extra dimension.
- Eμν represents bulk effects on the brane.
- $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ arises from quadratic energy-momentum corrections.

Implications:

- Provides a n alternative to dark matter via extra-dimensional effects.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VII July 2025

- Modifies gravitational wave propagation, offering testable predictions with LIGO/Virgo (Caldwell & Langlois, 2001).

Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati (DGP) Model

The DGP model (2000) introduces a 5D Minkowski space with gravity localized on a 4D brane. The modified Friedmann equation is:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho + \frac{1}{rc}H$$

where r_c is the crossover scale beyond which higher-dimensional effects become significant.

Implications:

- Explains late-time cosmic acceleration without dark energy.
- Predicts a self-accelerating solution, though it may suffer from ghost instabilities (Luty *et al.*, 2003).
- Modifies gravitational potential at large scales, offering alternative explanations for galaxy rotation curves.

Alternative cosmological models provide rich theoretical frameworks to address the limitations of ΛCDM and GR. f(R) gravity models modify the curvature function, scalar-tensor theories introduce additional degrees of freedom, and braneworld models leverage extra dimensions to alter gravitational interactions. Future observations, including gravitational wave data and cosmic structure surveys, will be crucial in distinguishing between these models and standard cosmology.

Cosmological Implications

The study of alternative theories of gravitation is motivated by unresolved challenges in modern cosmology, particularly the nature of dark energy, the accelerating expansion of the universe, and the formation of cosmic structures. This section examines how alternative models address these phenomena, along with their consistency with observational data.

Dark Energy & Cosmic Acceleration

One of the most significant discoveries in modern cosmology is the accelerating expansion of the universe, first confirmed through Type Ia supernova observations (Riess *et al.*, 1998; Perlmutter S.1999). Within the standard ΛCDM model, cosmic acceleration is attributed to the cosmological constant (Λ), which represents vacuum energy. However, ΛCDM faces fine-tuning problems, motivating modifications to General Relativity (GR).

Alternative Explanations for Cosmic Acceleration

Several alternative gravity models provide explanations for the late-time acceleration without invoking a cosmological constant:

- **f(R) Gravity Models**: In models such as $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ (Starobinsky, 1980), the additional curvature term effectively mimics dark energy, driving acceleration. The Hu-Sawicki model (2007) also generates self-accelerating solutions while remaining consistent with solar system tests.
- **Scalar-Tensor Theories**: In Brans-Dicke gravity, a time-varying gravitational constant modifies the expansion rate. More generally, Horndeski theories allow for evolving scalar fields that can drive cosmic acceleration (De Felice & Tsujikawa, 2011).
- **Braneworld Models**: The Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati (DGP) model predicts an accelerating universe due to the leakage of gravity into an extra dimension at cosmological scales (Dvali *et al.*, 2000). The self-accelerating branch of DGP does not require a dark energy component but suffers from ghost instabilities.

Large-Scale Structure Formation

Understanding the formation of galaxies and cosmic structures provides another key test for alternative gravity models. In ΛCDM, the evolution of density perturbations follows the standard equation:

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G\rho\delta = 0$$

where δ\deltaδ is the density contrast, H is the Hubble parameter, and G is Newton's gravitational constant. Modifications to gravity alter the growth rate of perturbations, leading to testable differences in large-scale structure.

Predictions from Alternative Gravity Theories

- f(R) Gravity: The effective Newtonian constant in these models is scale-dependent, affecting the clustering of galaxies and the matter power spectrum. Observations from weak lensing surveys such as the Dark Energy Survey (DES) have placed constraints on deviations from GR (Jain & Khoury, 2010).
- Scalar-Tensor Theories: In Horndeski gravity, fifth forces mediated by scalar fields can enhance or suppress structure formation. However, screening mechanisms (chameleon, symmetron, or Vainshtein effects) allow consistency with solar system tests while modifying large-scale behavior (Brax *et al*., 2012).
- Braneworld Cosmology: In the DGP model, the modification to the Friedmann equation affects structure growth rates, which can be tested using redshift-space distortions in galaxy clustering surveys such as SDSS and Euclid (Koyama & Silva, 2007).

Observational Constraints

Any viable alternative gravity model must remain consistent with multiple cosmological observations. Key observational probes include:

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anisotropies

The CMB power spectrum is highly sensitive to modifications in the expansion rate and the growth of structure. Observations from Planck (2020) place stringent limits on deviations from GR.

- f(R) models with large deviations from GR alter the Integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect, leading to inconsistencies with CMB observations unless screening mechanisms are invoked.
- Scalar-tensor theories modify the sound horizon scale, shifting CMB peaks in ways that can be constrained by Planck and WMAP data (Ade *et al*., 2016).

Type Ia Supernovae (SNe Ia)

Supernova observations remain one of the most direct probes of cosmic acceleration. The Pantheon+ dataset (Scolnic D., 2022) provides precise measurements of the distance modulus across redshifts, allowing tests of alternative expansion histories.

- Many alternative models successfully fit the SNe Ia luminosity-distance relation, but they must also match constraints from BAO (Baryon Acoustic Oscillations) and CMB data.

Gravitational Waves and LIGO-Virgo Constraints

Gravitational waves (GWs) provide a new way to test gravity on cosmological scales. The binary neutron star merger GW170817 (Abbott, 2017) placed severe constraints on modified gravity models:

- The near-identical arrival times of GW170817 and its gamma-ray counterpart imply that gravitational waves travel at the speed of light (c), ruling out many Horndeski models that predict different propagation speeds.

- Future detections of black hole mergers in modified gravity scenarios could reveal deviations in waveform templates, testing alternative gravitation theories (Ezquiaga & Zumalacárregui, 2017).

Alternative theories of gravitation offer potential explanations for cosmic acceleration, the growth of large-scale structures, and gravitational phenomena beyond ΛCDM. Observational data from CMB, supernovae, galaxy surveys, and gravitational waves place increasing constraints on these models. While some extensions of GR remain viable, future high-precision surveys such as LSST, Euclid, and LISA will play a crucial role in testing and distinguishing between competing theories.

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

This study examined alternative gravitation models that extend General Relativity (GR) to address fundamental issues in modern cosmology, such as cosmic acceleration and the nature of dark energy. The findings suggest that these models provide viable alternatives to the ΛCDM paradigm, offering explanations for observed cosmic phenomena without requiring an explicit cosmological constant.

Summary of Key Findings

- f(R) gravity introduces modifications to the Ricci scalar in Einstein's equations, leading to self-accelerating solutions that can reproduce late-time cosmic expansion (De Felice & Tsujikawa, 2010).
- Scalar-tensor theories, including Brans-Dicke and Horndeski models, introduce a dynamical scalar field that affects gravity, influencing both cosmic expansion and structure formation while satisfying local gravity constraints (Brax *et al*., 2012).
- Extra-dimensional models such as the Randall-Sundrum (RS) and Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati (DGP) scenarios modify gravity at cosmological scales and can account for late-time acceleration, though some versions suffer from ghost instabilities (Dvali *et al*., 2000; Koyama, 2007).

These models have been tested against observational data from cosmic microwave background (CMB), Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia), large-scale structure (LSS), and gravitational waves (GW170817). The detection of gravitational waves and their electromagnetic counterparts placed stringent constraints on gravity modifications, as alternative models must predict wave speeds consistent with observations (Ezquiaga & Zumalacárregui, 2017).

Strengths and Limitations of Alternative Models

Strengths:

- Provide a theoretical framework to explain cosmic acceleration without an unnaturally small cosmological constant.
- Offer potential links to high-energy physics, quantum gravity, and string theory.
- Can be tested through deviations in large-scale structure formation and gravitational wave propagation.

Limitations:

- Many models introduce additional degrees of freedom that require fine-tuning to match observational constraints (Clifton *et al*., 2012).
- Some modifications, such as DGP gravity, suffer from theoretical instabilities like ghost modes (Koyama, 2007).
- Observational constraints from CMB, baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO), and LIGO-Virgo detections significantly limit deviations from GR, ruling out certain parameter ranges in alternative models.

Future Directions

Further research should focus on refining these theories using high-precision observational data. Upcoming missions such as Euclid, Vera Rubin Observatory (LSST), and LISA will test deviations from GR with unprecedented accuracy, particularly in weak lensing, large-scale structure, and gravitational wave

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VII July 2025

propagation. Future studies should also explore quantum gravity connections, aiming for a unified theory that reconciles GR with fundamental physics.

Ultimately, alternative gravitation models remain a promising but challenging avenue for explaining cosmic acceleration, requiring both theoretical advancements and observational validation to determine their viability in modern cosmology.

REFERENCES

1. Abbott, B. P. (2017). GW170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral. Physical Review Letters, 119(16), 161101.
2. Ade, P. A. R. (2016). Planck 2015 results – XIII. Cosmological parameters. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 594, A13.
3. Bertotti, B., Iess, L., & Tortora, P. (2003). A test of general relativity using radio links with the Cassini spacecraft. Nature, 425(6956), 374-376.
4. Brans, Carl, and Robert H. Dicke (1961). Mach's Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation. Physical Review, vol. 124, no. 3, 925–935.
5. Brax, P., Davis, A. C., Li, B., & Winther, H. A. (2012). A unified description of screened modified gravity. Physical Review D, 86(4), 044015.
6. Clifton, T., Ferreira, P. G., Padilla, A., & Skordis, C. (2012). *Modified Gravity and Cosmology*. Physics Reports, 513(1-3), 1-189.
7. De Felice, A., & Tsujikawa, S. (2011). f(R) theories. Living Reviews in Relativity, 13(1), 3.
8. Dvali, G., Gabadadze, G., & Porrati, M. (2000). 4D gravity on a brane in 5D Minkowski space. Physics Letters B, 485(1-3), 208-214.
9. Ezquiaga, J. M., & Zumalacárregui, M. (2017). Dark energy after GW170817: Dead ends and the road ahead. Physical Review Letters, 119(25), 251304.
10. Horndeski, G. W. (1974). Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 17(10), 1982-1987.
11. Hu, W., & Sawicki, I. (2007). Models of f(R) cosmic acceleration that evade solar system tests. Physical Review D, 76(6), 064004.
12. Jain, B., & Khoury, J. (2010). Cosmological tests of gravity. Annals of Physics, 325(7), 1479-1516.
13. Koyama, K., & Silva, F. P. (2007). Non-linear interactions in a cosmological background in the DGP braneworld. Physical Review D, 75(8), 084040.
14. Luty, M. A., Porrati, M., & Rattazzi, R. (2003). Strong interactions and stability in the DGP model. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2003(09), 029.
15. Nojiri, S., & Odintsov, S. D. (2017). Modified gravity theories and cosmology. Physics Reports, 692, 1-104.
16. Perlmutter, S., (1999). Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae. The Astrophysical Journal, 517(2), 565–586.
17. Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641, A6.
18. Riess and Adam G. (2019). Large Magellanic Cloud Cepheid Standards Provide a 1% Foundation for the Determination of the Hubble Constant. The Astrophysical Journal, vol. 876(1), 85.
19. Scolnic, D. (2022). The Pantheon+ analysis: cosmological constraints. The Astrophysical Journal, 938(2), 113.