Pedagogy of Connection: Emotional Intelligence as a Catalyst in Language Classrooms

Dr. Binayak Chanda

Officer-in-Charge and Asst. Professor, Department of Education, Government General Degree College, Nakashipara, West Bengal, India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.100600145

Received: 27 June 2025; Accepted: 01 July 2025; Published: 24 July 2025

ABSTRACT

In contemporary foreign language learning, the affective climate of the classroom is central to instructional effectiveness. Emotional Intelligence (EI), or the capacity to recognize, manage, and respond to emotions, is a valuable though underutilized resource for developing stimulating learning environments. This paper examines the applied and theoretical realities of integrating EI into foreign language teaching. It examines existing literature and case studies, moving away from the conventional emphasis on cognition in order to defend a more comprehensive, student-oriented approach. The areas of emphasis include crafting responsive curricula, teacher preparation, classroom dynamics, and the crafting of assessments that take emotional factors into account. The central argument is that addressing emotional awareness as a core pedagogical principle can have a profound effect on student engagement, motivation, and language acquisition. The paper concludes with recommendations for incorporating EI into teacher education programs and daily classroom routines.

Keywords: Emotional Intelligence, Language Pedagogy, Classroom Emotion, Curriculum Design

INTRODUCTION

Foreign language classrooms have traditionally been viewed as cognitive spaces where grammar is learned, vocabulary is memorized, and sentence patterns are practiced until mastered. While this focus on structure is important, it increasingly seems inadequate for developing true linguistic skills, especially in diverse and emotionally rich learning settings. Recent research has shifted toward a more human-centered view of language learning, recognizing the vital role emotions play in shaping student engagement and the effectiveness of teaching.

During this shift, Emotional Intelligence (EI), popularized by Daniel Goleman (1995) and drawing on the previous research of Salovey and Mayer (1990), offers a useful framework. EI entails the capacity to perceive, interpret, manage, and respond to the emotions in oneself and others. Its application is growing in education, yet language teaching remains an area in which it is still emerging.

Teachers are not merely neutral conveyers of language competence; they perform emotional labour, managing student anxiety, resistance, confusion, and social interactions (Benesch, 2012). They also have to control their own immediate emotional responses without any formal training. This double duty of emotions means Emotional Intelligence needs to be considered an essential teaching ability, rather than an additional soft skill.

This article considers EI in foreign language instruction as a fundamental viewpoint and not a surface-level enrichment. It explores teachers' emotional competencies, the need for responsive curricula, classroom climate, testing practices, and how technology and peer co-construction can be utilized emotionally and not only cognitively. The aim is to promote an approach to education where language and emotion are understood as interwoven.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VI June 2025

Objectives

The aim of this paper is to critically examine the convergence between Emotional Intelligence (EI) and foreign language teaching and learning, determining how emotionally aware instructional practices contribute to improving language acquisition results. It hopes to attain the following precise objectives:

1. To explore the contribution of teacher's Emotional Intelligence in determining emotionally nurturing and resilient classrooms that facilitate second language learning.

2. To analyse ways in which fundamental EI competencies, like self-awareness, empathy, and emotional regulation, can be embedded into language curriculum planning, classroom discourse, assessment, and online pedagogy.

3. To evaluate the applicability and implications of Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) and peer-to-peer collaboration as models for integrating EI into the process of learning language.

4. To provide practical recommendations for the integration of EI training into pre-service and in-service teacher education, as well as continuous professional development among language teachers.

5. To identify the critical role of culturally responsive emotional practices in multicultural and multilingual classrooms, and the implications of EI for promoting inclusive, identity-sensitive pedagogy.

METHODOLOGY

This research takes a conceptual and narrative literature review approach based on interdisciplinary educational theory, second language acquisition studies, and applied emotional intelligence research. The methodology comprises:

- Thematic synthesis of prominent theoretical frameworks in Emotional Intelligence (Goleman, 1995; Salovey & Mayer, 1990), Social-Emotional Learning (CASEL, 2020), and positive psychology in SLA (Dewaele et al., 2018; Mercer & Gregersen, 2020).
- Critical analysis of peer-reviewed articles and empirical evidence from education, psychology, and applied linguistics journals to map trends, gaps, and insights into the inclusion of EI in language teaching.
- Comparative pedagogical analysis of conventional, cognitively focused language teaching practices against emotionally sensitive and human-oriented methods.

So, the paper is based on secondary data to build a theoretically grounded argument. In methodological terms, it gives priority to interpretive analysis over quantitative measures, with the aim of provoking pedagogical reflection and future empirical studies.

The Emotionally Intelligent Language Teacher: Self - Awareness and Emotional Regulation

Emotional Intelligence in language instruction starts with the internal state of the teacher, rather than with external strategies. Of Goleman's (1995) central competencies, self-awareness - the power to discern and perceive one's own emotions - provides the foundation for responsive teaching. Language teachers themselves frequently encounter unexpected situations in the classroom: students become disengaged, confused, act up, or misread cultural signals. Teachers who remain aware of their own emotional responses in these situations are able to control their reactions in healthy ways instead of responding impulsively (Brackett & Katulak, 2006).

Secondly related to self-awareness is emotional regulation, where emotional reactions are controlled in a manner that is conducive to teaching objectives. For instance, if a teacher realizes their frustration level rising due to ongoing communication problems, an instant reflection can trigger a change in approach - such as pausing, altering tone, or incorporating humour to diffuse tension. These minor changes, though frequently unremarked upon, can have significant effects on the classroom environment. Sutton and Wheatley (2003) discovered that

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VI June 2025

teachers who effectively manage their emotions are likely to establish stronger trust with students, diminish conflict, and establish space for authentic interaction.

Also, Emotional Intelligence has been found to guard against teacher burnout, a widespread affliction in emotionally stressful professions such as language instruction (Chan, 2006). Language classrooms are filled with students of diverse cultural backgrounds, English proficiency levels, and emotional requirements - problems that confront teachers on a daily basis. In such a setup, EI is not just an instrument for effective instruction but also for ensuring the emotional health of the teacher.

From this, we can understand the language teacher's role as more than a language specialist. They need to be emotional interpreters, producers of a positive environment, and managers of their own emotions. As self-awareness and emotional control are cultivated consciously - through training, contemplation, or practice - they create a basis for more responsive and empathetic teaching.

Emotional Intelligence and the Teacher-Student Relationship

Whereas curriculum and content give the structural framework under which foreign language learning occurs, teacher-student relationships will often define whether or not that framework is effectively utilized. Emotional Intelligence is key, particularly for trust building, empathy, and openness - traits needed for effective communication as well as language risk-taking.

High EI teachers are more effective in establishing a safe relational space in which to learn, where students feel heard, valued, and supported. Strong teacher-student relationships, as explained by Jennings and Greenberg (2009), are positively correlated with higher motivation and engagement in most educational settings. In foreign language learning, where learners frequently need to communicate in foreign languages, trust is crucial. On emotional safety, students will not risk vocabulary, play around with grammatical constructions, or go into spontaneous conversation, all necessary for language acquisition.

One such important component of EI is empathy, which enables teachers to perceive and react to faint emotional cues. Teachers, for instance, may feel when a student is apprehensive ahead of a speaking exam, identify that silence could be an indicator of disengagement, or appreciate the annoyance of successive errors. An empathetic action can involve offering low-stakes practice spaces, modifying feedback, or addressing a student's discomfort. Oxford (2016) insists that emotionally understood students will be more willing to participate in class, get through difficulties, and maintain the target language in the long term.

In diverse classrooms typical of English as a Foreign or Second Language (EFL/ESL) environments - where students come from diverse backgrounds - empathy acquires cross-cultural meaning. Students carry disparate emotional norms and cultural expectations. Emotionally intelligent educators manage these variations by exercising cultural humility and wonder instead of imposing sameness. Such sensitivity not only prevents miscommunication but also builds inclusive classrooms where linguistic and emotional diversity are valued as assets.

In these circumstances, the teacher-student relationship is no longer merely an avenue for the transmission of content; rather, it is a jointly constructed space where emotion, identity, and language dynamically interact. Emotional Intelligence enables educators to move through this space in thoughtful and responsive ways, to enhance social and academic dimensions of language learning.

Designing Emotionally Responsive Curricula and Materials

Standard language curricula tend to emphasize grammatical correctness, testing, and mental performance. These elements are necessary, but they do not usually reflect the emotional side of language acquisition - the stress of error, the pleasure of self-expression, and the need for affiliation in a second language. Emotionally responsive curriculum design attempts to redress this by incorporating activities, themes, and materials that appeal to learners' feelings along with their language competence.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VI June 2025

At the centre of this methodology is the assumption that emotion and language are related. When the student is asked to explore topics such as identity, homesickness, belonging, or intercultural conflict, he or she is building vocabulary and grammar as well as drawing upon personal experience. Byram and Zarate (1997) contend that the development of intercultural competence needs to be a main objective of foreign language instruction, melding emotional knowledge with language ability.

To facilitate this, practices such as storytelling, reflective journaling, and narrative tasks enable students to work with both language and emotion simultaneously. Such approaches intensify involvement by inviting the students to immerse themselves in the communicative process. Park (2011) observes that when students are writing about their own experiences in the target language, they are not merely writing; they are articulating their identity in new linguistic resources.

In addition, emotionally intelligent curriculum construction also incorporates collaborative exercises that enhance language and interpersonal competencies. Collaborative tasks like role plays, debates, and peer interviews can be structured to facilitate understanding and increase emotional vocabulary. This is connected to Vygotsky's (1978) social learning theory, which maintains that cognitive development has a strong connection with social interaction - particularly in contexts where the learners interact through conversation and shared emotional experiences.

Notably, developing emotionally responsive materials does not require giving up on academic rigor; it involves reframing rigor to encompass emotional richness. To illustrate, a lesson on the past tense in grammar could be presented through individual narratives of memory, loss, or celebration. A vocabulary section can be centred on states of mind or relationships between people. In both instances, the emotional component makes the language more meaningful and memorable.

By incorporating emotion into the curriculum as a core element, language teachers are able to increase participation, increase motivation, and foster spaces where students feel heard and understood. In doing this, the curriculum becomes not just a map for language acquisition but also a means of self-exploration and people connection.

Classifying the Affective Climate of the Classroom

Foreign language classrooms are not merely places or heads; they are affective spaces. In them, students bring their hopes, doubts, ambitions, and fears, which influence the way they experience language. Thus, the establishment of an encouraging climate of emotion is not an extra consideration; it is essential to successful teaching.

An emotionally aware instructor closely observes the affective climate while teaching. Rather than merely monitoring performance or outcomes, such teachers are attuned to indicators of emotional discomfort: a student pausing before answering, exhibiting a guarded attitude in group work, or going quiet following feedback. These subtle cues can signal underlying issues like anxiety, fear of failure, or lack of confidence regarding their language abilities (Dewaele & MacIntyre, 2016).

To achieve this, teachers must actively teach emotional safety. This includes normalizing error as a process of learning, valuing effort more than perfection, and role-modelling vulnerability where necessary. An environment in the classroom where uncertainty can be expressed in language without fear of judgment is one in which students are encouraged to risk communication and develop confidence (Garner, 2010). As per Dewaele and MacIntyre (2016), classes that foster enjoyment of language learning are likely to experience increased engagement of students, particularly where instruction involves humour, curiosity, and playfulness.

Emotional Intelligence also improves classroom management. Rather than relying on punishment, teachers who are in touch with emotions employ reflective questioning, empathetic listening, and de-escalating conflict processes to manage interpersonal issues. This creates a sense of justice and demonstrates the emotional maturity that students are taught to cultivate themselves.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VI June 2025

The classroom emotional climate is not set; it has to be constantly monitored and tuned. It is shaped by elements like classroom organization, tone of voice, non-verbal cues, peer to peer interactions, and even the texts used. Hence, teachers must stay attentive and flexible, prepared to adjust the setting as the emotional tone of the class changes. With time, this judicious attention shapes a classroom culture based on respect, wherein emotional well-being is very much tied to academic achievement.

By understanding the classroom as a place where language and emotions are held in high esteem, teachers can facilitate more authentic learning experiences. In those places, students are not only being taught to communicate; they are also being taught how to connect, express emotions, and form identities.

Measuring Language Proficiency with an Emotional Perspective

Assessment, generally regarded as an objective method of measuring learner development, typically blinks at the emotional dimensions present in being assessed, particularly in second language situations. Cognitive precision and linguistic production are critical, but assessment strategies that take into account emotional intelligence recognize that how one feels during evaluation can powerfully influence what he or she can demonstrate.

Spoken and written tests, especially, require learners to engage not only with linguistic structures but also with their feelings. Stressful oral tests can produce anxiety, which interferes with fluency and spontaneity. On the other hand, tasks modelled after informal talk, interviews, or collaborative activities can reduce emotional barriers and make language use more authentic. Nicol and Macfarlane-Dick (2006) advocate feedback systems not only prompt, precise, but also sensitive to students' emotions, emphasizing effort, development, and potential instead of correctness.

Emotionally intelligent evaluation also means we need to reimagine what we're measuring. Grammar and vocabulary can be measured, but emotional investment, communication confidence, and intercultural competence are more difficult to evaluate but no less crucial. Creative writing assignments, reflective writing, and storytelling can present a richer picture of a learner's capacity for expression. In such mediums, students write from real experience, so emotional expression is a possibility that makes language use feel more natural.

Emotional Intelligence is, according to some researchers, to become an integral component of language acquisition and its assessment (Pishghadam et al., 2013). Self-assessment tools, peer feedback rubrics, and reflective journals not only make the students more self-aware of their learning but also reinforce emotional awareness, a skill that is becoming even more essential in multilingual and multicultural settings.

Assessment strategies that ignore emotional contexts risk inadvertently boosting insecurity among students, particularly those from outside the mainstream. Emotionally conscious assessment seeks to produce a fairer learning environment by acknowledging the learner's emotional experience as a component of their language process. It invites teachers to approach each assessment as an opportunity to affirm, aid, and build instead of only to judge.

By incorporating Emotional Intelligence into assessment and feedback procedures, teachers can increase motivation, reduce fear of communication, and build a clearer image of ability. This shift refashions assessment from a feared moment to a learning opportunity.

Including Emotional Intelligence in Technology-Enhanced Language Learning

While language instruction develops in more and more digital contexts, the function of Emotional Intelligence in learning technologies becomes more intricate and pressing. While virtual classrooms, AI applications, and online platforms provide enormous flexibility, they also challenge emotional interaction and mental health. In such contexts, physical absence can create emotional disconnection, decreased motivation, and feelings of loneliness.

These dangers are not inherent to technology but come from its application. Emotionally intelligent teachers can design digital learning that focuses on empathy, responsiveness, and humanity. As a case in point, asynchronous

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VI June 2025

technologies such as discussion forums or reflective blogs provide learners time to craft thoughtful, emotionally intelligent responses, eliminating performance anxiety and fostering autonomy (MacIntyre, Gregersen, & Mercer, 2020). In the same vein, synchronous tools such as video conferencing or collaborative whiteboards may be engineered to enhance trust-building activities while keeping interactions relational, not transactional.

Tools such as Flipgrid, Padlet, or voice comment systems allow students to voice personal experience and emotional reactions in the target language, enhancing fluency and self-expression. These technologies can be employed with the purpose of emphasizing the affective content of language - enjoyment, frustration, nostalgia, curiosity - instead of repressing it. Li and Ni (2011) emphasize that, using educational technology thoughtfully; can provide a secure environment for authentic interaction.

In addition, the thoughtful application of educational technology must also mitigate digital fatigue and mental overload. Ongoing screen time, one after another online sessions, and insufficient body interaction can result in exhaustion. Sensitivity-sensitive teachers incorporate pauses, casual check-ins, and low-stakes activities to offset the strain of remote teaching. They recognize that well-being must be nurtured just as grammar or pronunciation must be.

Above all, technology should never stand in the way of teacher and student. Rather, it can be a bridge of empathy that takes connections beyond geography. In empathetic digital classrooms, comments are tailored to the individual, tone is crucial, and silence is not considered disengagement but as an opportunity for reflection.

By merging the effectiveness of digital tools with affective understanding, teachers can make sure that online and blended learning spaces maintain the essential human component. The pairing of emotion and innovation is ripe for foreign language pedagogy that is engaging, emotionally nurturing, and intensely relational.

Empowering Peer-to-Peer Collaboration and Social-Emotional Learning in the Language Classroom

Peer collaboration has long been recognized as vital for second language acquisition, based on the idea that language develops through social interaction. However, less attention is given to how peer-based activities can also be effective at building Emotional Intelligence. When designed thoughtfully, group tasks do more than reinforce vocabulary or grammar. They develop empathy, emotional understanding, and shared responsibility.

One practical way to implement Emotional Intelligence in the classroom is Social-Emotional Learning (SEL), which is increasingly popular in both K -12 and university education. SEL initiatives target competencies such as self-awareness, relationship skills, responsible decision-making, and emotion regulation (CASEL, 2020). These competencies align quite well with communicative language learning social requirements, particularly in activities requiring negotiation, perspective-taking, and mutual understanding.

In emotionally responsive classrooms, peer engagement can provide a secure environment for experimenting with new forms of language, resolving miscommunications, and learning from errors. Debates, role-plays, or joint story-telling activities allow students to employ language in authentic situations as well as experiment with emotional expression and regulation. If students are given emotional support by their peers and nurtured by emotionally intelligent teachers, they will be more likely to take a linguistic risk, which is central to the development of language (Dewaele et al., 2018).

Also, peer feedback sessions, which are usually underappreciated, can be emotional training grounds if well organized. Students learn how to give constructive and respectful critique and how to receive it with openness rather than defensiveness. This builds resilience and emotional maturity, which is particularly critical in culturally diverse classrooms where miscommunication easily turns into conflict if there is a lack of emotional awareness (Mercer, 2016).

Teachers are the guides and facilitators in this context. Through empathetic communication as a model, thoughtful management of group dynamics, and appreciation of every student's input, educators develop classroom cultures in which emotional development is connected to intellectual advancement. Brief emotional

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VI June 2025

check-ins, conflict resolution strategies, or recognition of collaborative efforts can even strengthen this emotional climate.

Ultimately, an emotionally intelligent classroom isn't one where conflict doesn't exist, but one in which it is transformed into learning. When children are able to manage interpersonal tensions within the target language, both with their emotional and linguistic skills, they are more flexible communicators and more emotionally centered people.

Training Emotionally Intelligent Language Teachers

Although the emotional dimension of learning is increasingly acknowledged theoretically, teacher training in language continues to be based largely on cognitive models that value knowledge, linguistic theory, and classroom organization above emotional development. For EI to be successfully integrated into foreign language teaching, it must first be cultivated in teachers themselves.

Teacher training courses for new and existing teachers frequently overlook the emotional issues faced by teachers, including burnout, cultural conflicts, classroom disagreements, and the emotional labour of working with vulnerable students. As Mercer and Gregersen (2020) observe, emotional resilience is not an optional added extra; it is essential for teacher well-being and sustained teaching competence. When teachers are attuned to their own emotions, they are able to better attend to emotional cues from students and moderate interactions with care and precision.

Practical techniques for developing EI in teacher preparation involve workshops in self-awareness, emotional management, and interpersonal communication, as well as reflective activities like journaling, debriefs, and group discussions. Role-playing difficult situations - such as dealing with an anxious student, resolving cultural miscommunications, or giving constructive criticism - can help teachers respond wisely when the situation occurs in the classroom (Brackett & Katulak, 2006).

Also providing valuable support for teachers are mentorship designs and communities of practice. These environments allow educators to share emotional dilemmas, swap coping mechanisms, and legitimize risk-taking - establishing a culture of emotional development. Salovey and Mayer's definition (1990) of Emotional Intelligence is not static; it is learned and can be enhanced through constant reflection and building relationships.

Significantly, such teacher training will also have to take into account cultural differences in Emotional Intelligence. Different cultures have different patterns of expression and regulation of emotions, and empathy or even emotional safety may mean different things. Teachers in multicultural settings require training that will enable them to bridge emotional norms between diverse cultures - not merely to avoid miscommunication but to foster a richer cross-cultural understanding.

An emotionally smart teacher isn't one who avoids emotion but rather employs it as an educational tool. Whether that involves recognizing the frustration of a student after a speaking exercise, enthusiasm in a storytelling class, or humour to diffuse tension in the classroom, emotionally intelligent teachers bring a human element to language learning that textbooks simply can't.

When teacher preparation courses approach EI as a core competency - on the same plane as lesson plan or test design - preparing teachers to not only instruct language but to do so with heart, grit, and consciousness, they reorient the teacher away from merely passing down information to being an emotionally intelligent learning facilitator.

CONCLUSION

Embracing Emotional Intelligence in foreign language instruction is a groundbreaking step away from constrained, cognition-driven approaches and towards a more expansive, relational, and human-focused model of teaching. In the course of this paper, we have been contending that Emotional Intelligence - when integrated

into curriculum planning, teacher education, classroom pedagogy, testing, and technology - has the potential to significantly improve the quality of language instruction.

Emotionally intelligent instructors are more likely to respond to learner needs with empathy, regulate their own emotional reactions to classroom dynamics, and develop learning environments in which emotional safety and intellectual challenge can exist. Work by Goleman (1995), Brackett and Katulak (2006), and Mercer and Gregersen (2020) is regular in its findings that teachers with this type of emotional intelligence result in greater learner engagement, greater motivation, and enhanced communication.

In addition, emotionally responsive pedagogy acknowledges that language learning is not a neutral activity but one that is inextricably linked with identity, self-expression, and relationships. By prioritizing emotion in language instruction, teachers can make students not only competent speakers, readers, and writers, but also more emotionally intelligent and culturally competent.

This change needs more than good intentions; it requires structural shifts in teacher training, curriculum design, and policy within institutions. Teacher preparation should treat EI as an essential pedagogical ability, not an add-on. Assessment frameworks need to factor in emotional performance. Classrooms must be set up as places where students feel intellectually challenged and emotionally supported.

In the future, further research and innovation are required to create emotionally intelligent models of language instruction based on evidence. Research involving mixed methods, classroom-based research, and teacher reflection can all help us better understand how EI operates in different linguistic and cultural situations.

In short, Emotional Intelligence is no detour from academic strength; it offers a frame that renders rigor more pertinent, compassionate, and enduring. As the terrain of language instruction changes, the emotionally intelligent classroom could teach us to be its most essential frontier - where language, compassion, and self can coexist.

REFERENCES

1. Benesch, S. (2012). Considering emotions in critical English language teaching: Theories and praxis. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203148870
2. Brackett, M. A., & Katulak, N. A. (2006). Emotional intelligence in the classroom: Skill-based training for teachers and students. In J. Ciarrochi & J. D. Mayer (Eds.), Applying emotional intelligence: A practitioner's guide (pp. 1–27). Psychology Press.
3. CASEL. (2020). What is SEL? Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning. https://casel.org/what-is-sel/
4. Chan, D. W. (2006). Emotional intelligence and components of burnout among Chinese secondary school teachers in Hong Kong. Teaching and Teacher Education, 22(8), 1042–1054. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2006.04.005
5. Dewaele, J.-M., & MacIntyre, P. D. (2016). Foreign language enjoyment and foreign language classroom anxiety: The right and left feet of FL learning? In P. D. MacIntyre, T. Gregersen, & S. Mercer (Eds.), Positive psychology in SLA (pp. 215–236). Multilingual Matters.
6. Dewaele, J.-M., Witney, J., Saito, K., & Dewaele, L. (2018). Foreign language enjoyment and anxiety: The effect of teacher and learner variables. Language Teaching Research, 22(6), 676–697. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168817692161
7. Garner, P. W. (2010). Emotional competence and its influences on teaching and learning. Educational Psychology Review, 22(3), 297–321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9129-4
8. Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ. Bantam Books.
9. Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. Review of Educational Research, 79(1), 491–525. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308325693
10. Li, Z., & Ni, H. (2011). Emotional presence in computer-mediated communication. International Journal of E-Learning & Distance Education, 25(1), 1–16.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume X Issue VI June 2025

11. MacIntyre, P. D., Gregersen, T., & Mercer, S. (2020). Language teachers' coping strategies during the Covid-19 conversion to online teaching: Correlations with stress, wellbeing and negative emotions. System, 94, 102352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102352
12. Mercer, S. (2016). Seeing the world through your eyes: Empathy in language learning and teaching. In P. D. MacIntyre, T. Gregersen, & S. Mercer (Eds.), Positive psychology in SLA (pp. 91–111). Multilingual Matters.
13. Mercer, S., & Gregersen, T. (2020). Teacher wellbeing. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.1205
14. Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. Studies in Higher Education, 31(2), 199–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090
15. Park, G. (2011). I read, therefore I write: U.S. Korean women's literacy practices and identity construction. Gender and Education, 23(3), 313–331. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2010.492873
16. Pishghadam, R., Meidani, E. N., & Khajavy, G. H. (2013). Theory and practice in second language affective assessment: A review. Applied Research on English Language, 2(2), 137–157.
17. Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. Imagination, Cognition and Personality, 9(3), 185–211. https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG
18. Sutton, R. E., & Wheatley, K. F. (2003). Teachers' emotions and teaching: A review of the literature and directions for future research. Educational Psychology Review, 15(4), 327–358. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026131715856
19. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.