

On Some New Sets Via Local Closure Function in Ideal Topological Space

Ali Bulama mamman, Haruna Usman Idriss, Bashir Mai Umar, Buba M.T Hambadga and Jamilu Adamu

Department of Mathematics, Federal University Gashua, Yobe State, Nigeria.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.10040049>

Received: 25 March 2025; Accepted: 29 March 2025; Published: 03 May 2025

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce L_r -perfect set, R_r -perfect set and C_r -perfect set in ideal topological space and study their properties. We investigate the relationship between the existing R^* -perfect sets and R_r -perfect set and also L^* -perfect sets and L_r -perfect set. We construct a topology τ_I by using Kuratowski closure operator.

Keywords: L_r -perfect, R_r -perfect and C_r -perfect sets

INTRODUCTION

The concept of ideal in topological space was introduced by K. Kuratowski in (1930)[?] as a nonempty collection I of subsets of a topological space (X, τ) that satisfy the following conditions:

1. If $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $B \in I$ (*heredity*)
2. If $A, B \in I$, then $A \cup B \in I$ (*finite additive*)

The space (X, τ, I) is called an ideal topological space. In 1933 Kuratowski [?] introduced the notion of local function $Q_x : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ defined as $A^*_{-x}(I, \tau) := \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I\}$ for every open set U containing x and A is any subset of a topological space X . In 2013 Ahmed Al-Omari and Takashi Noiri [?] introduced the notion of local closure function $\Gamma : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ defined as $\Gamma(A)(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : A \cap Cl(U) \notin I \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x), \text{ where } A \text{ is any subset of a topological space } X. \text{ If there is no ambiguity, we use } A_{-x} \text{ and } \Gamma(A) \text{ instead of } A^*_{-x}(I, \tau) \text{ and } \Gamma(A)(I, \tau). \text{ In 2013 R. Manoharan and P. Thangavelu [?] used local function and ideal to introduce } R_{-x}\text{-perfect, } L_{-x}\text{-perfect and } C_{-x}\text{-perfect sets and also in 2018 Lawrence et al [?] introduced } R_I\text{-perfect, } L_I\text{-perfect and } C_I\text{-perfect set in ideal topological space. In this paper we introduce } L_r\text{-perfect, } R_r\text{-perfect and } C_r\text{-perfect sets as a generalisation to } R_{-x}\text{-perfect, } L_{-x}\text{-perfect and } C_{-x}\text{-perfect sets respectively.}$

PRELIMINARIES

The following definitions, lemmas, and theorems are very important in this research.

Definition 2.1

If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then the following hold:

1. A is τ_x -closed if $A_x \subseteq A$.
2. A is x -dense-itself if $A \subseteq A_x$.
3. A is I -dense if $A = X$.

4. A is I-open if $A \subseteq (\text{int}(A))_x$.
5. A is regular I-closed if $A = (\text{int}(A))_x$.
6. A is almost I-open if $A \subseteq \text{cl}((\text{int}(A))_x)$.

Definition 2.2

If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space, then a topology τ is compatible with ideal I if for every $A \subseteq X$: if for every $x \in A$ there exist $U \in \tau(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in I$, then $A \in I$ denoted by $\tau \sim I$.

Lemma 2.3

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and I_1 and I_2 be two ideals on X . If $A, B \subseteq X$, then the following hold:

1. If $A \subseteq B$ then, $A_x \subseteq B_x$.
2. If $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ then, $A_x(I_2) \subseteq A_x(I_1)$.
3. $A_x = \text{cl}(A) \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$ (A_x is closed subset of $\text{cl}(A)$).
4. $(A_x)_x \subseteq A_x$.
5. $(A \cup B)_x = A_x \cup B_x$.
6. $A_x - B_x = (A - B)_x - B_x \subseteq (A - B)_x$.
7. For every $I_1 \in I$, $(A \cup I_1)_x = A_x = (A \cup I)_x$.

Theorem 2.4 Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\tau \sim I$
2. If $A \subseteq X$ has a cover of open sets whose intersection with A belong to I .
3. If for every $A \subseteq X$, $A \cap A^*_x = \emptyset$, then $A \in I$.
4. If for every $A \subseteq X$, $A - A^*_x \in I$.
5. If for every $A \subseteq X$, if A contains a nonempty subset B with $B \subseteq B^*_x$, then $A \in I$.

Theorem 2.5 Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\tau \sim_x I$
2. If $A \subseteq X$ has a cover of sg-open sets whose intersection with A belong to I .
3. If for every $A \subseteq X$, $A \cap \Gamma(A) = \emptyset$, then $A \in I$.
4. If for every $A \subseteq X$, $A - \Gamma(A) \in I$.
5. If for every $A \subseteq X$, if A contains a nonempty subset B with $B \subseteq \Gamma(B)$, then $A \in I$.

Theorem 2.6 If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$, then the following hold:

1. $\Gamma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

$$2. \Gamma(A) \cup \Gamma(B) = \Gamma(A \cup B).$$

$$3. \text{ If } A \subseteq B, \text{ then } \Gamma(A) \subseteq \Gamma(B).$$

THE OPEN SETS OF $\tau\Gamma$

In this section, we investigate $\tau\Gamma$ finer than $\tau^*_{x,}$ called the Kuratowski local closure operator, i.e. $Cl\Gamma(A) = A \cup \Gamma(A)$. A subset of ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be $\tau\Gamma$ -closed if $\Gamma(A) = A$. i.e., if $U \in \tau\Gamma$, then $X - U$ is $\tau\Gamma$ -closed implies $\Gamma(X - U)(X - U)$ if and only if $U \subseteq X - \Gamma(X - U)$. Therefore, $x \in U$ implies $x \notin \Gamma(X - U)$ implies there exists $V \in N(x)$ such that $V \cap (X - U) \in I$. Let $I = V \cap (X - U)$ and we have $x \in V - I \subseteq U$, which is a basis for $\tau\Gamma$ denoted by $\beta(I_1, \tau) = \{V - I_1 : V \in \tau, I_1 \in I\}$.

Theorem 3.1

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space, $A, B \subseteq X$ and $Cl\Gamma(A) = \Gamma(A) \cup A$, then the following hold:

1. $Cl\Gamma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
2. $A \subseteq Cl\Gamma(A)$.
3. $Cl\Gamma(A \cup B) = Cl\Gamma(A) \cup Cl\Gamma(B)$.
4. $Cl\Gamma(A) = Cl\Gamma(Cl\Gamma(A))$.

Proof:

- (1) By theorem ?? $\Gamma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Therefore $Cl\Gamma(\emptyset) = \Gamma(\emptyset) \cup \emptyset = \emptyset$. Hence $Cl\Gamma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- (2) $A \subseteq A \cup \Gamma(A) = Cl\Gamma(A)$.
- (3) $Cl\Gamma(A \cup B) = \Gamma(A \cup B) \cup (A \cup B) = \Gamma(A) \cup \Gamma(B) \cup (A \cup B) = Cl\Gamma(A) \cup Cl\Gamma(B)$.
- (4) $Cl\Gamma(Cl\Gamma(A)) = Cl\Gamma(\Gamma(A) \cup A) = \Gamma(\Gamma(A) \cup A) \cup (\Gamma(A) \cup A) = ((\Gamma(A) \cup \Gamma(A)) \cup (\Gamma(A) \cup A)) = \Gamma(A) \cup A = Cl\Gamma(A)$.

$L\Gamma$ -perfect, $R\Gamma$ -perfect, and $Cl\Gamma$ -perfect Sets

Definition 4.1

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. A subset A of the space X is said to be:

1. **$L\Gamma$ -perfect** if the difference A minus $\Gamma(A)$ is in the ideal I .
2. **$R\Gamma$ -perfect** if the difference $\Gamma(A)$ minus A is in the ideal I .
3. **$Cl\Gamma$ -perfect** if the set is both $L\Gamma$ -perfect and $R\Gamma$ -perfect.

Lemma 4.2

Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. Then A^* is a subset of $\Gamma(A)$.

Proof:

Let x be in A^* . Then A intersected with any open set U containing x is not in I . Since A intersect U is a subset of A intersect $Cl(U)$, and A intersect $Cl(U)$ is not in I , we conclude that A intersect U is also not in I .

Example:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

τ (the topology) = {empty set, X , $\{a\}$, $\{a, b\}$ },

I (the ideal) = {empty set, $\{a\}$ }.

If $A = \{a, b\}$, then

$A^* = \{b\}$ and $\Gamma(A) = \{a, b\}$.

Proposition 4.3

If a subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is CT -perfect, then the symmetric difference of A and $\Gamma(A)$ is in I .

Proof:

Since A is both L - Γ -perfect and R - Γ -perfect, then

$A - \Gamma(A) \in I$ and $\Gamma(A) - A \in I$.

By the finite additive property of ideals:

$(A - \Gamma(A)) \cup (\Gamma(A) - A) \in I$.

Hence, symmetric difference $\Delta(\Gamma(A)) \in I$.

Example:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$,

$I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$.

If $\Gamma(A) = \{a, b\}$ and $A = \{a, b, c\}$, then:

$\Gamma(A) - A = \emptyset$,

$A - \Gamma(A) = \{c\}$,

So, $\Delta(\Gamma(A)) = \{c\} \in I$.

Proposition 4.4:

Every τ - Γ -closed set is R - Γ -perfect set in an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) .

Proof:

Let A be a τ - Γ -closed set. Then $\Gamma(A) \subseteq A$.

Clearly, $\Gamma(A) \subseteq A$ and $A - \emptyset \in I$.

Hence, A is an R - Γ -perfect set.

Proposition 4.5:

If A is a subset of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and $A \in I$, then A is a C_Γ -perfect set.

Proof:

Since $A \in I$, then $\Gamma(A) = \emptyset$.

Clearly, $A - \Gamma(A) = A \in I$

and $\Gamma(A) - A = \emptyset \in I$.

So, A is both L_Γ -perfect and R_Γ -perfect.

Example:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$,

$\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$,

$I = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}\}$.

If $A = \{a, b\}$, then $\Gamma(A) = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow \Gamma(A) - A = \emptyset$

and $A - \Gamma(A) = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow (\Gamma(A) - A) \cup (A - \Gamma(A)) = \Delta(\Gamma(A)) = \emptyset \in I$

Therefore, A is a C_Γ -perfect set.

Corollary 4.6:

If A is a subset of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , then the following hold:

1. If $A \in I$, then every subset of A is C_Γ -perfect.
2. If A is R_Γ -perfect, then $\Gamma(A) - A$ is C_Γ -perfect.
3. If A is L_Γ -perfect, then $\Gamma(A) - A$ is C_Γ -perfect.
4. If A is C_Γ -perfect, then $\Delta(\Gamma(A)) \subseteq A$ is C_Γ -perfect.

Proof:

The proof follows from Proposition 3.4.

1. Suppose A is R_Γ -perfect, then $\Gamma(A) - A \in I$. Thus we want to show that $\Gamma(A)$ is C_Γ -perfect, which implies $\Gamma(A) - \Gamma(\Gamma(A)) = \emptyset \in I$ and by proposition 3.6, $\Gamma - \Gamma(\Gamma(A)) = \Gamma(A) - A \in I$. Hence $\Gamma(A)$ is both R_Γ -perfect and L_Γ -perfect. Therefore, $\Gamma(A) - A$ is C_Γ -perfect set.
2. Suppose A is L_Γ -perfect set, then $A - \Gamma(A) \in I$. Thus, we want to show that $A - \Gamma(A)$ is C_Γ -perfect. Implies $\Gamma(A - \Gamma(A)) = A - \Gamma(A) = \emptyset \in I$ implies $\Gamma(\Gamma(A)) - A \in I$ and also $A - \Gamma(\Gamma(A)) = A - \Gamma(A) \in I$. Hence $A - \Gamma(A)$ is C_Γ -perfect.

$\text{Gamma}(A)$ is both L_{Gamma} -perfect and R_{Gamma} -perfect set and so $A - \text{Gamma}(A)$ is C_{Gamma} -perfect set.

3. Suppose A is C_{Gamma} -perfect set, then $A - \text{Gamma}(A) \in I$ and $\text{Gamma}(A) - A \in I$. By finite additive property of ideal, $(A - \text{Gamma}(A)) \cup (\text{Gamma}(A) - A) \in I$. Hence $\Delta(\text{Gamma}(A))$ is C_{Gamma} -perfect set.

Corollary 4.7:

If A is a subset of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and $A \cap I = \emptyset$,

then the following hold:

1. $A - \text{Gamma}(A)$ is C_{Gamma} -perfect
2. If A is R_{Gamma} -perfect then $\text{Gamma}(A) - A$ is C_{Gamma} -perfect
3. If A is L_{Gamma} -perfect then $A - \text{Gamma}(A)$ is C_{Gamma} -perfect

Proof:

1. The proof follows from corollary 3.10.
2. Suppose $A \cap \text{Gamma}(A) = \emptyset$, then $A \in I$ and $\text{Gamma}(A) = \emptyset$.

Thus we want to show that $A - \text{Gamma}(A) = A \in I$ and also

$\text{Gamma}(A) - A = \emptyset \in I$ implies A is both L_{Gamma} -perfect and R_{Gamma} -perfect.

Hence A is C_{Gamma} -perfect set.

Proposition 4.8:

If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space, then every I -dense-in-itself set is L_{Gamma} -perfect set.

Proof:

Suppose A is I -dense-in-itself on X , then $A \subseteq A$.

Since by lemma 3.2, $\text{Gamma}(A) \subseteq \text{Gamma}(A)$ implies $A \subseteq \text{Gamma}(A)$ and $\text{Gamma}(A)$ implies $A = \text{Gamma}(A)$, thus $A - \text{Gamma}(A) = \emptyset \in I$.

Hence A is L_{Gamma} -perfect set.

Corollary 4.9:

If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space, then the following hold:

1. Every I -dense set is L_{Gamma} -perfect set.
2. Every I -open set is L_{Gamma} -perfect set.
3. Every almost I -open set is L_{Gamma} -perfect set.
4. Every regular I -closed set is L_{Gamma} -perfect set.

Proof:

The proof follows from proposition 3.14.

Proposition 4.10

If (X, τ, I) is an ideal topological space, then \emptyset and X are L_Γ -perfect set.

Proof:

1. Since $\emptyset - \Gamma(\emptyset) = \emptyset \in I$. Hence \emptyset is L_Γ -perfect set.
2. If I is codense, then $X = X$. By lemma 3.2, $X \subseteq \Gamma(X)$, clearly $X = X \subseteq \Gamma(X)$ implies $X \subseteq \Gamma(X)$ implies $X - \Gamma(X) = \emptyset \in I$. Hence X is L_Γ -perfect set.

Proposition 4.11:

Let A, B be two subsets of an ideal topological space such that $A \subseteq B$ and $\Gamma(A) \subseteq \Gamma(B)$, then the following hold:

1. B is R_Γ -perfect if A is R_Γ -perfect set.
2. A is L_Γ -perfect if B is L_Γ -perfect set.

Proof:

1. Suppose A is R_Γ -perfect, then $\Gamma(A) - A \in I$. Thus, $\Gamma(B) - B = \Gamma(A) - B \subseteq \Gamma(A) - A$. Hence B is R_Γ -perfect set.
2. Suppose B is L_Γ -perfect, then $B - \Gamma(B) \in I$.

Thus, $A - \Gamma(A) = A - \Gamma(B) \subseteq B - \Gamma(B)$.

Hence A is L_Γ -perfect set.

Example:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $A = \{b\}$, $B = \{a, b\}$ then $\Gamma(A) = \{\emptyset\}$, $\Gamma(B) = \{b\} \Rightarrow \Gamma(A) \subseteq \Gamma(B)$, $\Gamma(A) - A = \emptyset \in I$ and $B - \Gamma(B) = \{a\} \in I$.

Therefore, B is R_Γ -perfect set if A is R_Γ -perfect set and A is L_Γ -perfect set if B is L_Γ -perfect set.

Corollary 4.12:

Let A, B be two subsets of an ideal topological space such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq Cl(A)$, then the following hold:

1. B is R_Γ -perfect if A is R_Γ -perfect set.
2. A is L_Γ -perfect if B is L_Γ -perfect set.

Proof: The proof follows from proposition 3.20

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN L^* -PERFECT, R^* -PERFECT AND L_{Γ} -PERFECT, R_{Γ} -PERFECT

In this section we investigate the relationship between the existing L_{Γ} -perfect, R_{Γ} -perfect and L^* -perfect, R^* -perfect,

Proposition 5.1:

Every L^* -perfect is L_{Γ} -perfect but the converse is not true.

Proof:

Suppose A is L^* -perfect, then $A - A \in I$.

By lemma 3.2, $A \subseteq \Gamma(A)$ implies $A - \Gamma(A) \subseteq A - A \in I$.

Hence A is L_{Γ} -perfect set.

Proposition 5.2:

Every R_{Γ} -perfect is R^* -perfect set but the converse is not true.

Proof:

Suppose A is R_{Γ} -perfect, then $\Gamma(A) - A \in I$.

Since $A \subseteq \Gamma(A)$, clearly $A - A \subseteq \Gamma(A) - A \in I$.

Hence A is R^* -perfect set.

Proposition 5.3:

If A, B are R_{Γ} -perfect, then $A \cup B$ is R_{Γ} -perfect set.

Proof:

Since A and B are R_{Γ} -perfect, then $\Gamma(A) - A \in I$ and $\Gamma(B) - B \in I$.

Then $\Gamma(A \cup B) - (A \cup B) \subseteq (\Gamma(A) - A) \cup (\Gamma(B) - B) \in I$.

Hence $A \cup B$ is R_{Γ} -perfect set.

Proposition 5.4:

If A and B are L_{Γ} -perfect, then $A \cup B$ is L_{Γ} -perfect set.

Proof: Suppose A and B are L_{Γ} -perfect, then $A - \Gamma(A) \in I$ and $B - \Gamma(B) \in I$.

$\Gamma(B) \in I$.

Then $(A \cup B) - \Gamma(A \cup B) \subseteq (A - \Gamma(A)) \cup (B - \Gamma(B)) \in I$.

Hence $A \cup B$ is L_{Γ} -perfect set.

Corollary 5.5:

In an ideal topological space, the following hold:

1. Finite union of R_{Γ} -perfect is R_{Γ} -perfect.
2. Finite union of L_{Γ} -perfect is L_{Γ} -perfect.

Proposition 5.6:

If A and B are R_{Γ} -perfect, then $A \cap B$ is R_{Γ} -perfect set.

Proof: Suppose A and B are R_{Γ} -perfect, then $\Gamma(A) - A \in I$ and $\Gamma(B) - B \in I$.

Then $\Gamma(A \cap B) - (A \cap B) \subseteq (\Gamma(A) - A) \cup (\Gamma(B) - B) \in I$.

Hence $A \cap B$ is R_{Γ} -perfect set.

Proposition 5.7:

If A and B are L_{Γ} -perfect, then $A \cap B$ is L_{Γ} -perfect set.

Proof: Suppose A and B are L_{Γ} -perfect, then $A - \Gamma(A) \in I$ and $B - \Gamma(B) \in I$.

Then $(A \cap B) - \Gamma(A \cap B) \subseteq (A - \Gamma(A)) \cup (B - \Gamma(B)) \in I$.

Hence $A \cap B$ is L_{Γ} -perfect set.

Corollary 5.8:

In an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , the following hold:

1. Finite intersection of R_{Γ} -perfect is R_{Γ} -perfect.
2. Finite intersection of L_{Γ} -perfect is L_{Γ} -perfect.

Proof: The proof follows from propositions above.

REFERENCES

1. K. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, Warszawa (1930)
2. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol.1, Academic Press, New York (1933)
3. Ahmad Al-Omari & Takashi Noiri, "Local closure function in ideal topological space", Novi Sad J. Math., Vol.43 No.2 (2013): 139-149
4. D. Jankovic & T.R. Hamlett, "New topologies from old via ideals", American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.97 (1990): 295-310
5. E. Hayashi, "Topologies defined by local properties", Mathematische Annalen, Vol.156 No.3 (1964): 205-215
6. J. Dontchev, M. Ganster & D. Rose, "Ideal resolvability", Topology and its Applications, Vol.93 No.1 (1999): 1-16
7. M.E. Abd El-Monsef, E.F. Lashies & A.A. Nasef, "On I-open sets and I-continuous functions", Kyungpook Math. Journal, Vol.32 No.1 (1992): 21-30
8. A. Keskin, T. Noiri & S. Yuksel, "Idealization of a decomposition theorem", Acta Math. Hungarica, Vol.102 No.4 (2004): 269-277

9. M.E. Abd El-Monsef, R.A. Mahmoud & A.A. Nasef, "Almost-I-openness and almost-I-continuity", J. Egyptian Math. Soc., Vol.7 No.2 (1999): 191-200
10. R. Manoharan & P. Thangavelu, "Some new sets and topologies in ideal topological space", Chinese Journal of Mathematics (2013): 1-6
11. J. Lawrence & R. Monoharan, "New sets and topologies in ideal topological space", Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol.118 No.20 (2018): 93-98